



# McClellan-Palomar AIRPORT

## Feasibility Study for Potential Improvements to McClellan-Palomar Airport

CRQ PAAC Meeting  
August 15, 2013

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Background

- June 2011 – Board addressed need for study of runway improvements at McClellan-Palomar Airport
- Sept 2011 – Board directed staff conduct study



# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Scope of Study

- Determine if a runway extension would:
  - improve runway safety
  - reduce airport noise
  - increase operational efficiency
  - increase business prospects
- Prepared in accordance with FAA requirements

- Contains findings and recommendations that are:
  - technically sound from an engineering perspective
  - fiscally responsible
  - makes good business sense
  - eligible for funding in accordance with FAA criteria

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

- Design Critical Aircraft for the runway length –
  - Business Jet focus
  - Falcon 2000 (**B-II**)
- C/D-III aircraft use facility currently and will increase in the future
  - FAA requires open use of funded airports
- Improve safety at runway west end for current and future aircraft
- Increased useful fuel loads = longer haul trips

### Runway Safety Improvements:

- Business Jet Aircraft
- Engineered Material Arresting System (EMAS)
- Improve grades




EMAS System

# McClellan-Palomar AIRPORT

## West End Safety

FIGURE 5A: West Side Safety Improvements



# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT


## Probable Construction Costs

Alternative	Description	Probable Construction Costs
West End	West End Safety Improvement including EMAS and grading	\$25.4 Million

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Runway Extension Alternatives

FIGURE 5H: Runway Extension Alternatives and Approximate Location of Landfill



# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT


## Landfill Options - Option 2 Drilled Displaced Columns

### Advantages:

- Almost eliminates settlement
- Low initial cost
- Increases the strength of surrounding material
- Soil/lightweight fill layers bridge potential localized settlement.

### Disadvantages:

- Requires night work or full airport closure
- Re-construction of methane gas collection system required




**Cost Per Square Foot -  
\$72/SF**

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Runway Extension Alternatives

FIGURE 5H: Runway Extension Alternatives and Approximate Location of Landfill




GRAPHIC SCALE  
0 75 150

**Kimley-Horn  
and Associates, Inc.**

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT


## FALCON 2000 RANGE AT ALTERNATIVE RUNWAY LENGTHS Runway Length Benefits



AIRCRAFT RANGE	
Runway Length (ft.)	Distance in Nautical Miles
4,900'	1,700
5,100'	2,000
5,800'	2,570

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## BUSINESS JET RANGE AT ALTERNATIVE RUNWAY LENGTHS Runway Length Benefits



Aircraft	Max Range (in nautical miles) at Given Runway Length (ft)		
	4,900'	5,100'	5,800'
Global Express	4,900	5,150	5,900
Gulfstream G550	4,429	4,705	5,220
Citation X(750)	2,256	2,422	2,824
Hawker 800XP	2,037	2,146	2,386

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Noise Contours Comparison




Figure 9D  
NOISE CONTOURS COMPARISON



# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Probable Construction Costs


		Probable Construction Costs		
Alternative	East End Alternative Description	East End Extension	West End Safety Improvements	Total Improvement
Alt. A	200 ft extension with north and south side end connector taxiways	\$22.5 Million	\$25.4 Million	\$47.9 Million
Alt. B-1	900 ft extension with north side end connector taxiway	\$49.6 Million		\$75.0 Million
Alt. B-2	900 ft extension with north and south side end connector taxiways	\$69.7 Million		\$95.1 Million

### Current Regional Economic Benefits

- \$321.4 million revenues
- 2,215 jobs
- \$81.3 million income to workers

### Regional 20 Year Forecast

- Without Runway Extension - \$8.3 billion in revenues
- With Runway Extension (Alt B) - **\$163.2** million in addition to \$8.3 billion
- Increase in tax collections
  - Local - \$367.7 million
  - State - \$128.9 million



<b>ALTERNATIVE A - 200 Foot Extension</b>	
<b>Benefit Cost Ratio</b>	<b>1.49</b>
<b>ALTERNATIVE B - 900 Foot Extension</b>	
<b>Benefit Cost Ratio</b>	<b>2.53</b>

Alternative's BCA > 1.0

- *Eligible* for Grant Funding Consideration
- Safety Improvements (west end) top priority in FAA funding potential
- Capacity Projects lowest FAA priority
  - Potential higher cost sharing
  - Funded after other FAA priorities

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

## Executive Summary

- Airfield – same Runway Design Code as today (B-II)
  - Business Jets usage
  - Falcon 2000 (critical design aircraft)
- West End Safety Improvement
  - Enhance safety on west departure
  - \$25.4 Million
- Preferred East Extension Alternative – 900 foot (Drilled Displaced Columns)
  - 100% B-II sized aircraft served
  - \$69.7 Million with south parallel taxiway
  - Benefit Cost Ratio – 2.53
  - Regional Pay Back Period ~ 11 years

- New 20-yr Master Plan [In Progress](#)
  - 2015-2035
- Aviation Forecasts, Facility Requirements, Constraints Development Concept
  - Incorporation of Runway Extension Feasibility Data
- Master Plan Implementation Plan
  - Considers Runway Extension in the Context of Long-Term Facility Improvements
  - Project Sequencing, Environmental, Financial Plan
- Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

# McClellan-Palomar Airport

## Next Steps

- Board of Supervisors:
  - Targeting September 25 board meeting

Item will have 2 actions:

- Find proposed action is exempt under CEQA
- Receive report titled Feasibility Study for Potential Improvements to McClellan-Palomar Airport

# McClellan-Palomar Airport

## Recommended Motion

The Palomar Airport Advisory Committee recommends the County Board of Supervisors accept the Feasibility Study for Potential Improvements to McClellan-Palomar Airport Runway dated August 1, 2013, and prepared for the County by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

# McCLELLAN-PALOMAR AIRPORT

**QUESTIONS/COMMENTS?**