COMPREHENSIVE RENEWABLE ENERGY PLAN TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MEETING MINUTES

January 9, 2015, 1:00 PM

5510 Overland Avenue, Third Floor, Drake Conference Room San Diego, California

A. **Call Meeting to Order**

TAC members:

Jason Anderson Craia Benedetto Corinne Lytle Bonine Douglas Kot Peder Norby Ken Parks John Reaves

County of San Diego (CSD):

Noah Alvey Joseph Farace Darren Gretler **Andy Hamilton** Stephanie Smith Andrew Spurgin Melanie Tylke Mark Wardlaw

Empower Devices (ED):

Erin Malcolm Brandt George Burmeister Kat A. Donnelly Skip Laitner

Members of the public:

Susan Freedman Anna Lowe Cesar Rios Ian Stewart Donna Tisdale Jim Whalen

(TAC member Richard Caputo attended via conference call.)

В. **Business**

- 1. October 2, 2014 Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes were approved, with no members
- 2. Technical Advisory Committee By-laws were approved, with no members opposed.
- 3. Rosenberg's Rules of Order were approved, with no members opposed.

C. **Comprehensive Renewable Energy Plan Development**

County staff provided an overview of direction from the Board of Supervisors

- 1. ED staff provided a summary of the October 2, 2014 TAC Meeting
- 2. ED staff presented the Economic Methodology. TAC members provided the following input:
 - Provide realistic but ambitious goals/targets
 - Show the triple-bottom-line benefits on key, strategic topics
 - Present technical information in layman's terms
 - Provide land use impacts of the CREP, including encumbering by generation and transmission
 - Describe how the pace of investment impacts cost/benefit (for example on PV and fueling
 - Provide a business model approach to loading order of the scenarios
 - Address demand response (cost of consumption aspect)
 - Include information on the Governor's goals appears that Scenarios 2 and 3 reflect Governor's renewable energy goals - Scenario 1 may not be innovative
 - Consider increase in electricity as future fuel source
 - Summarize the CREP goal as an investment in energy efficiency and renewable energy to reduce operating costs and greenhouse gas emissions

- 3. ED staff presented on Opportunities for Increasing Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency in San Diego County. TAC members provided the following input:
 - Address Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) and the percent of customers leaving investor owned utilities
 - Discuss the reliability of CCA
 - Provide the advantages and disadvantages of CCAs (Start-up costs, Financial risk to partners, Duplication of services, Rate stability/unequal benefits, Success rate, Funding source, Legislative barriers)
 - Provide consistency in data sets for public presentation
 - Consider Mark Jacobson study on California Energy Use and Renewables
- 4. ED staff presented on Best Practices Evaluation. TAC members provided the following input:
 - Consider Regional Energy Networks as a possible funding opportunity
 - Consider best practices from Center of Sustainable Energy, SANDAG, City of Chula Vista, and the City of San Diego
 - Consider increasing costs of renewables
 - Account for yearly increase in rate of return by San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E)
 - Suggest a menu of options, illustrating a weighting/ranking of options to help reach the overall targets (i.e. initiatives)
 - Consider technical and economic feasibility
 - Consider start-up costs, capital intensities, longevity of resources
 - Consider end-user costs if available
 - Consider indirect benefits
 - Give Board all the options to consider (with cost benefit presented to the customer).
 - Concern about cost implications and reliability of renewables, consider price predictability for budget purposes
 - Concern over using BAU (Business as usual) as a public facing term, consider using 'current situation', 'current targets', 'status quo' as alternatives.
- 5. County staff presented Summary and Next Steps

D. Public Comment

The public provide comment on the following Items:

C.3 Economic Development

- A reduction of large scale renewables in the backcountry may not be the consensus of those residing in the County.
- The benefits of greenhouse gas reductions are not direct benefits of the CREP. The CREP should provide air modeling benefits and potential health impacts of implementing the CREP.
- The CREP should show the year 2030 as a mid-point to align with the Governor's renewable energy goals.
- CREP should consider the greenhouse gas impacts from truck trips to renewable energy sites in the County
- Include the borrowing payback periods

C.4 Opportunities for Increasing Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency in San Diego County

- Is 100% renewable energy attainable?
- What are the options besides CCAs and Direct Access?

C.5 Best Practices Evaluation

- Large scale renewable projects do not benefit communities where they are located
- Address the big picture to provide reason to support this county administered system
- Consider quality of life