



County of San Diego – Addendum 2 Developer Questions 5/4/16

QUESTION – Would you consider drive aisles penetrating the eastern wall of the parking structure for access to Parcel 2?

COUNTY ANSWER – Structurally, the wall may be able to be penetrated at relatively high expense. The southern wall is the preferred point of access but alternatives may be proposed.

QUESTION – When calculating encapsulated frontage, can we consider frontage at Parcel 2 and Parcel 3 together as a single entity?

COUNTY ANSWER – The two parcels will be leased under one ground lease. The development teams will determine the proposed configuration of the project including frontage.

QUESTION – For below grade parking, may Parcel 3 use any of the area below grade at Parcel 2?

COUNTY ANSWER – The two parcels will be leased under one groundlease. The development teams will determine the proposed use of the parking areas within the parcels.

QUESTION – May the building at Parcel 3 use a portion of the air space adjacent to Parcel 3 above the Parcel 2 building ?

COUNTY ANSWER – The two parcels will be leased under one groundlease. The development teams will determine the proposed use of the airspace within the two parcels.

QUESTION – If we can only penetrate the southern wall of the existing parking structure, then it is allowable to consider the below grade area on Parcel 2 and 3 as one unified lot that can be developed as a shared parking area?

COUNTY ANSWER – Yes the parking areas may be considered a unified lot under the ground lease.
