

**JAMUL DULZURA  
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP  
SPECIAL MEETING  
FINAL MINUTES  
March 15, 2016  
Approved March 22, 2016  
Jamul Primary School Multi-Use Room  
7:00 p.m.**

1. **Joe Stuyvesant, Chair called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.**
2. **ROLL CALL ;**  
**Present:** Joe Stuyvesant, Jean Strouf, Mike Casinelli, Steve Wragg, Eileen Poole, Joan Kouns, Richard Marzec, Preston Brown, Elizabeth Kelly, Randy White, Janet Mulder, George Novinger.  
**Excused:** Judy Bohlen, Bill Herde  
**Absent:** Earl Katzer,
3. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA for March 15, 2016 to provide a forum for comments from the Jamul Dulzura community regarding the proposed Memorandum of Understanding between Jamul Indian Village and San Diego County.** Approved unanimously.
4. **OPEN FORUM** – Opportunity for persons to speak on items not on the agenda. No open forum in the Special Meeting as this meeting is a one-item agenda meeting.
5. **SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA: TO BRIEF COMMUNITY ON DRAFT COUNTY/JIV MOU AND TO SOLICIT COMMUNITY FEEDBACK.**

**Joe Stuyvesant, Chair**, opened the meeting welcoming the community and inviting the public to our regular Planning Group meetings, which are held on the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month at 7:30 p.m. in the Oak Grove Middle School Library.

He explained the purpose of tonight's meeting to:

- Notify the community about a draft Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the County of San Diego and Jamul Indian Village;
- Inform the community what is in the MOU
- Most importantly, to collect public comment on the MOU.
- To encourage community members to express their opinion on the MOU to the Board of Supervisors at their April 13 meeting where they will vote on whether or not to sign the MOU.

Plan for tonight's meeting:

We have a subcommittee who has reviewed the draft MOU and they will provide a short summary of the MOU.

There are copies of the draft MOU available here for your information.

After the summary, we will open the mic to public comments:

- We ask that you limit your comments to 3 minutes or less, so that we may hear from as many as possible.
- We ask that comments be respectful to all
- If you agree with a comment that has already been made, we can save time if you say that you agree with them
- We ask that you provide your name, but that is not required
- We ask that you be strict to the time with your comments to the MOU but it is your three minutes to say whatever you want
- We are happy to take written comments and/or email to jamul.dulzura\_cpg@yahoo.com

**Joe** introduced **Michael Casinelli**, sub-committee chair, who gave an overview of the MOU using the following slide presentation to highlight his points.

- Slide 1 –
- County Staff and JIV have negotiated a draft MOU to address casino impacts.
    - MOU will be voted on by BOS on Wednesday, April 13, 2016.
- Important to remember that the Board of Supervisors has not agreed to the MOU. Important to be at that meeting on April 13 to give them a sense of the depth of our concerns before they vote.

- Slide 2 -
- JIV will conduct a limited waiver of sovereign immunity (only as it pertains to the MOU) for the County and in return, County Staff is proposing to give up the following:
- County gives up all claims that JIV is violating the law.
  - The County will request dismissal or an abandonment of its appeal for Caltrans Traffic Management Plan (TMP) lawsuit.
  - County gives up “stop work order” on County Land. (This is the parcel of land that used to contain the old Jamul fire station, which JIV wants to use as their casino entrance)
  - County gives up an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD)
- Michael** explained that an IOD is created by State legislation giving power to local and state governments to have access along streets, alleys, within subdivision, etc. for the purpose of easements for things such as drainage, utilities, widening streets, etc. and conveys with property when sold, hence irrevocable. The IOD mentioned in the MOU is across the street from the casino along SR 94 at Peaceful Valley Road. The MOU also states that it will give this IOD to the JIV and that there will be other, currently unnamed, IOD’s that the JIV will request and that the County will review and administer these IOD requests.

The MOU also states that any **dispute** arising from MOU, would be **settled through arbitration**.

- Slide 3 -
- Michael explained that 7 or the 15 pages of the MOU contain areas or items of possible impacts and include:
- Hazardous Materials

- Biological Resources
- Runoff
- Visual Resources
- Noise
- Air Quality
- Water Resources, which includes wastewater treatment and Ground water use is prohibited for casino only
- Public Safety

Also includes Cultural Resources, which was left off the list.

There are three things proposed in the MOU pertaining to these items.

1. The JIV shall adhere to protect from impacts all of these items pre-construction.
2. For the period of casino operations, the JIV will provide the County with a report on what the JIV considers potential impacts and how it intends to mitigate these impacts; and present the reports within 10 days after signing the MOU or in one case upon commencement of casino operations. And
3. The JIV will provide updates on the status of its mitigation efforts and any impacts that might arise to the County, and that these reports will be provided to the County on a semi-annual basis.

Slide 4 -

- The MOU notes that JIV and San Diego Rural Fire District/agency has already signed an agreement with the County, but the MOU does not contain any particulars of that agreement.
- The MOU states that the JIV agrees to pay County Sheriff \$275,197 annually to pay for:
  - Full-time Sheriff Deputy and associated overhead
  - 14% proportional share of a Sheriff Sergeant
  - one 4x4 vehicle, radios, and a
  - License Plate Reader (LPR) to be located on site
 The Deputy will be present on site and spend 100% of his or her on duty time on casino matters.

Slide 5 -

**Traffic mitigation is not included in the MOU**

County Staff proposes to:

- Defer all traffic mitigation discussion until after Caltrans' California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process is completed. And
- County staff proposes to negotiate mitigation to traffic impacts to the County, following Caltrans' Final Environment Impact Report

Slide 6 -

This is as succinct as we can state the proposed MOU, and there are copies for you to take located at the back of the room. The only section not being addresses here is the opening section referred to as "Whereas's". Michael explained that "whereas" are statements of truths or givens, but there may be exceptions in this case. He said that there are 11 of them. They are short and easy to read, and the public may comment on these as well.

There are three ways to make public comment or to ask questions that the CPG can then incorporate with its own comments and present to the BOS:

1. You can come to microphone tonight and speak for 3 minutes maximum.
2. There are paper and pens on your chairs for your written comments
3. Or, if you wish to think about it, you may email your comments by this weekend to [jamul.dulzura\\_cpg@yahoo.com](mailto:jamul.dulzura_cpg@yahoo.com)

All comments submitted will be included with the minutes of the meeting.

### **Community comments at Meeting Included: (Names included when given)**

**1<sup>st</sup> speaker:** When did they become a reservation? Who drafted the MOU? Why so many errors?

**Mike Furman** – Fire department agreement did not include all equipment for a 7 story structure.....there are not enough paramedics as the drive to the hospital takes two hours will be spent on non-emergency calls as shown in other casinos – The casino will be a convalescent home for 2000 people who would need extra medical care.

**John Hayes** – Much of the MOU seems to deal with Pre construction and construction issues – why now? Who in the County did the participation? – The county gives up a lot and gets very little – mitigation only minor.

**Nadine Bennett – Supt of JDUSD** – Traffic management is paramount – over 80% of the people bringing students to school have to travel on SR 94 and the students, with the construction delays alone, are often late to school...What will happen with additional traffic as it could cause emergency problems...Traffic safety and traffic management need to be a two-pronged approach to ensure safety of our residents and the children.

**Patrick Webb – Attorney** – County gives up ALL CLAIMS JIV is violating Law; County gives up LAWSUIT to STOP construction even though JAC just WON ON APPEAL; County gives up STOP WORK ORDER on County land; County gives up an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) for Turn Lanes and Stop Lights at PVR. He listed five reasons why the MOU should not be adopted and sent back to county staff to redo.

1<sup>st</sup> reason - no money given to the County of San Diego. He pointed out that the Renoak Park received 200 million dollars –Even little Santa Ysabel agreed to .5% net win per year and yet when questioned County Staff said didn't even ask for \$\$\$!

2<sup>ND</sup> reason - There are False claims in when it isn't...that JIV has trust lands qualified for gambling, when it doesn't...that JIV has a reservation, when it never has been applied for.....there is no reason except JIV's rush to get giveaways before lawsuits are decided against them.

3<sup>rd</sup> Reason - The MOU violates California State Constitution and the State Compact with Indians by allowing gaming facility building on County lands not within any reservation (Sec. 2.8); Fails to STOP construction until Compact Amended as required (Sec. 10.8.3); No written proof of JIV authority to sign (Sect. 15.6)

4<sup>th</sup> Reason - Also violates Federal law as only the federal government is allowed to have a government to government relationship(25 USA Code section; 25 U.S.C. 3601(1; No Secretary of Interior Approval as Required (25 U.S.C. 81);NO property description - violates IGRA;

5<sup>th</sup> Reason - No enforcement – arbitration does not mean enforcement...

No waste water treatment plan inspections and the Consultant Black & Veach report found the plan incomplete; NO EPA inspections Safe Water and Clean Air Standards; NO Army Corps Engineers inspections of effluent into Otay Reservoir; NO National Center for Cultural Resources enforcement of NAGPRA which was already violated

when human remains removed....Important to note that all of these enforcement procedures were contained in MOU for little Santa Ysabel....Question is, Why not here? They have no inspection – no EPA inspector as required in other Indian casino We need to ask them to come back to the table to discuss and renegotiate.....perhaps with different staff.... No MOU is better than a poorly negotiated MOU! They did major giveaways for nothing...just 1 sheriff's salary and a 4x4 vehicle for THEM! Nothing for County residents....no money for catastrophic traffic impacts – the MOU must be sent ask for proper negotiations.

**Jean Strouf** – The four non-negotiable items agreed to by County Staff gives away too much and gets nothing in return....It shows fiscal irresponsibility....It takes too long to get the facts.....

**Foster Bennigan** – this is an abomination – taking away from the birthright of our Indian friends as well.

**David Keising** - exception to the whereas clauses as facts.....MOU is missing a few pages telling what they are doing for us – It does not exist – MOU is absolutely without merit !

**Jonathan Shultz** – Couldn't Dianne Jacob bring 3 out of 5 of the Supervisors along with her to send this back to County Staff? **Michael Casinelli** said the Dianne asked for approval from the FPPC as to whether or not she has a conflict of interest and they verbally said “no conflict” however she wants it in writing before she votes.

**Julie** - lives near the Preserve and is concerned about the self-monitoring of the problems on the preserve....Remember, the casino borders two state and one federal wild life preserve – the golden eagles, peregrine falcons, etc would be dramatically impacted by the 1000s of lights. A few years ago the Preserve people objected to a bike rider or hiker, but today are not objecting to 10,000 cars? Seems like a strange turnaround.

**Eddie** – Miramontes – concerned about the security at the casino...one sheriff could not handle the illegal stuff that goes on.

**Jorge Savalas** – Everything is against them – traffic, etc....why do they have so much power....very similar to the way things are run in Mexico – if they get approval against all of the odds then something is wrong!

**Kim** – Has been sitting in traffic having the roads torn up both at night and day ....It is frustrating and needed to get it off his chest.

**Dirk** – The stop work order would it ever be enforced. Sovereign immunity makes him question whether any “order” would work.

**Dave Buller** – resident since 1972 gave a “tongue in cheek” look at the problem saying, since he has friends that have some Indian blood – they will call them selves PVI Proctor Valley Indians – working on a plan to build a casino and to get help from the state. Plan to bulldoze down the houses of people who don't agree and get the property across the street – Since Hollywood casino has no problems with downstream sewerage....they feel they won't either....State, County has turned their back on the problem and he questions, WHY?

**Frank Hoff**- is concerned about an increase in burglaries in our area – have had strangers “casing” the area and feels that the one additional deputy would be totally inadequate especially since it would be at the casino only.

**Marcia Spurgeon** – stated she is glad the people picked up that this is a flawed document. The arbitration clause has not been seen by any of us even though we have asked. Caltrans is building animal crossing bridges but what about the people crossing our streets with the huge increase in traffic forecasted?

- Bob** – Mostly upset about the draft that was negotiated and that we would not have the same yahoos on the County Staff doing working on it when it is renegotiated
- Glenn** Thanked JDCPG and stated he had never has seen such a poorly put together document. Important to remember that the people and the County gain nothing and it needs to be negotiated...Question: Why is the MOU coming now and what happens if there is no MOU – Would we be any worse off? He thinks not!
- Richard** – Jamul resident since 2002 – Reminded us that the County motto is “The Noblest Deed is the Public Good!” and urged us to hold them to it....
- Resident** - Since Dianne Jacob may not be able to represent us....can contact the other supervisors offices. What is the conflict of interest? **Joe** - We would be speculating to give an answer....
- Resident** – Pointed out that County staff wrote the MOU....Why would the County do this without getting something in return – he believes they are getting something.....
- Alex** – Does JAC have a lawyer?
- Joe** – pointed out that we are completely separate from JAC and he needs to make sure that is clear as we are here to take their comments and report them to the Board...
- George Novinger** pointed out that he has worked with the Federal Government, and feels that the SD County Staff was directed to make a MOU with the Indians to help the County that would give them the best leverage over the JIV and believes that the County will listen to the community....
- Resident** - Who questioned the conflict of interest on the BOS. Questioned how do we contact the people who put together the MOU – would it be worth it to contact them and talk with them....would a letter writing campaign work?
- Joe** – stated that it would be a good idea to send letters to the BOS as they are in charge of staff and are responsible to the people....He said it was Important to do this before the meeting....
- Dave** – MOU was written by an inept staff and they gave away too much.
- David** – There are about five lawsuits in the works and the MOU helps to legitimize their position...if for no other reason, oppose it due to the problems it could create!
- Paul Scripps** – Long time resident of Jamul noted that the struggle is vast and spans a lot of years....key factor is to focus on individual battles – MOU is a crucial point and the right of the people for input – need a simple strategy but pick two or three problems with it and come together in a tight focus.....
- Jean** – slips of paper and email are both important to send to County – Need to hear for us!
- Tracy** – How many people will the BOS listen to give testimony – Need to speak as a group and can relinquish their time to someone else to speak for them.

**Joe – one last question for the group – how many people are not in favor of MOU....(every hand raised) and that means all “8000” people here agree that the MOU is incomplete and needs work to be equitable to the County!**

#### **Comments from Planning Group members:**

**Janet Mulder** – The MOU is premature as the County Staff has not even looked at what is probably the largest significant most immitigable impact to our area – TRAFFIC! To even consider agreeing to an MOU without setting traffic mitigation is ludicrous! The County has many roads that feed into and off of SR-94 that will be severely impacted, and if the County signs this MOU without considering those impacts and getting agreement from the JIV that they will pay for the improvements necessary to

maintain the safety of our community as well as the safety of the huge quantity of visitors forecasted, the County would not be doing their due diligence as it is the County's responsibility to ensure that their roads are safe for us all and it will be expensive and should be the financial responsibility of the Jamul Indian Village as the condition is caused by the Jamul Indian Village Casino traffic... to quote Caltrans *"Traffic resulting from the JIV Gaming Development Project, would directly cause operating conditions at various intersections along SR-94 to fall below acceptable levels through the added traffic."* And the same thing is true of all of the feeder streets as well. We need to urge the Board of Supervisors to send the MOU back to staff to include, at a bare minimum, the money it will take to make our roads safe.

**Michael Casinelli** – Said he agrees with Janet that traffic is a number one concern and feels that the BOS may look at the fact that the MOU is incomplete without addressing the area of traffic impacts to the County Roads and instruct County Staff to add that to the MOU before it would be complete.

**Joe gave a few reminders:**

- All were encouraged to write letters or emails to Board of Supervisors voicing their concerns. It will be important to write to all five so they all know where the community stands. The physical address for each of them is: 1600 Pacific Hwy #335, San Diego, CA 92101 and their emails are:

Greg Cox, District 1: [greg.cox@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:greg.cox@sdcounty.ca.gov)

Dianne Jacob, District 2: [Dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:Dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov)

Dave Roberts, District 3: [Dave.roberts@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:Dave.roberts@sdcounty.ca.gov)

Ron Roberts, Chair, District 4: [Ron.roberts@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:Ron.roberts@sdcounty.ca.gov)

Bill Horn, District 5: [Bill.horn@sdcounty.ca.gov](mailto:Bill.horn@sdcounty.ca.gov)

- All were encouraged to come to the Board of Supervisors meeting on **April 13** as well as come to the Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group meeting on **March 22** where comments will be taken and included in the JDCPG letter and presentation to the BOS.

**Adjournment:** Joe Stuyvesant, Chair, adjourned the meeting at 8:57, thanking the huge crowd for attending and giving their input and encouraging all to be sure to write the Supervisors and come to the April 13 meeting.

Respectfully submitted,

Janet Mulder, Secretary

ATTACHED BELOW ARE THE WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE PUBLIC EITHER AT THE MEETING OR VIA EMAIL:

## WRITTEN COMMENTS FROM COMMUNITY

**Mike Ellison** – My main concern is traffic. This MOU gives away all the bargaining power the County has to mitigate the costs to improve County roads. Specifically, **Otay Lakes Road**, where over 30% of the casino traffic would come from. Already this is a very dangerous road, and to add a few thousand more cars per day to it without straightening out all the curves is lethal. The cost to do so would be enormous. **Proctor Valley Road** may need to be totally closed, if not, this washboard road that cuts through some Fish & Wildlife property will be a total death trap for motorists and wildlife. Deerhorn Valley residents will probably start using **Honey Springs/Skyline Truck Trail/Lyons Valley/Jamul Drive** in order to avoid the casino traffic. The additional traffic on **Jamul Drive** will significantly increase serious accidents in the area. I'm not sure there is anything that can be done to mitigate this harm. There are at least a half dozen other roads or intersections that need improving. The County certainly doesn't have the funds to make these improvements.

**Donna & Kevin Foster** – We are very concerned about this MOU. It is very one-side and a bad decision of the County. Traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Bob Davis** – Obviously the MOU was written/dictate by the JIV. County Staff is inept and apparently incapable of drafting an MOU that favors the community. Traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Jamul Resident** – This MOU does not address traffic impacts at all! Please look at the following Traffic, noise, air pollution, (especially light pollution), law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern. One sheriff is not sufficient. Question: Are they going to dump sewage into wildlife refuge???

**David Buller** – JIV is not a tribe – no tribal land – o completed gaming contract – illegal removal of funinary remains – Criminal bulldozing of citizens residences – Why has law enforcement, the State of California, the Court system, and the County of San Diego turned their backs on the residents of Jamul? Why is government in support of lawless enterprise? Traffic is main concern along with noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources.

**Nadine Bennett**- As the Superintendent of the Jamul-Dulzura Union School District, I oppose this MOU. The JDUSD School Board and I believe the MOU does not adequately address traffic and safety. Highway 94 safety is greatly endangered by the proposed MOU with the casino. Our highway has a high rate of accidents and fatalities as is. The MOU does not put any responsibility for traffic or community safety on the County or the JIV. While my main concern is traffic, law enforcement and public safety, community character and the treatment of wastewater are all concerns as well.

**Wendy Falcon** – The traffic impact is crucial to the safety of the Jamul community. There is no way to add 5-10K cars per day without an impact and deaths!  
Traffic, law enforcement and public safety, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Shannon Haynes** –I don't understand how this has gotten so far with so many legal points against it. If there is a stop work order, why has there been no stopping of work? This is so sad on so many levels. Federal laws, State laws, Civil laws all violated. Traffic, noise,

air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Emerald Randolph** – The MOU sells out the community and the County. How can the tribal council be considered a “sovereign” government entity? County should not give up litigation or dispute the rights or claims that JIV is violating the law or abandoning appeals, nor give up the “stop work order”. In addition traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Jamul Resident** – My #1 concern is traffic; #2 drunk drivers; #3 wastewater treatment; #4 noise, and the loss of community character, biological resources and our water resources as well as law enforcement & safety.

**Julie Hanks** – Who prepared this MOU? I cannot believe that County personnel could sell out the taxpayers that pay their salaries! Let’s have the names and the departments. No litigation is even possible for the devastation of the biological resources. Traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, with an emphasis on the water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Terri Akin** – Does the County represent the residents of Jamul? Over 90% of Jamulians oppose this casino. We are a rural community and are already greatly disrupted by traffic delays. Noise pollution, overuse of water resources, and negative effects of wildlife, are just a few concerns. The County is not representing us!

**Jamul Resident** – My concerns range from the value of my home decreasing to upsetting our entire community of Jamul. Somebody’s getting fat!!!

**Alex Metero** –What’s being done about traffic jams, noise and accidents?

**Cynthia Thweat** – MOU gives away the rights of the residents of Jamul to a safe community. Traffic cannot be mitigated, criminal activity in the community won’t be mitigated by one sheriff at the casino. Traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Jamul Resident** – I live off Highway 94. Everytime I leave home or come home from Highway 94, I take my life in my hands. It’s dangerous now. It will only get worse. The Road Construction at night has cost us many sleepless nights. What if there’s a bad accident? A fire? Other emergencies? Will anyone be able to get there to help us? This MOU is a joke. An East Coast “Money Group” is paying off someone! Traffic, noise, law enforcement & public safety, community character, and biological resources are my concerns.

**Gary Albert** – The MOU seems to just roll out the red carpet to the unlawful JIV Casino. What benefit is it to the Jamul community (except for 1 sheriff job)? The MOU should provide for more infrastructure to mitigate casino related damages. Traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern, but traffic tops the list.

**Lana, Resident of Jamul** – I am concerned that there is not enough consideration for wildlife. However, traffic, noise, air pollution, law enforcement and Public safety, water resources, community character, wastewater and biological resources are all a concern.

**Jamul Resident** – What can you tell us about how human waste is to be treated and then sent down to Otay Lake Reservoir? Water resources are my main concern.

#### **COMMENTS RECEIVED VIA EMAIL INCLUDED: (Through 3-17-16)**

**Richard Toneck** - Because we live in what used to be a quiet, friendly community in JAMUL I

believe this monstrosity will end this community. People have to consult their GPS, radio updates and Facebook to decide how long it will take them to traverse a few miles of Hwy 94. With the casino we will have to do this all day every day for the rest of our lives. For what ? So that a few would be Indians can con people out of their money? They continue to lie and cheat their way to get their way for this casino. Every rule, law and study is thrown out to the trash bin by their people. Now the county is rolling over on all important issues saying the casino people believe it's important to get this MOU behind the backs of all JAMUL people? The County people say they need to hurry this thing up because the Casino people are in a hurry and want to get their business open? I reject that thinking as most all of the REAL Indians and people of JAMUL do. No Hwy 94 plan? All law suits still pending?Waste water problems? Fire protection problems?Law enforcement problems? (One deputy + one car? Really? For 24 hour day and days off and vacation time?)

They want to oversee everything with no real government over site?

What the hell is the matter with County people? Are they getting kickbacks or what? I say shame on them. I am opposed to it all. Tear it down as far as I am concerned. The casino people have lied personally to me and they continue to lie now.

**Bob Hill** - I attended the jdpg meeting last evening and wanted to follow up on my short comment about the draft mou should not be negotiated by the county staff that put this document together. I suppose my anger got the best of me as shortly after I spoke a gentleman came to the microphone and suggested that this was most likely a document drafted by the casino lawyers. I cannot imagine or comprehend why county staff who are employees of the people of San Diego County would even let this come to the planning group. Obviously there was no time for review by the county. I imagine the casino lawyers just plopping this document on county staffs desks a couple of weeks ago and telling them this is a sweet deal. Take it! Even if the county did in the future enter into a agreement with the casino it would need to be much more lucrative and protect the health safety and welfare of the residents of Jamul.

No mou is better than this! Thank you,

**Dawn Scialabba** - Dear members of the planning committee: I am a resident of Jamul and attended the meeting last night. I want to express my extreme concern for this MOU. I believe that the JIV is getting everything and we the community of Jamul are receiving nothing except traffic, congestion, crime and the destruction of the quiet life as we know it. It is ridiculous to give u p all claims that JIV is violating the law. Why would we do that when we know in fact they are breaking many laws. Please do anything you can to convey our disapproval of this document. It is indeed better to have no resolution than this terrible one

**NOTICE OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING:  
7:30 P.M. TUESDAY, March 22 2016  
OAK GROVE MIDDLE SCHOOL LIBRARY  
Meeting minutes and agendas can be accessed at  
<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds/CommunityGro ups.html>**

#### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public

record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Public Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

#### Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.