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K-1 This introductory comment is noted.

K-2 Items #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 express opposition for the project but do not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required. Regarding Item #4, traffic impacts were adequately analyzed in Section 2.6 Traffic/Transportation of the Draft EIR. Street improvements associated with the project have been designed so as to maintain adequate access to the businesses located north of Olde Highway 80 (immediately north of the project site). As shown on Final EIR Figure 1-1 Site Plan, improvements to Olde Highway 80 include a neutral lane, with dedicated left turn pockets into the existing commercial area north of the project site. Therefore, motorists would not be required to make U-turns at traffic lights in order to access this adjacent commercial area.

K-3 The proposed project was unanimously approved by the Lakeside Planning Group at its February 4, 2016 meeting. This comment does not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

K-4 It is acknowledged that other storefront vacancies do exist in surrounding areas. However, this comment does not raise a specific issue on the Draft EIR and therefore, no further response is necessary.
A total of two stop lights are proposed as part of the traffic improvements associated with the project. The street improvement plans allow for left turns (traveling eastbound on Olde Highway 80) into the existing commercial center located north of the project site. Therefore, motorists would not be required to make U-turns at traffic lights in order to access this adjacent commercial area.

The commenter is correct regarding the description of circulation improvements associated with the previously approved residential project. All traffic impacts associated with the currently proposed commercial project will be mitigated and Olde Highway 80 would operate to County standards. The project Applicant coordinated with the Tractor Supply Project applicant and County of San Diego Department of Public Works to design roadways for traffic.

Comment noted. This comment does not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

Comment noted. Signed petitions indicating opposition to the project are provided in Appendix P of the Final EIR. This comment does not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

Comment noted. This comment does not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR; therefore, no further response is required.

Comment noted.