

**REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH
ORDINANCES/POLICIES**

**FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF
RANDY LANE MINOR SUBDIVISION, PDS2013-TPM-21197**

April 2, 2015

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated February 10, 2015.

III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Sweetwater Authority Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes:

The site does not support steep slopes as defined by the RPO. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Beth Ehsan on February 15, 2013. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist, Brian F. Smith, and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological and/or historical sites. In addition, the project must comply with the San Diego County Grading, Clearing, and Watercourse Ordinance (§87.101-87.804), CEQA §15064.5(d), and §7050.5 of the Health & Safety Code. Section 87.429 of the Grading, Clearance, and Watercourse Ordinance requires the suspension of grading operations when human remains or Native American artifacts are encountered.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

The project Storm Water Management Plan has been reviewed and is found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

The project is a four parcel minor subdivision. The site is developed with an existing single family residence that would be removed. Documentation and noise information is considered acceptable. The project is subject to the County Noise Element exterior threshold of 60 dBA for single family residence. Based on the project's location, primary noise sources are comprised of future traffic traveling along Bonita Road. The is located approximately 460 feet south of the roadway. Based on noise attenuation by distance and existing intervening topography and structures between the project site and Bonita Road, noise levels are not anticipated to exceed the 60 dBA CNEL requirement pursuant to the County Noise Element, Tables N-1 and N-2. The project is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance. Temporary construction noise associated with grading is subject to a 75 dBA eight hour average sound level limit at occupied property lines. The grading would consist of a cut and fill of 3,070 cubic yards of materials for

approximately one week on-site. No impulsive type of equipment is proposed and no materials processing is proposed on site. Therefore, temporary construction equipment activities are anticipated not to exceed the 75 dBA eight hour average pursuant to the County Noise Ordinance, Section 36.408 & 36.409.