

I-15 CORRIDOR DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

MINUTES OF MEETING OF FEBRUARY 16, 2012

Meeting was conducted at 5256 Mission Road, Suite 706 (La Sala Room), Bonsall, CA 92003 and called to order at 7:07 PM by Chairman Greg Izor. Board Members present; Tom Harrington, Chuck Davis, Joan Van Ingen, Sandy Smith, Bill Crocker and Michael McIntire.

Minutes of the 11-17-11 meeting were reviewed and Tom Harrington made the motion to approve the meeting minutes and Joan Van Ingen seconded. Motion carried 7-0. Note, no meetings were held in December, 2011 or January, 2012 due to no business items.

REQUEST FOR WAIVER - NONE

PLAN REVIEW - NONE

OLD BUSINESS - NONE

NEW BUSINESS

- DRB discussed in depth the Country's 'Design Review Compliance Checklist' and the 'Single-Family Residential Design Guidelines' to see how these documents impact the I-15 DRB. At the DRB meeting in November, 2011 Dixie Switzer made a presentation regarding the streamlining the current DPLU design review process. The purpose of the Checklist is to expedite projects with low visual impact in the I-15 Corridor. The Single-Family Residential Design Guidelines is a support document for the Checklist and within it are typical graphic examples on how I-15 Corridor projects should be handled.
- DRB reviewed the Design Review Compliance Checklist and made comments and suggestions which are minor in nature. Suggested changes are to items 2 and 21 and the removal of items 4, 5 and 18. The DRB wants further clarification on who will determine compliance and if the project is found compliant will the I-15 DRB still have the chance to review it. Currently a Board member will inspect the build site for compliance. If DPLU determines a project complies with the Checklist without checking out the site, the Board fears there may be conflicts.
- DRB reviewed the Single-Family Residential Design Guidelines and question lot layout for a single project as opposed to a multi lot layout; shouldn't those be separate sections. Clustering a multitude of homes in a rural setting with small lots does not lend itself to the I-15 countryside atmosphere. Most of the area has a rural layout. Some of the graphics are ambiguous and should be distinctive for rural and semi-rural subdivisions. Regarding 'conservation subdivisions' they will only work if the project reflects the surrounding area. It was said that dropping an Orange County subdivision in the middle of the countryside will ruin the community.
- The Board did decide the Residential Design Guidelines should be mandatory. Sandy Smith and Tom Harrington will submit the I-15 DRB comments to DPLU for consideration. Sandy Smith made the motion to accept and Bill Crocker seconded. Motion carried 7-0.

PUBLIC ADDRESS ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS - NONE

CORRESPONDENCE - NONE

BOARD MEMBER DISCUSSION

- The DRB briefly discussed the Pala Indians annexation of land east of I-15 Freeway and south of SR76. The annexation of that land to tribal land is still not complete. However when that process is complete it is speculated the Pala Indians will immediately install an illuminated sign advertising their casino. The real concern is will the signage comply with I-15 Design Guidelines which prohibit any backlit signage within the I-15 Corridor.

ADJOURNMENT

- Chairman Greg Izor adjourned the meeting at 8:25 PM. The next scheduled meeting will be on 3-15-12 at 7:00 PM in the La Sala Room.

Respectfully submitted,
Michael McIntire for Joan Van Ingen, Secretary