

LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

FINAL MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, April 6, 2016 - 6:30

Members present:

Seat 1-Kristen Mitten; 2-Brian Sesko; Seat 3-Karen Ensall; Seat 4-Mike Anderson; Seat 5-Deborah Montgomery; Seat 6-Josef Kufal; Seat 8-Nathan Thompson; Seat 9-Wyatt Allen; Seat 10-Milt Cyphert; Seat 12-Steve Robak; Seat 13-Lisa Anderson; Seat 14-Julie Bugbee;

Members Absent:

Seat 15-Bob Turner; Seat 7-currently vacant; Seat 11- currently vacant

Members Late: 2-Brian Sesko (6 minutes)

Public present: Approximately 52 present, including 12 board members. 5 people signed up for Open Forum. 13 signed up for Greenhills Ranch and 4 signed up for the Foothills Christian Ministry.

OPEN HOUSE (6:00 - 6:30pm)

- 1) **CALL TO ORDER:** 6:33 PM
 - a. ROLL CALL - Quorum reached with 11 present.
- 2) **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Lead by Steven Robak.
- 3) **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF:** Mar 2, 2016
 - a. Corrections: No corrections discussed.

MOTION: was made by Wyatt Allen to approve the meeting minutes for March 2016; seconded by Steven Robak. **VOTE:** Motion Passed (10-0-1).

4) ANNOUNCEMENTS

- a. **Audio Recording** - Notification was provided that the LCPG meeting may be audio recorded for purposes of preparation of the meeting minutes.
- b. **Open Seats:** There are currently two open seats on the LCPG, seat 7 and seat 11.
 - i. Interested citizens who reside within the Planning Group area are encouraged to apply for the remaining positions by filling out the application that is on the website.
 1. Still have two open seats with three applications currently submitted.
 2. Still waiting on the county at this point.

5) OPEN FORUM

a. Jitka Perez

i. Two requests for LCPG.

1. Put Linden Road at high priority on the Capital Improvements list for 2016 for the road to be fixed.
2. Please stick to the Lakeside Community Plan when approving projects so people who live in the older sections of the community don't get stuck with poor planning.
 - a. Has been trying to get help for Linden Road for 2 years, 3 months, and 7 days with the County of San Diego and almost a year with LCPG.
 - b. Problems are a result of poor planning.
 - c. There are no storm drains on Winter Gardens between Orchard Road and Golden Ridge Rd. therefore Linden Rd. takes almost all the flood waters off of Winter Gardens Blvd.
 - d. County wants the 14 residents to form a permanent road division. Residents are over burdened. No infrastructure, no storm drains.
3. Feels LCPG is approving too many projects with higher density, not enough infrastructure, regulation of ordinances, or consideration of surrounding neighbors.
 - a. Would like LCPG to say no to higher density projects.

b. Terry Burke-Eiserling

i. Commented on Jitka's statements.

1. What was done in the past is past and this board and the county will be slow to turn it around.
2. Feels the current LCPG is trying to do things the right way.
3. Supports Jitka's problem but it's not the fastest moving process.

c. Darrin Howell, San Miguel Fire Chief

- i. Was before the LCPG several months' back to get site plan approved.
- ii. Plan was changed from improving an older 1950 building to a new essential services 50 year station.
- iii. Has Board approval to spend approx. 1.4 million on a new fire station.
- iv. Looking for minor site plan deviation approval. New plan is very similar to past plan.
- v. It did make it through the county process but didn't make to the LCPG agenda.
 1. Looking for a long term fix, something that fits the community.
 2. Conventional construction 2 bay apparatus station.

d. Milton Cyphert just got the deviated plans in the mail April 6. It will be added to the May meeting agenda.

- e. Karen Ensall
 - i. Karen presented the PLDO letter she wrote per last month's meeting discussion.
 - 1. PLDO letter states that taxes would be collected to use for parks and recreation. This does not include wording for trails.
 - 2. Karen will submit to Milton for signature and mailing.
 - ii. At the County Revitalization meeting Karen spoke to Richard Petty, the county person who does the infrastructure, regarding 67 north and Winter Gardens exit. There is no stop there so people just shoot across three lanes to make a left turn onto Woodside. It is very dangerous. This situation needs to be looked at.
 - iii. Karen contacted the County and said this should be added to the LCPG Capital Improvements Priority list.
 - iv. Karen also mentioned the traffic on Moreno. On Thursday and Fridays between 4:30 and 6pm it is almost impossible to get out of the driveways. Karen would also like to put traffic calming on the Capital Improvements Priority List and get public comments as well.
 - v. LCPG will add Linden Road issues to the Capital Improvements List.
 - vi. Milton Cyphert said we will put the Capital Improvements List on next month's agenda.
- f. Kristen Mitten
 - i. We were supposed to talk about the Capital Improvements Priority List last October.
 - ii. We had a subcommittee meeting last time the list was updated and it took about two hours to hash out the list. Kristen is suggesting reestablishing another subcommittee.
 - iii. Milton wants to talk about the subcommittee under group business.

6) COUNTY PRESENTATIONS

- a. Bill Saumier with the County presenting on the following:
 - i. Lindo Lake Teen Center Photovoltaic design and construction project.
 - 1. Jerry F. Department of Parks and Recreation.
 - 2. Final design for Lakeside Teen Center to install photovoltaic (solar panels) system.
 - 3. System consists of about 48 panels, 10 of which will be on north side of building as you walk in, the higher portion of the building. Remaining will be on the south side of the building. System includes micro inverters and latest technology to prevent the entire system from going down.
 - 4. Design is to offset 100% energy usage.
 - 5. Going out to bid this month, with construction to complete about July 2016.
 - 6. The county had funds available and Parks and Recreation didn't want to pass up the opportunity to improve Lakeside. Because

this just came up it hadn't been presented to the community before.

7. Would like the community to be on board with what is done.
8. Panels will be on flat part of the roof in back section.
 - a. Two rows on front will be visible.
 - b. It will be 100% off the grid.
9. Not asking for a vote at this time but wants input and concerns.
10. Comments/concerns
 - a. Josef Kufal: the project has micro inverters, has someone been monitoring the performance of the panels.
 - i. It will be monitored and will have a computer, monitoring station, kiosk, and will be monitored to know how much it is producing and can get credit for.
 - b. Public: didn't have much time to prepare so can't comment and has issue with late notification. Feels there is lack of communication.
 - i. This was hurried so funds would still be available and not go to another community. If project does not get done the money would possible go elsewhere.

MOTION: Motion made by Wyatt Allen to support the solar panel project, seconded by Julie Bugbee. **VOTE:** Motion passed (11-0-0)

- i. Comments on motion:
 - a. Kristen Mitten, question about sending this out for bid and latest technology. Will the solar panels be non-glare, create heat (problems for the birds), or anything like that.
 - i. Answer: Panels are dark so the understanding is that they generate heat. There will be a washing system to keep panels clean.
 - b. Julie Bugbee, wanted to explain that sometimes these things come up quickly and we have to make a decision at the spur of the moment.
 - c. Janis Shakelford, would personally recommend support of the motion. Chairs the Lindo Lake Subcommittee, the subcommittee was informed that solar would be added similar to what is on the roof in the current building. Personally does not feel the appearance of solar is detrimental in this day and age.
 - d. Milton Cyphert, from personal experience feels solar will save the tax payers a lot of money in the long run.
 - e. Brian Sesko, addressed the community concerns about items coming before the board without proper

notification. For a year has been trying to get answers from the county about parking problems in Lakeside and no notification. Finally got a response two days ago about what the county announces, what they tell the community, and what they don't tell the community.

- f. Feels the LCPG thinks this solar project is good for the community even if the community didn't get notified as we would like them to.
 - g. Milton Cyphert, per the rules and the Brown act this agenda was posted within 72 hour of the meeting and went out on public email. It was publicly noticed.
- ii. Public generated preferred design concept for Lindo Lake.
- 1. Bill Saumier, Department of Parks and Recreation
 - a. Had several meetings on improving water in Lindo Lake.
 - b. Has had three community meetings to gather input and to create designs. Sent out over two thousand flyers reaching out to people who live in this community.
 - c. Will take some of the dredge material and utilize on site.
 - d. Approx. 250 thousand cubic yards of material needs to be moved. 31 thousand will stay on site.
 - e. Majority of the community wanted to see deepened basins on both sides; about 10ft deep is the maximum that can be done in order not to erode the shoreline.
 - i. Community wanted to see:
 - 1. More stable shorelines
 - 2. Fishing peers
 - 3. Crossing from boathouse to community center
 - 4. Improving ADA
 - 5. Bird Blinds for viewing areas in eastern basin
 - 6. Depth will help with algae bloom
 - 7. Filtration devices on incoming pipes to capture sediment
 - 8. Aeration to keep water clear
 - f. Offered the public a chance to be involved and come to meeting as this project progresses.
 - g. Once design is approved will be going for permits.
 - h. Once permitted the money is out there.
 - 2. Board comments/questions:
 - a. Wyatt Allen, great grandmother, Flossy Beetle, sat with a shotgun and wouldn't let anyone tear down the boat house gazebo. Feels it will be a nice project for Lakeside.

3. Public comments/questions:

- a. Janis Shakelford, Chair of Lindo Lake Subcommittee for Lakeside Revitalization Program.
 - i. This is one major step that the community has been working on since the Revitalization program began in Lakeside in the 1990's.
 - ii. Hopes the LCPG will support the concept so it can go forward. Without LCPG support it will come to a standstill and have to be looked at again.
 - iii. All the community meetings that have been held have come up with this design.
 - iv. Well over a couple hundred people have responded. All issues will be addressed in the environmental study.
- b. Brian Jones, chair for infrastructure for revitalization.
 - i. Has been tasked to make sure the county accepts responsibility for the catch basins.
 - 1. The one at Petit and the one at Julian and Pino.
 - 2. These hold sand and when they get too full the sand carries over into the lake. One of the biggest problems is the chain link fence and difficulty getting a backhoe in to clear sand. Needs to be changed to facilitate the sand removal.
 - 3. There are four people at DPW to clear 20 catch basins so their tasks are full.
 - 4. Need to address this issue to keep sediments out of the lake. Bill says it is part of the project.
- c. Maggie O'Brian, Lakeside citizen. Lives on Lakeshore Drive.
 - i. Thanked Milton for clarifying the notification issue. It was not a challenge to LCPG it was a challenge to the comment the public was not given enough time to decide on the thing.
 - ii. Has oppositions to the current plan to restore Lindo Lake.
 - 1. Concerns on the cost, heard it could take 8 to 16 million vs. cost of a fire station for 1.4 million.
 - 2. Feels there hasn't been enough notification from the county to this area of

Lakeside with regards to participation and the decisions made.

3. Will have a negative impact on the current and rustic environment of the park.
 4. Has more detailed information regarding the primacies to these conclusions and will send in email correspondence in order to save time at meeting.
- iii. Milton Cyphert addressed some of Maggie's points.
1. Been working on the Lake for about 17 years. Feels that saying the publicly noticed past three years of meetings is not enough notice is misguided.
 2. The Lake is dying right now. It's the centerpiece of our town. It needs fixed or will be no more. The choice is do nothing and lose the Lake or do something, which will cost money. It's the least expensive of all the ways proposed.
 3. Maggie stated she was referring to the most recent meetings in regards to the design plan and notification to the public. Is not proying "do nothing" but thinks there are ways to scale it down some. Knows the Lakes importance.
- d. Julie Bugbee, comment; lived in Lakeside 43 years and has a shop in Lakeside since 1977 and the Lake has deteriorated consistently and she will support anything anyone does for it.

MOTION: Motion made by Julie Bugbee to support/approval design concept 3 as presented by the County, seconded by Wyatt Allen.

1. Comments on the motion:
 - a. Brian Sesko asked for clarification on the overall concept. Wanted to know if what is presented is locked in concrete. Answer was that it is a vision of the community and may change if the environmental agencies ask for something to be done. Also, further public comments will be addressed.
 - b. Public comment was that based on community input it was final end result and etched in stone as they understood it.
 - c. Milton's comment was that it is a final "concept" however as will all projects of this scope from concept to finish sometimes there is other input and things can change.

- d. Kristen Mitten, the meetings this will likely be coming back to in not necessarily through LCPG but the Lindo Lake Subcommittee run by Janis Shakelford. It's a good idea to get on her mailing list so as to be notified of the meetings.

VOTE: Motion passed (12-0-0)

7) PUBLIC HEARING

- a. Proposed Verizon Cell, Faux 35' tree, PDS 2015 MUP-15-028, 11470 Wildcat Canyon Road/Muth Valley Road. Zoned open space.
 - i. Kerrigan Diehl, project is a major use permit for a 35' faux tree concept.
 1. Original concept was a water tower but a tree design was preferred to fit the area. Will be made with cedercrete (looks like wood) which meets FP2 for fire.
 2. Showed photos simulations showing the location and what the faux tree would look like.
 3. This site is designed as two sectors shooting up and down Wild Cat Canyon Road.
 4. Gives coverage at the local park and to cover those traveling on Wild Cat Canyon Road.
 - ii. LCPG comments/questions:
 1. Steve Robak, asked if this will help the dead spot going up the hill to Barona. Because of the 35' height it would cover the lower and mid section of the road. It would take 80' to cover the higher section. It's line of site.
 2. Nathan Thompson wanted clarification on location. It is in the parking lot of the park. Not on side of road visible when driving by. Down at parking lot lower than the road level.
 3. Wyatt Allen asked if it would cohabitate with other providers. The tree is designed for cohabitation but not the equipment. Any other provider wanting to cohabitate and add their antennas would have to go through the county to acquire some space.
 4. Brian Sesko assumed this would generate revenue for the county parks system. There is a lease agreement.
 - iii. Public comments/questions:
 1. Pat asked how far the signal will reach. It will be about a mile, mile and a half.

MOTION: Motion made by Brian Sesko to approve/support the Verizon Tower as presented, seconded by Kristen Mitten.

1. Discussion on motion:

Josef Kufa asked if the tower will withstand fire. The tree is a steel pole and the equipment is designed to withstand an FP2 fire. In a large scale event there may be damage.

VOTE: Motion passed (12-0-0).

- b. Discretionary Permit for MUP PDS2016-MUP-72-650W4. Foothills Christian Ministry, 10404 Lake Jennings Park Rd, Lakeside, CA 92040, APN: 395-280-37-00. Replace temporary, modular classroom with permanent structure.
 - i. Kevin Miller, Pastor for Foothills Christian Church.
 - 1. Been at the location since 1999. Modulares were put on the property in 2002. In 2008 one was approved to be converted from a temporary to a permanent. Enrollment went down so the other temporary modular was not being used.
 - 2. Looking to put a permanent modular for a second class for 4th and 5th graders and leaving the temporary.
 - 3. Doesn't increase traffic. Passed all environmental studies.
 - 4. No impact; is in middle of school and has been there for years.
 - 5. Asking LCPG to support the project.
 - ii. Deborah Montgomery: wanted clarification on if this is a regular building or permanent modular unit. It is modular, not a structure.
 - iii. Milton Cyphert asked if the modular would be replaced with a newer one. A permanent foundation will be put in and a new modular.
 - 1. Kristen Mitten, looks like the plans show the new module is proposed to go where the sand playground is currently. That is where the new module will go and the Sand playground will be moved to where the basket ball courts are.

MOTION: Motion by Wyatt Allen to approve/support the project, seconded by Nathan Thompson.

No comments from the public, no comments from LCPG.

VOTE: Motion passed (11-0-1)

- c. PDS2016-SPA-16-001, Greenhills Ranch, single family residential, 75 lots, 64 dwellings on 58.88 acres, at 9385 Adlai Rd., Lakeside, CA 92040. APN 395-151-16/60/61/73, 395-160-15, and 398-400-08/09/10/20.
 - i. Lee Vance, land use planner representing the owners of the property.
 - ii. This is a kick off meeting, not specifically asking for anything from LCPG at this time, just giving information and asking for input/comments.
 - iii. Property, including the first phase of Greenhills Ranch plans has been owned since 1965. When the Lakeside Community Plan was adopted this property was identified as a specific plan and is shown on the community plan map as a 21SPA with performance standards that have to be met.
 - iv. This project is the second and last phase of the specific plan. First phase being approved in June 2004 by the Board of Supervisors.
 - v. First phase is 31 lots on almost 12 acres of 51.9 acres on the Northern half of the project.
 - vi. The Planning Commission as well as LCPG recommended approval to the Board of Supervisors for phase 1.

- vii. Phase 2 involves a specific plan amendment, a rezone, and tentative map to allow the 64 lot development on 36 acres. 15 acres open space is planned.
- viii. Bringing in an additional 21 acres open space via additional property located west of the area.
- ix. Challenges of the proposal:
 - 1. Adopted specific plan text includes a lot of acres that are inaccurate compared to the final map.
 - 2. About 1/3 of the document was based on the old County General Plan, not the 20/20.
 - 3. It was confusing trying to add to the old plan because of the new general plan. A new volume, #2, was written with a lot of detail based on the 20/20 plan.
 - 4. Project should take about 14 months.
 - 5. Cards were given to the attending public with contact numbers to address any concerns.
 - 6. Anticipating being back before LCPG probably two or three more times in the next year or so.
 - 7. The 21 acres is specifically zoned per the specific plan zoning. The rezone proposes to change about a third of that into open space.
 - 8. Proposing the 22.1 acres being added to the specific plan to be rezoned from A70 agricultural to open space.
- x. Lee Vance stated this is a getting to know you informational presentation and is just asking for input and comments at this time.
- xi. LCPG questions/comments:
 - 1. Julie Bugbee asked if Adlai Road to a public road.
 - a. Adlai is an existing private road with access rights. Will work with county's public works department to get direction on traffic improvements needed. Will direct some of the traffic leaving the subdivision onto next to Helix MWD water plant.
 - 2. Kristen Mitten stated that there are a lot of public roads not being maintained by the county. An HOA doesn't seem feasible to maintain the roads unless all 64 units are sold.
 - a. Density for this is extreme. Other lots around here are a lot larger.
 - b. Need at least Greenhills Park to be public. These roads need maintenance.
 - c. Concerned with the small lots and the private roads.
 - 3. Brian Sesko asked what size phase 1 lots were.
 - a. They are 9000sf with about half the lot's being open space.
 - b. Asked if the private roads would have parking or be redlined for no parking.
 - i. One side will be redlined for the fire department.

- c. New phase owners will have full access to the whole property, no open space.
 - d. Asked if there some way to make the main access road wider and a county maintained road to help alleviate stacking of traffic.
 - i. Proponent has no plans to upgrade the road unless public works request it. The public wants it though.
4. Milton Cyphert stated that the LCPG board is not against development however we don't want it developed so that we are harming our current neighbors. We don't want to make our community worse just to have development; we want to make it better.
- a. Adlai Rd. needs help, it is already heavily impacted, it has deteriorated. There is extra traffic.
 - i. It would be neighborly to add a road maintenance agreement as part of the HOA to keep Adlai in good condition for the people that live there now.
 - ii. LCPG would like to see at 2.1 parking places per household.
 - iii. Would like to see a trail system.
 - 1. There are trails planned per the specific plan.
 - iv. The lots sizes are small for what Lakeside is use to having. Wondered how many bedrooms, house sizes are planned.
 - 1. Proponent feels the density is fine per the general plan density.
5. Kristen Mitten stated LCPG is looking at the surrounding neighborhood and the infrastructure has to be there to support the density.
6. Deborah Montgomery asked if the open space would be usable to the home owners.
- a. The open space is habitat conservation and not to be used.
7. Karen Ensall drove out there and felt it would greatly impact the community and the road structures. Bringing additional people onto Lake Jennings will be more of a nightmare. There has to be a different way to route.
- a. Concerned about trails impact.
 - b. Concerned about street lights.
 - c. Concerned about power undergrounding.
 - d. Concerned about density and the driving through the existing homes.
8. Julie Bugbee concerned about lack of public roads in the project.
9. Brian Sesko is concerned with the density and lack of parking. Can't support a jammed in project with lack of parking.

xii. Public questions/comments:

1. Janis Shakelford was a member of the planning group during phase 1. The scoping is not done yet so LCPG has the opportunity to submit comments to the scoping to address any concerns heard tonight. Ask that the concerns be addressed.
 - a. The community plan originally required a density of 1.6 over the entire project.
 - b. Second part required 60% of the original parcel had to be open space.
 - c. Third requirement was that the density in the developed area could not exceed 4.3 dwelling units per acre.
 - d. This project is now adding acreage to the original specific plan.
 - i. Feels this is not just a rezone but needs a general plan amendment because of changing not only the specific plan and the zoning.
 - ii. LCPG was highly opposed this project back in the 70's when the Board of Supervisors approved this project.
 - iii. Concerned about the traffic, especially when the proposed shopping center is developed.
 - iv. Concerned with people taking shortcuts through Adlai from backed up Lake Jennings.
 - v. Adlai has been on the radar for many years as needing upgrades to public road standards but project after project in that valley has been approved without Adlai expanded in any way.
2. Pam Schiller lives on Audobon Rd.
 - a. Concerned with the density.
 - b. Concerned with water shed.
 - c. Concerned with traffic.
 - d. Concerned with schools.
 - e. Concerned with entry onto Lake Jennings Rd.
 - f. Concerned with trails, none given, using existing SDGE access roads.
3. Robert Faigin
 - a. This project is literally in his back yard.
 - b. The plan comes all the way to his back fence.
 - c. Concerned with the density in a rural area. It will be like urban developments.
 - d. All he will see is houses from his yard, no longer open Lakeside.
 - e. Feels this project does not fit into the Lakeside Community Plan.

- f. Feels LCPG has the opportunity to make sure the community is not harmed by this project.
 - g. He is opposed to this project.
4. Julia Armstrong, lives off Adlai Road for 10 years.
- a. Has lived in City prior.
 - i. Does not want to live in city again, likes the open.
 - ii. Not opposed to development but density is too much for this project.
 - iii. Rezoning is a big concern.
 - iv. Considers it a blessing to live where she does.
 - v. Would like lot sizes opened up.
5. Linda Keach lived in Lakeside since 1994.
- a. Showed pictures of water runoff coming across her property at levels going 4 feet up her wall and 50 feet wide. A lot of the water is from the Phase 1 property.
 - i. Concerned that phase 2 will not address where the additional water runoff will go.
 - ii. Eastlake View had flooding because of this runoff.
 - iii. Concerned with the proponent complying with the letter of the law and not the spirit of the law.
 - iv. Wants Adlai fixed, wants drainage fixed, and wants the roads public.
6. Leah Dutra lives on the Lakeview side of the development.
- a. Houses won't impact her property but will have a lot of houses with people looking into her property and does not want a lot of people in her business.
 - b. Concerned about the density.
 - c. Concerned about the open space being closed so people will be tramping around her yard.
 - d. The notice received was just a general outline of where the development will be. The parcels were not shown.
 - e. Wants to see Lakeside development, not Mira Mesa development.
7. Wayne Smith lives on Audobon, a private road.
- a. Doesn't understand widening of Audobon for three houses that ends in a dead end.
 - b. It is open space now around his house and is zoned agricultural. He has a donkey that brays if late feeding. Now there will be 7 houses above and below and is concerned with complaints about noise and flies.
 - c. Moved to rural so allow for animals.
 - d. Concerned about road to Lake Jennings.
 - e. Concerned about the tourmaline mines in the area.
 - f. Original plans had more open space.

8. Terry Burke-Eiserling
 - a. Concerned it is too dense for the area.
 - b. Concerned with private road.
 - c. Concerned with impact to neighbors.
 - d. Concerned with open space not being usable.
 9. Melanie Smith lives on Audobon
 - a. Bought in 2003 and was told that the other side of Audobon could not be built on because of being a natural reserve for the Gnatcatcher. Now all of a sudden they are putting in 7 homes.
 - b. Moved to country for health reasons and will stress about her animals and complaints from urban neighbors who don't understand animals.
 - c. Doesn't want the density. All the houses in her area are 1 acre and that is what should be built there. Should be zoned agricultural to fit in with the neighbors.
 10. Janis Shakeford checked her notes on the original proposal.
 - a. Absolutely need a grading plan. Need to know what the H* setback means in their zoning box. Need the building envelope for each of these parcels. Need proposed specific plan text and need to review closely.
 11. Lee Vance concluding statement.
 - a. A lot of what was said needs to be looked at. Wants to give answers to the points made tonight and will bring answers back next meeting before LCPG.
- xiii. No motion, no votes at this time.

8) GROUP BUSINESS

- a. Brian Sesko says minutes are very detailed, should the board direct the secretary to shorten.
 - i. In the interest of full disclosure and concern documentation the LCPG will not direct a change to the minutes.
- b. Annual CPG training:
 - i. Still have some people that taken it. It's on the website. It takes 2 hours. No one has turned in form 700 yet and it is required by law.
- c. Member's Attendance Review:
 - i. Mike Turner has missed 5 out of 12 consecutive meetings.
 1. Milton Cyphert will contact Mike to see if he intends to make the rest of the meetings.
- d. Kristen Mitten asked if we can vote in someone to be the subcommittee chair for the capital improvements.
 - i. Kristen Mitten volunteered.

MOTION: Motion made by Deborah Montgomery to approve Kristen Mitten as Chair for the Capital Improvements committee, seconded by Karen Ensall. **VOTE:** Motion passed (12-0-0)

- e. Karen Ensall read the PLDO she wrote that will be sent from the LCPG.
 - i. Milton Cyphert will sign and Karen will mail it in.

9) SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

- a. Design Review Board (DRB):
 - i. Mostly just approving signs.
- b. County Service Area 69 (CSA 69):
 - i. No CSA coverage.
- c. Trails Committee Report:
 - i. County of San Diego is in the process of completing the switchbacks up to the flume trail from Hanson pond. County has to purchase one more piece of land to finish the connection.
 - ii. There will be a grand opening May 15, 2016 for the new trail from Hanson pond.
 - iii. Eventually this trail will be widened to 15 ft. like the Walker Preserve.
 - iv. No plans yet for the staging area that has been promised since 2007 and the County paid \$1M for.
 - v. ECEC had 2nd of 3 meetings and are in the design phase. Won't break ground until the foundation has \$150K in the bank.

10) ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING. 8:52pm

Next Meeting Date: Weds. May 4, 2016, starting at 6:30

Deborah Montgomery,
Lakeside Community Planning Group
lakesidecpg@gmail.com

Visit our website for Agendas, Project Materials, Announcements & more at: LCPG.weebly.com or send an email to the LCPG chair & secretary at: lakesidecpg@gmail.com

Public Disclosure

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error, if you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.