

Bennett, Jim

From: Barry Allen <twiggywyj9492@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 07, 2015 8:23 AM
To: Bennett, Jim
Subject: Add to the EIR

Mr. Bennett: I have shared my thoughts with other valley owners and I want you to review it and add it to my objections:

We reviewed that document originally granted authority in 1975 and re-dated 1982 Special Report 153. Seems something is missing between those dates, like "revisions" "addendum's" etc. as this report goes to "local governmental planners as an accurate, unbiased data base to assist them in the decision-making process". If that is the case, it is old and outdated.

It is my opinion that the zoning for sand mining was added in 1979 and I am still trying to extract how it was added to replace Agriculture. I can't believe the valley ownership was notified and offered the opportunity to object, as right now; it is a loud and resounding "no"! Wouldn't that have been the same then? I believe so if we had a transparent government at that juncture. I hate behind the scenes maneuvers, manipulations, decision making etc. just as Carlsbad petition reflected. I believe we have some working on us right now.

Further, if it goes to "local governmental planners" to make accurate decisions on behalf of their constituent base; it certainly is slanted towards maximizing cost of extraction and marketing, and issues targeting miners and profits; not the constituent base properties that can be affected.

So yes, the sand mining group is using it to their benefit, and I question the intent of that document relative to our Government representation. It really is all about maximizing profit. There is sand available elsewhere, but El Monte Valley would be cheap and profitable product.

I don't believe that old document should carry any weight and I also believe the County should be told.

Further, the El Monte Valley permit trail, beginning with Mark Weston on "his" sewer to tap/sand-mining permit failed after litigation over the golf course controversy followed by piggybacking the same permit onto the current Permittee, and is a lame attempt by Helix to try to recover revenues lost, not act in the best interest of stakeholders/public. Their primary object is water for public consumption.

Helix and Padre were talking about adding up to 25 new wells in the river bottom for water to sell to new customers during that controversy.

Even further, Mark Weston is now the "chair" of the San Diego County Water Authority, in direct conflict with the Governors State of Emergency water crisis; whereby 132 acre feet of water is projected by the Permittee, to separate silt from sand. 43,000,000 gallons give or take a few teaspoons full.

43,000,000 drawn from our aquifer? Right! Bennett is a groundwater geologist, does he believe the movement of underground water in that quantity will not disturb our wells or water quality? Is his capacity to just move paperwork or to use his background for the public good?

Weston is advocating conservation on TV, and in cahoots with this mass waste of water for sand. The whistle needs to be blown about this. The San Diego Water Authority must take a stand for or against this madness.

I am sending a copy of this to Bennett as one of my further objections,

Barry & Jackie Treahy
14775 El Monte Rd.