

This appendix contains the Noise Compatibility Program Checklist for CRQ. The checklist indicates items required for this study and references for each item.

<b>FAR PART 150                      NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b>		
<b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u></b>		<b>REVIEWER: _____</b>
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
<b>I. Identification and Submission Program:</b>		
A. Submission is properly identified:		
1. FAR 150 NCP?	Yes	Cover
2. NEM and NCP together?	No	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
3. Program revision?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
B. Airport and Airport Operator's name identified?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 10.2</a> and <a href="#">10.3.3</a>
C. NCP transmitted by airport operator cover letter?	Yes	Transmittal Letter following cover of Volume 1.
<b>II. Consultation: (150.23):</b>		
A. Documentation includes narrative public participation and consultation process?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15</a> and <a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P, and Q</a>
B. Identification of consulted parties:		
1. Are parties in 150.23(c) consulted?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15.1</a>
2. Public and planning agencies identified?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15.1</a> and <a href="#">Appendix M</a>
3. Agencies in 2, above, correspond to those indicated on the NEM?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 15.1</a>
C. Satisfied 150.23(d) requirements:		
1. Documentation shows active and direct participation of parties in B, above?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2</a> and <a href="#">15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P</a> and <a href="#">Q</a>
2. Active and direct participation of general public?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2</a> and <a href="#">15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P</a> and <a href="#">Q</a>
3. Participation was prior to and during development of NCP and prior to submittal to FAA?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2</a> and <a href="#">15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P</a> and <a href="#">Q</a>

<b>FAR PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>                      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
4. Indicates adequate opportunity afforded to submit views, data, etc.?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 15.2 and 15.3</a> <a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P and Q</a>
D. Evidence included of notice and opportunity for public hearing on NCP?	Yes	<a href="#">Appendices P and Q</a>
E. Documentation of comments:		
1. Includes summary of public hearing comments, if hearing was held?	Yes	<a href="#">Appendix Q</a>
2. Includes copy of all written material submitted to operator?	Yes	<a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P and Q</a>
3. Includes operator's responses/disposition of written and verbal comments?	Yes	<a href="#">Appendices M, N, O, P and Q</a>
F. Informal agreement received from FAA on flight procedures?	No	<a href="#">Appendix M.</a>
<b>III. Noise Exposure Maps: (150.23, b150.3, b150.35[f])</b> (This section of the checklist is not a substitute for the Noise Exposure Map checklist. It deals with maps in the context of the Noise Compatibility Program submission.)		
A. Inclusion of NEMs and supporting documentation:		
1. Map documentation either included or incorporated by reference?	Yes	NEM documents were submitted to the FAA and accepted on April 26, 2005. <a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
2. Maps previously found in compliance by FAA?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 10.2</a>
3. Compliance determination still valid?	Yes	
4. Does 180-day period have to wait for map compliance finding?	No	
B. Revised NEMs submitted with program: (Revised using NEM checklist if map revisions included in NCP submittal.)		

<b>FAR PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>                      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
1. Revised NEMs included with program?	Yes	<a href="#">Figures 11-14</a> and <a href="#">14-5</a> illustrate 2009 NEM with Program Implementation.
2. Has airport operator requested FAA to make a determination on the NEM(s) when NCP approval is made?	No	For information only. NEM previously accepted shows no non-compatible land uses within CNEL 65 noise contour.
C. If program analysis uses noise modeling:		
1. INM or HNM, or FAA approved equivalent?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11.3.1</a> and <a href="#">11.3.10</a>
2. Monitoring in accordance with A150.5?	N/A	No noise monitoring was performed.
D. Existing condition and 5-year maps clearly identified as official NEMs.	N/A	<a href="#">Figures 11-14</a> and <a href="#">14-5</a> illustrate revised 5-year NEM with program implementation for information only.
<b>IV. Consideration of Alternatives: (B150.7, 150.23[e])</b>		
A. At a minimum, are the alternatives below considered?		
1. Land acquisition and interest therein, including air rights, easements, and development rights?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 12.2.3</a> and <a href="#">12.2.4</a>
2. Barriers, acoustical shielding, and public building soundproofing?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 12.2.3</a>
3. Preferential runway system?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.2.8</a>
4. Flight procedures?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11</a>
5. Restrictions on type/class of aircraft (as least one restriction below must be checked):		
a. deny use based on Federal standards?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.2.14</a>
b. capacity limits based on noisiness?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.9</a>

<b>FAR PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b>		
<b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u></b>		<b>REVIEWER: _____</b>
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
c. noise abatement takeoff/approach?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.2.4</a>
d. landing fees based on noise or time of day?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.9</a>
e. nighttime restrictions?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.10</a>
6. Other actions with beneficial impact?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, and 13</a>
7. Other FAA recommendations?	No	
B. Responsible implementing authority identified for each considered alternative?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
C. Analysis of measures:		
1. Measure clearly described?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
2. Measures adequately analyzed?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
3. Adequate reasoning for rejecting alternatives?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
D. Other actions recommended by the FAA:		
1. Should other actions be added?	No	
<b>V. Alternatives Recommended for Implementation: (150.23[e], B150.7[c], B150.35[b], B150.5)</b>		
A. Document clearly indicates:		
1. Alternatives recommended for implementation?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11.5, 12.4, 13.5, and 14</a>
2. Final recommendations are airport operator's not those of consultant or third party?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.7</a>
B. Do all program recommendations:		
1. Relate directly or indirectly to reduction of noise and noncompatible land uses?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
2. Contain description of contribution to overall	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>

<b>FAR PART 150 NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b> <b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u>                      REVIEWER: _____</b>		
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
effectiveness of program?		
3. Noise/land use benefits quantified to extent possible?	Yes	<a href="#">Tables 11-3/-5/-6/-8</a>
4. Include actual/anticipated effect on reducing noise exposure within noncompatible area shown on NEM?	Yes	<a href="#">Tables 11-3/-5/-6/-8</a>
5. Efforts based on relevant and reasonable expressed assumptions?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
6. Have adequate supporting data to support its contribution to noise/land use compatibility?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
C. Analysis appears to support standards set forth in 150.35[b] and B150.5?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, 13</a>
D. When use restrictions are recommended:		
1. Are alternatives with potentially significant noise/compatible land use benefits thoroughly analyzed so that appropriate comparisons and conclusions can be made?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 11.3.10</a>
2. Use restrictions coordinated with APP-600 prior to making determination on start of 180 days?	No	
E. Do the following also meet Part 150 analytical standards:		
1. Formal recommendations which continue existing practices?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.1 and Table 14.1</a>
2. New recommendations or changes proposed at the end of Part 150 process?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 14.2, 14.3, 14.4, and Table 14.1</a>
F. Documentation indicates how recommendations may change previously adopted plans?	Yes	<a href="#">Sections 11, 12, and 13</a>

<b>FAR PART 150                      NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM CHECKLIST</b>		
<b>AIRPORT NAME: <u>McClellan-Palomar Airport</u></b>		<b>REVIEWER: _____</b>
Item	Yes/No/NA	Page Number/Other Reference
G. Documentation also:		
1. Identifies agencies which are responsible for implementing each recommendation?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
2. Indicates whether those agencies have agreed to implement?	No	
3. Indicates essential government actions necessary to implement recommendations?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
H. Timeframe:		
1. Includes agreed-upon schedule to implement alternatives?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.5</a>
2. Indicates period covered by the program?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.6</a>
I. Funding/Costs:		
1. Includes cost to implement alternatives?	Yes	<a href="#">Table 14-1</a>
2. Includes anticipated funding source?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14</a>
<b>VI. Program Revision (150.23[e] and [g])</b>		
Supporting documentation includes provision for revision?	Yes	<a href="#">Section 14.6</a>