

**General Plan 2020
Interest Group Meeting
May 9, 2000
Minutes**

Attendees: Dan Friedlander, Stephenson Worley
Florence Sloane, Sporting Dog Council
Ruth Potter, Sierra Club
Janet Anderson, San Diego Natural History Museum
Jim Peugh, San Diego Audubon Society
Keith Behner, Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Association
Eric Larson, Farm Bureau
Jack Bransford, San Diego Chapter Quail Unlimited
Eric Bowlby, Sierra Club
Dan Silver, Endangered Habitat League
Mel Hinton, San Diego Audubon Society
Melissa Royael, Sierra Club
Cathy O'Leary
Tracy Morgan-Hollingsworth, San Diego Assoc. of Realtors
Susan Carson, ECSCSD
Kevin Doyle, National Wildlife Federation
Liz Higgins, San Diego Association of Realtors
Ann Clark, LWUSD Co.
Chris Anderson, Ramona Chamber of Commerce
Sharon Quisenberry, Ramona Real Estate Association
Diane Blackwood, Catches on the Fly
John Robertus, SD Regional Water Quality Control Board
Jim Whalen, Alliance for Habitat Conservation
Diane Barlow-Coombs, Citizens Coordinated

Planning Department: Rose Blake
LeAnn Carmichael
Sam Teres

Standards. The following General Plan 2020 Standards were recommended by the interest groups:

- *Circulation Element.* The steering committee's recommendation to reduce the LOS standard from C to D was discussed. There was concern expressed about a county-wide LOS standard; individualized standards for each community were requested. Concern about limited funding to build roads was also expressed. The interest groups voted to maintain a LOS C baseline standard with an identified exception process.
- *Wetlands:* The interest groups voted to amend the standard from "No net loss of wetlands" to "No net loss of wetland acreage and wetland functions and values".

- *Parks*: The interest groups voted to adopt the local parks standard of 10.5 acres per 1,000 population. Discussion of the regional parks standard was tabled until the next meeting.
- *Density Categories*: There was extensive discussion regarding the new density categories. There was concern expressed about either having too many or too few categories to choose from; about the nomenclature of the various categories; that 1 du/40 ac is too high of a density for “Rural Reserve” and that perhaps a lower density such as 1 du/320 ac should be added to “Rural Reserve”. Further discussion of the density categories will occur at the next meeting.

Next interest group meeting is scheduled for **Tuesday, June 13, 2000 @ 1:30pm**