



Historical Aerial Photo  
1953

**GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026**



**FIRSTSEARCH**

Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360 Job Number: ACR-71497  
(AXN-1953\_3M-161)

1 inch equals 340 feet



Historical Aerial Photo  
1946

**GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026**



**FIRSTSEARCH**

Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360 Job Number: ACR-71497  
(GS-CP\_9-108)

1 inch equals 340 feet



### Gopher Canyon Rd, Escondido, CA 92026



Job Number: ACR-71497  
 Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360

S Quad Name: San Marcos, CA  
 Year: 2012



Building	■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	Railroad	—+—+—+—+—+—+—+—+—
Topo Contour	—6000—	Tanks	● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●
Depression	⊖	Primary Highway	—
Quarry or Open Pit Mine	⊗	Trail	- - - - -

# FIRSTSEARCH

Historical Topographic Map

Quad Name: Bonsall, CA  
 Year: 1975 Original Map Scale: 1:24,000




## Gopher Canyon Rd, Escondido, CA 92026




Job Number: ACR-71497  
 Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360



Building	Railroad
Topo Contour	Tanks
Depression	Primary Highway
Quarry or Open Pit Mine	Trail




### Gopher Canyon Rd, Escondido, CA 92026



Job Number: ACR-71497  
Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360


S Quad Name: San Marcos, CA  
Year: 1968



Building		Railroad	
Topo Contour		Tanks	
Depression		Primary Highway	
Quarry or Open Pit Mine		Trail	




### Gopher Canyon Rd, Escondido, CA 92026



Job Number: ACR-71497  
Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360


S Quad Name: San Marcos, CA  
Year: 1949



Building		Railroad	
Topo Contour		Tanks	
Depression		Primary Highway	
Quarry or Open Pit Mine		Trail	



### Gopher Canyon Rd, Escondido, CA 92026



Job Number: ACR-71497  
Target Site: 33.253803, -117.155360

S Quad Name: San Marcos, CA  
Year: 1948




Building		Railroad	
Topo Contour		Tanks	
Depression		Primary Highway	
Quarry or Open Pit Mine		Trail	

**APPENDIX D**  
**ENVIRONMENTAL RECORDS SEARCH**



ENVIRONMENTAL FIRSTSEARCH REPORT



**TARGET PROPERTY:**

**GOPHER CANYON RD**

**ESCONDIDO, CA 92026**

**JOB NUMBER: ACR-71497**

**PREPARED FOR:**

**EEl, Inc.**

2195 Faraday Avenue, Suite K  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

July 5, 2012

# Environmental FirstSearch Search Summary Report

**Target Site:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

## FirstSearch Summary

Database	Sel	Updated	Radius	Site	1/8	1/4	1/2	1/2>	ZIP	TOTALS
NPL	Y	05-09-12	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NPL Delisted	Y	05-09-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
CERCLIS	Y	04-30-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
NFRAP	Y	04-30-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
RCRA COR ACT	Y	05-09-12	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RCRA TSD	Y	05-09-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
RCRA GEN	Y	05-09-12	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
RCRA NLR	Y	05-09-12	0.12	0	0	-	-	-	0	0
Federal Brownfield	Y	05-01-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
ERNS	Y	04-13-12	0.12	0	0	-	-	-	1	1
Tribal Lands	Y	12-15-08	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
State/Tribal Sites	Y	02-08-12	1.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Spills 90	Y	06-06-12	0.12	0	0	-	-	-	0	0
State/Tribal SWL	Y	04-09-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
State/Tribal LUST	Y	06-06-12	0.50	0	0	0	2	-	0	2
State/Tribal UST/AST	Y	06-01-12	0.25	0	1	0	-	-	0	1
State/Tribal EC	Y	NA	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
State/Tribal IC	Y	04-11-12	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
State/Tribal VCP	Y	02-08-12	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
State/Tribal Brownfields	Y	NA	0.50	0	0	0	0	-	0	0
State Permits	Y	06-06-12	0.12	0	1	-	-	-	0	1
State Other	Y	02-08-12	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
Oil & Gas Wells	Y	01-08-01	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
Federal IC/EC	Y	06-13-12	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
Dry Cleaners	Y	NA	0.25	0	0	0	-	-	0	0
HW Manifest	Y	08-02-10	0.12	0	1	-	-	-	0	1
-TOTALS-				0	3	0	2	0	2	7

### Notice of Disclaimer

Due to the limitations, constraints, and inaccuracies and incompleteness of government information and computer mapping data currently available to FirstSearch Technology Corp., certain conventions have been utilized in preparing the locations of all federal, state and local agency sites residing in FirstSearch Technology Corp.'s databases. All EPA NPL and state landfill sites are depicted by a rectangle approximating their location and size. The boundaries of the rectangles represent the eastern and western most longitudes; the northern and southern most latitudes. As such, the mapped areas may exceed the actual areas and do not represent the actual boundaries of these properties. All other sites are depicted by a point representing their approximate address location and make no attempt to represent the actual areas of the associated property. Actual boundaries and locations of individual properties can be found in the files residing at the agency responsible for such information.

### Waiver of Liability

Although FirstSearch Technology Corp. uses its best efforts to research the actual location of each site, FirstSearch Technology Corp. does not and can not warrant the accuracy of these sites with regard to exact location and size. All authorized users of FirstSearch Technology Corp.'s services proceeding are signifying an understanding of FirstSearch Technology Corp.'s searching and mapping conventions, and agree to waive any and all liability claims associated with search and map results showing incomplete and or inaccurate site locations.

# Environmental FirstSearch Site Information Report

Request Date: 07-05-12  
 Requestor Name: Polly Ivers  
 Standard: ASTM-05

Search Type: LINEAR  
 0.421 mile(s)  
 Job Number: ACR-71497  
**Filtered Report**

**Target Site:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
 ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

## *Demographics*

Sites: 7	Non-Geocoded: 2	Population: NA
Radon: 0.4 PCI/L		
Fire Insurance Map Coverage:	No	

## *Site Location*

	<u>Degrees (Decimal)</u>	<u>Degrees (Min/Sec)</u>		<u>UTMs</u>
Longitude:	-117.155360	-117:9:19	Easting:	485528.288
Latitude:	33.253802	33:15:14	Northing:	3679242.793
Elevation:	421		Zone:	11

## *Comment*

Comment:

## *Additional Requests/Services*

Adjacent ZIP Codes:	Services:																																								
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">ZIP Code</th> <th style="text-align: left;">City Name</th> <th style="text-align: left;">ST</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Dist/Dir</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Sel</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	ZIP Code	City Name	ST	Dist/Dir	Sel						<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: center;">Requested?</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Date</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Fire Insurance Maps</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Aerial Photographs</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">07-05-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Historical Topos</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">07-05-12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>City Directories</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Title Search</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Municipal Reports</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Liens</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Historic Map Works</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Online Topos</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">07-05-12</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Requested?	Date	Fire Insurance Maps	No		Aerial Photographs	Yes	07-05-12	Historical Topos	Yes	07-05-12	City Directories	No		Title Search	No		Municipal Reports	No		Liens	No		Historic Map Works	No		Online Topos	Yes	07-05-12
ZIP Code	City Name	ST	Dist/Dir	Sel																																					
	Requested?	Date																																							
Fire Insurance Maps	No																																								
Aerial Photographs	Yes	07-05-12																																							
Historical Topos	Yes	07-05-12																																							
City Directories	No																																								
Title Search	No																																								
Municipal Reports	No																																								
Liens	No																																								
Historic Map Works	No																																								
Online Topos	Yes	07-05-12																																							

**Environmental FirstSearch  
Target Site Summary Report**

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

TOTAL: 7                      GEOCODED: 5                      NON GEOCODED: 2                      SELECTED: 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
--------	---------	---------------------	---------	----------	----------	----------

No sites found for target address

# Environmental FirstSearch Sites Summary Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

**TOTAL:** 7                      **GEOCODED:** 5                      **NON GEOCODED:** 2                      **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
1	HWMANIFE	DEER SPRINGS FIRE PROTECTION DISTR CAL000171825/ACTIVE	8709 CIRCLE R DR ESCONDIDO CA 92026	0.05 NE	- 11	1
2	PERMITS	MOOSA WASTE WTR TREATMENT HE17121354/NOT REPORTED	8711 CIRCLE R DR ESCONDIDO CA 92026	0.11 NE	- 10	2
2	UST	MOOSA WASTE WTR TREATMENT HE17H21354/NOT REPORTED	8711 CIRCLE R DR ESCONDIDO CA 92026	0.11 NE	- 10	3
3	LUST	CIRCLE R RANCH TRADING POST HE17H03530/REMOVED	8751 OLD CASTLE RD ESCONDIDO CA 92082	0.48 SE	+ 21	4
3	LUST	CIRCLE R RANCH TRADING POST T0607301442/COMPLETED - CASE CLOSED	8751 OLD CASTLE RD ESCONDIDO CA 92082	0.48 SE	+ 21	5

# Environmental FirstSearch Sites Summary Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

**TOTAL:** 7      **GEOCODED:** 5      **NON GEOCODED:** 2      **SELECTED:** 0

Map ID	DB Type	Site Name/ID/Status	Address	Dist/Dir	ElevDiff	Page No.
	ERNS	LAWRENCE WELK MOBILE PARK 405211/FIXED FACILITY	89752 LAWRENCE WELK CHAMPAG ESCONDITO CA 92026	NON GC	N/A	6
	TRIBALLA	BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS CONTACT I BIA-92026/	UNKNOWN CA 92026	NON GC	N/A	7

# Environmental FirstSearch Site Detail Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

## HWMANIFEST

**SEARCH ID:** 5      **DIST/DIR:** 0.05 NE      **ELEVATION:** 410      **MAP ID:** 1

**NAME:** DEER SPRINGS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT      **REV:** 02/19/10  
**ADDRESS:** 8709 CIRCLE R DR      **ID1:** CAL000171825  
ESCONDIDO CA 92026      **ID2:**  
SAN DIEGO      **STATUS:** ACTIVE  
**CONTACT:**      **PHONE:**  
**SOURCE:** CA DTSC

### THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST INVENTORY (HWM I) SITE INFORMATION FROM THE CA EPA AND DTSC HAZARDOUS WASTE TRACKING SYSTEM (HWTS) :

Date Record was Created: 1/2/1998

Inactivity Date:

Facility Mail Name:

Facility Mailing Address: 8709 CIRCLE R DRIVE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026-0000

Owner Name: DEER SPRINGS DISTRICT

Owner Address: 8709 CIRCLE R DRIVE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026-0000

Contact Name: DICK GARDNER FIRE CAPTAIN

Contact Address: 8709 CIRCLE R DRIVE, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026-0000

Contact Phone: 7607498001

### HWM I WASTE TYPE AND TONNAGE INFORMATION BY YEAR 2005-2009:

2009 Method Type:

2009 Waste Type:

2009 Total Tonnage:

2008 Waste Type:

2008 Total Tonnage:

2007 Waste Type:

2007 Total Tonnage:

2006 Waste Type:

2006 Total Tonnage:

2005 Waste Type:

2005 Total Tonnage:

### HWM I WASTE TYPE AND TONNAGE INFORMATION BY YEAR 2000-2004:

2004 Waste Type:

2004 Total Tonnage:

2003 Waste Type:

2003 Total Tonnage:

2002 Waste Type:

2002 Total Tonnage:

2001 Waste Type:

2001 Total Tonnage:

2000 Waste Type:

2000 Total Tonnage:

### HWM I WASTE TYPE AND TONNAGE INFORMATION BY YEAR 1993-1999:

1999 Waste Type: Liquids with halogenated organic compounds >= 1,000 Mg./L

1999 Total Tonnage: 0.164

1998 Waste Type: Other organic solids

1998 Total Tonnage: 0.0075

1997 Waste Type:

1997 Total Tonnage:

1996 Waste Type:

1996 Total Tonnage:

1995 Waste Type:

1995 Total Tonnage:

1994 Waste Type:

1994 Total Tonnage:

1993 Waste Type:

1993 Total Tonnage:

# Environmental FirstSearch Site Detail Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

## PERMITS

**SEARCH ID:** 1      **DIST/DIR:** 0.11 NE      **ELEVATION:** 411      **MAP ID:** 2

**NAME:** MOOSA WASTE WTR TREATMENT  
**ADDRESS:** 8711 CIRCLE R DR  
ESCONDIDO CA 92026  
SAN DIEGO

**CONTACT:**  
**SOURCE:** SAN DIEGO CO DEH

**REV:** 03/29/07  
**ID1:** HE17121354  
**ID2:**  
**STATUS:** NOT REPORTED  
**PHONE:**

DETAILS NOT AVAILABLE

Environmental FirstSearch  
Site Detail Report

Target Property: GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

JOB: ACR-71497

UST

SEARCH ID: 2      DIST/DIR: 0.11 NE      ELEVATION: 411      MAP ID: 2

NAME: MOOSA WASTE WTR TREATMENT  
ADDRESS: 8711 CIRCLE R DR  
ESCONDIDO CA 92026  
SAN DIEGO  
CONTACT: VALLEY CENTER WATER DIST  
SOURCE: SAN DIEGO CO

REV: 05/24/11  
ID1: HE17H21354  
ID2:  
STATUS: NOT REPORTED  
PHONE: 760-749-1600

TANK IDs  
Permit Number: H21354  
Tank Number: T001  
Tank ID Number: 1

TANK CHARACTERISTICS INFORMATION  
Capacity: 280  
Contents: DIESEL

Tank System Type: SINGLE WALL  
Primary Tank Material: BARE STEEL  
Tank Interior Lining or Coating:  
Tank Exterior Corrosion Protection:  
Overfill Device: OVRFILL UNKNOWN  
Spill Buckets:

TANK TESTING & MONITORING INFORMATION  
Is System 1998 Standards Certified (Y/N):  
Tank Monitor Device: NO TANK MONIT DEV INFO

PIPING INFORMATION  
Pipe Construction: SINGLE WALL  
Pipe Primary Material: UNKNOWN  
Pipe Monitor Device: NO PIPE MONIT DEV INFO  
Pipe Monitor Device Alternative: SW TANK SW PRESSURE PIPE W/RESTRICTIVE LLD W/DAILY RECONCILIATION OR WEEKLY GAUGING: TANK  
AND PIPE TEST ANNUALLY  
REGULATORY INFORMATION  
Regulatory Status Date: 04/16/92  
Regulatory Status Code Description: REMOVED

# Environmental FirstSearch Site Detail Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

## LUST

**SEARCH ID:** 3      **DIST/DIR:** 0.48 SE      **ELEVATION:** 442      **MAP ID:** 3

**NAME:** CIRCLE R RANCH TRADING POST  
**ADDRESS:** 8751 OLD CASTLE RD  
ESCONDIDO CA 92082  
SAN DIEGO

**REV:** 08/21/00  
**ID1:** HE17H03530  
**ID2:**  
**STATUS:** REMOVED  
**PHONE:**

**CONTACT:**  
**SOURCE:** SAN DIEGO CO DEH

Release Occurance Number: 001  
Historical Name: CIRCLE R RANCH  
Date Release Began: 12/14/93  
Lead Agency: DEH  
Case Type: TANK, Release (W)  
Case Status: CLOSED  
Case Status Date: 12/31/96

# Environmental FirstSearch Site Detail Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

## LUST

**SEARCH ID:** 4      **DIST/DIR:** 0.48 SE      **ELEVATION:** 442      **MAP ID:** 3

**NAME:** CIRCLE R RANCH TRADING POST  
**ADDRESS:** 8751 OLD CASTLE RD  
ESCONDIDO CA 92082  
SAN DIEGO

**REV:** 06/06/12  
**ID1:** T0607301442  
**ID2:**  
**STATUS:** COMPLETED - CASE CLOSED  
**PHONE:**

**CONTACT:**  
**SOURCE:** CA SWRCB

### RELEASE DATA FROM THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD LUSTIS DATABASE

Please note that some data previously provided by the State Water Resources Control Board in the LUSTIS database is not currently being provided by the agency in the most recent edition. Incidents that occurred after the year 2000 may not have much information. Field headers with blank information following after should be interpreted as unreported by the agency.

LEAD AGENCY: SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP  
REGIONAL BOARD CASE NUMBER: 9UT2691  
LOCAL AGENCY:  
LOCAL CASE NUMBER: H03530-001

CASE TYPE: LUST Cleanup Site  
POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN: Gasoline  
POTENTIAL MEDIA AFFECTED: Aquifer used for drinking water supply  
STATUS: Completed - Case Closed  
STATUS DATE: 1996-12-31 00:00:00  
SITE HISTORY (blank if not reported):

ACTION TYPE (blank if not reported): ENFORCEMENT  
DATE (blank if not reported): 1996-12-06 00:00:00  
ACTION (blank if not reported): Closure/No Further Action Letter - #H03530-001

ACTION TYPE (blank if not reported): ENFORCEMENT  
DATE (blank if not reported): 1994-01-19 00:00:00  
ACTION (blank if not reported): Notice of Responsibility

ACTION TYPE (blank if not reported): Other  
DATE (blank if not reported): 1950-01-01 00:00:00  
ACTION (blank if not reported): Leak Discovery

ACTION TYPE (blank if not reported): Other  
DATE (blank if not reported): 1950-01-01 00:00:00  
ACTION (blank if not reported): Leak Reported

ACTION TYPE (blank if not reported): Other  
DATE (blank if not reported): 1950-01-01 00:00:00  
ACTION (blank if not reported): Leak Stopped

ACTION TYPE (blank if not reported): Other  
DATE (blank if not reported): 1950-01-01 00:00:00  
ACTION (blank if not reported): Leak Began

Environmental FirstSearch  
Site Detail Report

Target Property: GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

JOB: ACR-71497

ERNS

SEARCH ID:	DIST/DIR:	ELEVATION:	MAP ID:
6	NON GC		
<b>NAME:</b>	LAWRENCE WELK MOBILE PARK	<b>REV:</b>	12/12/1994
<b>ADDRESS:</b>	89752 LAWRENCE WELK CHAMPAGNE VILLA ESCONDITO CA 92026 SAN DIEGO	<b>ID1:</b>	405211
<b>CONTACT:</b>		<b>ID2:</b>	
<b>SOURCE:</b>	EPA	<b>STATUS:</b>	FIXED FACILITY
		<b>PHONE:</b>	

SPILL INFORMATION  
DATE OF SPILL: 12/12/1994 TIME OF SPILL: 1300

PRODUCT RELEASED (1): PROPANE  
QUANTITY (1): 0  
UNITS (1):  
CAUSE OF RELEASE  
DUMPING: NO EQUIPMENT FAILURE: NO  
NATURAL PHENOMENON: NO OPERATOR ERROR: NO  
OTHER CAUSE: NOTRANSP

# Environmental FirstSearch Site Detail Report

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

## TRIBALLAND

SEARCH ID:	DIST/DIR:	NON GC	ELEVATION:	MAP ID:
<b>NAME:</b>	BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS CONTACT INFORMATION		<b>REV:</b>	01/15/08
<b>ADDRESS:</b>	UNKNOWN		<b>ID1:</b>	BIA-92026
	CA 92026		<b>ID2:</b>	
	SAN DIEGO		<b>STATUS:</b>	
<b>CONTACT:</b>			<b>PHONE:</b>	
<b>SOURCE:</b>	BIA			

### BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS CONTACT INFORMATION

OFFICE: Pacific Regional Office  
CONTACT: CLAY GREGORY, REGIONAL DIRECTOR

OFFICE ADDRESS: 2800 Cottage Way  
Sacramento CA 95825  
OFFICE PHONE: Phone: 916-978-6000  
OFFICE FAX: Fax: 916-978-6099

The Native American Consultation Database (NACD) is a tool for identifying consultation contacts for Indian tribes, Alaska Native villages and corporations, and Native Hawaiian organizations. The database is not a comprehensive source of information, but it does provide a starting point for the consultation process by identifying tribal leaders and NAGPRA contacts. This database can be accessed online at the following web address <http://home.nps.gov/nacd/>

## Environmental FirstSearch Descriptions

NPL: EPA NATIONAL PRIORITY LIST - The National Priorities List is a list of the worst hazardous waste sites that have been identified by Superfund. Sites are only put on the list after they have been scored using the Hazard Ranking System (HRS), and have been subjected to public comment. Any site on the NPL is eligible for cleanup using Superfund Trust money. A Superfund site is any land in the United States that has been contaminated by hazardous waste and identified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a candidate for cleanup because it poses a risk to human health and/or the environment. FINAL - Currently on the Final NPL PROPOSED - Proposed for NPL

NPL DELISTED: EPA NATIONAL PRIORITY LIST Subset - Database of delisted NPL sites. The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate. DELISTED - Deleted from the Final NPL

CERCLIS: EPA COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM (CERCLIS)- CERCLIS is a database of potential and confirmed hazardous waste sites at which the EPA Superfund program has some involvement. It contains sites that are either proposed to be or are on the National Priorities List (NPL) as well as sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL. PART OF NPL- Site is part of NPL site DELETED - Deleted from the Final NPL FINAL - Currently on the Final NPL NOT PROPOSED - Not on the NPL NOT VALID - Not Valid Site or Incident PROPOSED - Proposed for NPL REMOVED - Removed from Proposed NPL SCAN PLAN - Pre-proposal Site WITHDRAWN - Withdrawn

NFRAP: EPA COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY INFORMATION SYSTEM ARCHIVED SITES - database of Archive designated CERCLA sites that, to the best of EPA's knowledge, assessment has been completed and has determined no further steps will be taken to list this site on the National Priorities List (NPL). This decision does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; it only means that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site. NFRAP - No Further Remedial Action Plan P - Site is part of NPL site D - Deleted from the Final NPL F - Currently on the Final NPL N - Not on the NPL O - Not Valid Site or Incident P - Proposed for NPL R - Removed from Proposed NPL S - Pre-proposal Site W - Withdrawn

RCRA COR ACT: EPA RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM SITES - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. RCRAInfo facilities that have reported violations and subject to corrective actions.

RCRA TSD: EPA RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM TREATMENT, STORAGE, and DISPOSAL FACILITIES. - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. Facilities that treat, store, dispose, or incinerate hazardous waste.

RCRA GEN: EPA/MA DEP/CT DEP RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM

GENERATORS - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. Facilities that generate or transport hazardous waste or meet other RCRA requirements. LGN - Large Quantity Generators SGN - Small Quantity Generators VGN - Conditionally Exempt Generator. Included are RAATS (RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System) and CMEL (Compliance Monitoring & Enforcement List) facilities. CONNECTICUT HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST - Database of all shipments of hazardous waste within, into or from Connecticut. The data includes date of shipment, transporter and TSD info, and material shipped and quantity. This data is appended to the details of existing generator records. MASSACHUSETTES HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATOR - database of generators that are regulated under the MA DEP. VQN-MA = generates less than 220 pounds or 27 gallons per month of hazardous waste or waste oil. SQN-MA = generates 220 to 2,200 pounds or 27 to 270 gallons per month of waste oil. LQG-MA = generates greater than 2,200 lbs of hazardous waste or waste oil per month.

RCRA NLR: EPA RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM SITES - Database of hazardous waste information contained in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information (RCRAInfo), a national program management and inventory system about hazardous waste handlers. In general, all generators, transporters, treaters, storers, and disposers of hazardous waste are required to provide information about their activities to state environmental agencies. These agencies, in turn pass on the information to regional and national EPA offices. This regulation is governed by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. not currently classified by the EPA but are still included in the RCRAInfo database. Reasons for non classification: Failure to report in a timely matter. No longer in business. No longer in business at the listed address. No longer generating hazardous waste materials in quantities which require reporting.

Fed Brownfield: EPA BROWNFIELD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (BMS) - database designed to assist EPA in collecting, tracking, and updating information, as well as reporting on the major activities and accomplishments of the various Brownfield grant Programs. CLEANUPS IN MY COMMUNITY (subset) - Sites, facilities and properties that have been contaminated by hazardous materials and are being, or have been, cleaned up under EPA's brownfield's program.

ERNS: EPA/NRC EMERGENCY RESPONSE NOTIFICATION SYSTEM (ERNS) - Database of incidents reported to the National Response Center. These incidents include chemical spills, accidents involving chemicals (such as fires or explosions), oil spills, transportation accidents that involve oil or chemicals, releases of radioactive materials, sightings of oil sheens on bodies of water, terrorist incidents involving chemicals, incidents where illegally dumped chemicals have been found, and drills intended to prepare responders to handle these kinds of incidents. Data since January 2001 has been received from the National Response System database as the EPA no longer maintains this data.

Tribal Lands: DOI/BIA INDIAN LANDS OF THE UNITED STATES - Database of areas with boundaries established by treaty, statute, and (or) executive or court order, recognized by the Federal Government as territory in which American Indian tribes have primary governmental authority. The Indian Lands of the United States map layer shows areas of 640 acres or more, administered by the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Included are Federally-administered lands within a reservation which may or may not be considered part of the reservation. BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFIARS CONTACT - Regional contact information for the Bureau of Indian Affairs offices.

State/Tribal Sites: CA EPA SMBRPD / CAL SITES- The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has developed an electronic database system called Envirostor with information about sites that are known to be contaminated with hazardous substances as well as information on uncharacterized properties where further studies may reveal problems. The Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program Database (SMBRPD), formerly known as CalSites, is

used primarily by DTSC's staff as an informational tool to evaluate and track activities at properties that may have been affected by the release of hazardous substances. The SMBRPD displays information in six categories, two of which are found in ST. The categories listed under ST are: 1. State Response Sites. 2. School Property Evaluation Program Properties (SCH) Please Note: Our reports list the above sites as DB Type (STATE). Other categories found in the SMBRPD are listed in our reports in the DB Types OT and VC. Each Category contains information on properties based upon the type of work taking place at the site. State Response Sites contains only known and potential hazardous substance release sites considered as posing the greatest threat to the public. School sites included in ST will be found within the SMBRPD's School Property Evaluation Program. CORTESE LIST-Pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, the Hazardous Waste and Substances Sites List has been compiled by Cal/EPA, Hazardous Materials Data Management Program to provide information about the location of hazardous materials release sites. Cortese List sites that fall under DTSC's guidelines for State Response sites are included in our reports in the ST category as are qualifying sites from the Annual Work Plan (formerly Bond Expenditure Plan) and the historic ASPIS databases.

State Spills 90: CA EPA SLIC REGIONS 1 - 9- The California Regional Water Quality Control Boards maintain report of sites that have records of spills, leaks, investigation, and cleanups.

State/Tribal SWL: CA IWMB/SWRCB/COUNTY SWIS SOLID WASTE INFORMATION SYSTEM-The California Integrated Waste Management Board maintains a database on solid waste facilities, operations, and disposal sites throughout the state of California. The types of facilities found in this database include landfills, transfer stations, material recovery facilities, composting sites, transformation facilities, waste tire sites, and closed disposal sites. For more information on individual sites call the number listed in the source field.. Please Note: This database contains poor site location information for many sites in our reports; therefore, it may not be possible to locate or plot some sites in our reports. WMUDS-The State Water Resources Control Board maintained the Waste Management Unit Database System (WMUDS). It is no longer updated. It tracked management units for several regulatory programs related to waste management and its potential impact on groundwater. Two of these programs (SWAT & TPCA) are no longer on-going regulatory programs as described below. Chapter 15 (SC15) is still an on-going regulatory program and information is updated periodically but not to the WMUDS database. The WMUDS System contains information from the following agency databases: Facility, Waste Management Unit (WMU), Waste Discharger System (WDS), SWAT, Chapter 15, TPCA, RCRA, Inspections, Violations, and Enforcement's. Note: This database contains poor site location information for many sites in our reports; therefore, it may not be possible to locate or plot some sites in reports. ORANGE COUNTY LANDFILLS LIST- A list maintained by the Orange County Health Department.

State/Tribal LUST: CA SWRCB/COUNTY LUSTIS- The State Water Resources Control Board maintains a database of sites with confirmed or unconfirmed leaking underground storage tanks. Information for this database is collected from the states regional boards quarterly and integrated with this database. SAN DIEGO COUNTY LEAKING TANKS- The San Diego County Department of Environmental Health maintains a database of sites with confirmed or unconfirmed leaking underground storage tanks within its HE17/58 database. For more information on a specific file call the HazMat Duty Specialist at phone number listed in the source information field.

State/Tribal UST/AST: CA EPA/COUNTY/CITY ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANKS LISTING-The Above Ground Petroleum Storage Act became State Law effective January 1, 1990. In general, the law requires owners or operators of AST's with petroleum products to file a storage statement and pay a fee by July 1, 1990 and every two years thereafter, take specific action to prevent spills, and in certain instances implement a groundwater monitoring program. This law does not apply to that portion of a tank facility associated with the production oil and regulated by the State Division of Oil and Gas of the Dept. of Conservation. SWEEPS / FIDS STATE REGISTERED UNDEGROUND STORAGE TANKS- Until 1994 the State Water Resources Control Board maintained a database of registered underground storage tanks statewide referred to as the SWEEPS System. The SWEEPS UST information was integrated with the CAL EPA's Facility Index System database (FIDS) which is a master index of information from numerous California agency environmental databases. That was last updated in 1994. We have included the UST information from the FIDS database in our reports for historical

purposes to help our clients identify where tanks may possibly have existed. For more information on specific sites from individual paper files archived at the State Water Resources Control Board call the number listed with the source information. INDIAN LANDS UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS LIST- A listing of underground storage tanks currently on Indian Lands under federal jurisdiction. California Indian Land USTS are administered by US EPA Region 9. CUPA DATABASES & SOURCES- Definition of a CUPA: A Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) is a local agency that has been certified by the CAL EPA to implement six state environmental programs within the local agency's jurisdiction. These can be a county, city, or JPA (Joint Powers Authority). This program was established under the amendments to the California Health and Safety Code made by SB 1082 in 1994. A Participating Agency (PA) is a local agency that has been designated by the local CUPA to administer one or more Unified Programs within their jurisdiction on behalf of the CUPA. A Designated Agency (DA) is an agency that has not been certified by the CUPA but is the responsible local agency that would implement the six unified programs until they are certified. Please Note: We collect and maintain information regarding Underground Storage Tanks from the majority of the CUPAs and Participating Agencies in the State of California. These agencies typically do not maintain nor release such information on a uniform or consistent schedule; therefore, currency of the data may vary. Please look at the details on a specific site with a UST record in the First Search Report to determine the actual currency date of the record as provided by the relevant agency. Numerous efforts are made on a regular basis to obtain updated records.

State/Tribal IC: CA EPA DEED-RESTRICTED SITES LISTING- The California EPA's Department of Toxic Substances Control Board maintains a list of deed-restricted sites, properties where the DTSC has placed limits or requirements on the future use of the property due to varying levels of cleanup possible, practical or necessary at the site.

State/Tribal VCP: CA EPA SMBRPD / CAL SITES- The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has developed an electronic database system called Envirostor with information about sites that are known to be contaminated with hazardous substances as well as information on uncharacterized properties where further studies may reveal problems. The Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program Database (SMBRPD), formerly known as CalSites, is used primarily by DTSC's staff as an informational tool to evaluate and track activities at properties that may have been affected by the release of hazardous substances. The Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) category contains only those properties undergoing voluntary investigation and/or cleanup and which are listed in the Voluntary Cleanup Program. Please Note: Our reports list the above sites as DB Type VC.

State Permits: CA EPA/COUNTY SAN DIEGO COUNTY HE17 PERMITS- The HE17/58 database tracks establishments issued permits and the status of their permits in relation to compliance with federal, state, and local regulations that the County oversees. It tracks if a site is a hazardous waste generator, TSD, gas station, has underground tanks, violations, or unauthorized releases. For more information on a specific file call the HazMat Duty Specialist at the phone number listed in the source information field. SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PERMITS- Handlers and Generators Permit Information Maintained by the Hazardous Materials Division.

State Other: CA EPA/COUNTY SMBRPD / CAL SITES- The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has developed an electronic database system called Envirostor with information about sites that are known to be contaminated with hazardous substances as well as information on uncharacterized properties where further studies may reveal problems. The Site Mitigation and Brownfields Reuse Program Database (SMBRPD), formerly known as CalSites, is used primarily by DTSC's staff as an informational tool to evaluate and track activities at properties that may have been affected by the release of hazardous substances. The SMBRPD displays information in six categories, two of which are found in ST. The categories listed under OT are: 1. Unconfirmed Properties Referred to Another Local or State Agency (REF) 2. Properties where a No Further Action Determination has been made (NFA) Please Note: Our reports list the above sites as DB Type (OTHER). Other categories found in the SMBRPD are listed in our reports in the DB Types ST and VC. LA COUNTY SITE MITIGATION COMPLAINT CONTROL LOG- The County of Los Angeles Public Health Investigation Compliant Control Log. ORANGE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL SITE CLEANUPS- List maintained by the Orange County Environmental Health Agency. RIVERSIDE COUNTY WASTE GENERATORS- A list of facilities in Riverside County which

generate hazardous waste. SACRAMENTO COUNTY MASTER HAZMAT LIST-Master list of facilities within Sacramento County with potentially hazardous materials. SACRAMENTO COUNTY TOXIC SITE CLEANUPS-A list of sites where unauthorized releases of potentially hazardous materials have occurred.

Federal IC / EC: EPA FEDERAL ENGINEERING AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS- Superfund sites that have either an engineering or an institutional control. The data includes the control and the media contaminated. RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY INFORMATION SYSTEM SITES (RCRA) – RCRA site the have institutional controls.

State/Tribal HW: CA EPA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST INVENTORY-Records maintained by the CA DTSC of Hazardous Waste Manifests used to track and document the transport of hazardous waste from a generator's site to the site of its final disposition.

## Environmental FirstSearch Database Sources

NPL: EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

NPL DELISTED: EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

CERCLIS: EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

NFRAP: EPA Environmental Protection Agency.

Updated quarterly

RCRA COR ACT: EPA Environmental Protection Agency.

Updated quarterly

RCRA TSD: EPA Environmental Protection Agency.

Updated quarterly

RCRA GEN: EPA/MA DEP/CT DEP Environmental Protection Agency, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection

Updated quarterly

RCRA NLR: EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

Fed Brownfield: EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

ERNS: EPA/NRC Environmental Protection Agency National Response Center.

Updated annually

Tribal Lands: DOI/BIA United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs

Updated annually

State/Tribal Sites: CA EPA The CAL EPA, Depart. Of Toxic Substances Control Phone: (916) 323-3400 For Cortese List information contact The CAL EPA, Department of Toxic Substances Control at (916) 445-6532

Updated quarterly/when available

State Spills 90: CA EPA The California State Water Resources Control Board For phone number listings of departments within each region visit their web sites at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/regions.html>

Updated when available

State/Tribal SWL: CA IWMB/SWRCB/COUNTY The California Integrated Waste Management Board

Phone:(916) 255-2331

The State Water Resources Control Board

Phone:(916) 227-4365

Orange County Health Department

Phone:(714) 834-3536

Updated quarterly/when available

State/Tribal LUST: CA SWRCB/COUNTY The California State Water Resources Control Board Phone:(916) 227-4416 San Diego County Department of Environmental Health Phone:(619) 338-2242

Updated quarterly/when available

State/Tribal UST/AST: CA EPA/COUNTY/CITY The State Water Resources Control Board

Phone:(916) 227-4364

CAL EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control

Phone:(916)227-4404

US EPA Region 9 Underground Storage Tank Program

Phone: (415) 972-3372

ALAMEDA COUNTY CUPAS:

\* County of Alameda Department of Environmental Health

\* Cities of Berkeley, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore / Pleasanton, Newark, Oakland, San Leandro, Union

ALPINE COUNTY CUPA:

\* Health Department (Only updated by agency sporadically)

AMADOR COUNTY CUPA:

\* County of Amador Environmental Health Department

BUTTE COUNTY CUPA

\* County of Butte Environmental Health Division (Only updated by agency biannually)

CALAVERAS COUNTY CUPA:

\* County of Calaveras Environmental Health Department

COLUSA COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Dept.

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY CUPA:

\* Hazardous Materials Program

DEL NORTE COUNTY CUPA:

\* Department of Health and Social Services

EL DORADO COUNTY CUPAS:

\* County of El Dorado Environmental Health - Solid Waste Div (Only updated by agency annually)

\* County of El Dorado EMD Tahoe Division (Only updated by agency annually)

FRESNO COUNTY CUPA:

\* Haz. Mat and Solid Waste Programs

GLENN COUNTY CUPA:

\* Air Pollution Control District

HUMBOLDT COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Division

IMPERIAL COUNTY CUPA:

\* Department of Planning and Building

INYO COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Department

KERN COUNTY CUPA:

\* County of Kern Environmental Health Department

\* City of Bakersfield Fire Department

KINGS COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Services

LAKE COUNTY CUPA:

\* Division of Environmental Health

LASSEN COUNTY CUPA:

\* Department of Agriculture

LOS ANGELES COUNTY CUPAS:

\* County of Los Angeles Fire Department CUPA Data as maintained by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works

\* County of Los Angeles Environmental Programs Division

\* Cities of Burbank, El Segundo, Glendale, Long Beach/Signal Hill, Los Angeles, Pasadena, Santa Fe Springs, Santa Monica, Torrance, Vernon

MADERA COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Department

MARIN COUNTY CUPA:

\* County of Marin Office of Waste Management

\* City of San Rafael Fire Department

MARIPOSA COUNTY CUPA:

\* Health Department

MENDOCINO COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Department

MERCED COUNTY CUPA:

\* Division of Environmental Health

MODOC COUNTY CUPA:

\* Department of Agriculture

MONO COUNTY CUPA:

\* Health Department

MONTEREY COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Division

NAPA COUNTY CUPA:

\* Hazardous Materials Section

NEVADA COUNTY CUPA:

\* Environmental Health Department

ORANGE COUNTY CUPAS:

\* County of Orange Environmental Health Department

- \* Cities of Anaheim, Fullerton, Orange, Santa Ana
- \* County of Orange Environmental Health Department

PLACER COUNTY CUPAS:

- \* County of Placer Division of Environmental Health Field Office
- \* Tahoe City
- \* City of Roseville Roseville Fire Department

PLUMAS COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Department

RIVERSIDE COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Department

SACRAMENTO COUNTY CUPA:

- \* County Environmental Mgmt Dept, Haz. Mat. Div.

SAN BENITO COUNTY CUPA:

- \* City of Hollister Environmental Service Department

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY CUPAS:

- \* County of San Bernardino Fire Department, Haz. Mat. Div.
- \* City of Hesperia Hesperia Fire Prevention Department
- \* City of Victorville Victorville Fire Department

SAN DIEGO COUNTY CUPA:

- \* The San Diego County Dept. of Environmental Health HE 17/58

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Department of Public Health

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Division

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY CUPAS:

- \* County of San Luis Obispo Environmental Health Division
- \* City of San Luis Obispo City Fire Department

SAN MATEO COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Department

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY CUPA:

- \* County Fire Dept Protective Services Division

SANTA CLARA COUNTY CUPAS:

- \* County of Santa Clara Hazardous Materials Compliance Division
- \* Santa Clara County Central Fire Protection District (Covers Campbell, Cupertino, Los Gatos, & Morgan Hill)
- \* Cities of Gilroy, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose Fire, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale

SANTA CRUZ COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Department

SHASTA COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Department

SIERRA COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Health Department

SISKIYOU COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Environmental Health Department

SONOMA COUNTY CUPAS:

- \* County of Sonoma Department Of Environmental Health
- \* Cities of Healdsburg / Sebastopol, Petaluma, Santa Rosa

STANISLAUS COUNTY CUPA:

- \* Department of Environmental Resources Haz. Mat. Division

SUTTER COUNTY CUPA:

\* Department of Agriculture  
TEHAMA COUNTY CUPA:  
\* Department of Environmental Health  
TRINITY COUNTY CUPA:  
\* Department of Health  
TULARE COUNTY CUPA:  
\* Environmental Health Department  
TUOLUMNE COUNTY CUPA:  
\* Environmental Health  
VENTURA COUNTY CUPAS:  
\* County of Ventura Environmental Health Division  
\* Cities of Oxnard, Ventura  
YOLO COUNTY CUPA:  
\* Environmental Health Department  
YUBA COUNTY CUPA:  
\* Yuba County of Emergency Services

Updated quarterly/annually/when available

State/Tribal IC: CA EPA The California EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control. Phone: (916) 255-3745

Updated Updated quarterly/annually/when available

State/Tribal VCP: CA EPA The California EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control. Phone: (916) 255-3745

Updated Updated quarterly/annually/when available

State Permits: CA EPA/COUNTY The San Diego County Depart. Of Environmental Health Phone: (619) 338-2211 San Bernardino County Fire Department Phone: (909) 387-3080

Updated quarterly/when available

State Other: CA EPA/COUNTY The CAL EPA, Depart. Of Toxic Substances Control Phone: (916) 323-3400 The Los Angeles County Hazardous Materials Division Phone: (323) 890-7806 Orange County Environmental Health Agency Phone: (714) 834-3536 Riverside County Department of Environmental Health, Hazardous Materials Management Division Phone: (951) 358-5055 Sacramento County Environmental Management Department Phone: (916) 875-8550

Updated quarterly/when available

Federal IC / EC: EPA Environmental Protection Agency

Updated quarterly

State/Tribal HW: CA EPA CAL EPA, Department of Toxic Substances Control Phone: (916) 255-087

Updated annually/when available

**Environmental FirstSearch**  
**Street Name Report for Streets within .25 Mile(s) of Target Property**

**Target Property:** GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

**JOB:** ACR-71497

Street Name	Dist/Dir	Street Name	Dist/Dir
Cam De Pinos	0.09 NE		
Champagne Blvd	0.00--		
Circle R Course Ln	0.17 NE		
Circle R Creek Ln	0.15 NE		
Circle R Greens Dr	0.25 NE		
Circle R Valley Ln	0.15 NE		
Gopher Canyon Rd	0.00--		
Hollyhill Rd	0.07 SW		
Leisure Ln	0.17 SE		
Ormsby St	0.00--		
Ramp	0.00--		

## HISTORICAL FIRE INSURANCE MAPS

**NO MAPS AVAILABLE**

07-05-12  
ACR-71497  
GOPHER CANYON RD  
ESCONDIDO, CA 92026

A search of FirstSearch Technology Corporation's proprietary database of historical fire insurance map availability confirmed that there are NO MAPS AVAILABLE for the Subject Location as shown above.

FirstSearch Technology Corporation's proprietary database of historical fire insurance map availability represents abstracted information from the Sanborn® Map Company obtained through online access to the U.S. Library of Congress via local libraries.

### Copyright Policy & Disclaimer

Certain Sanborn® Fire Insurance Maps are copyrighted material and may not be reproduced without the expressed permission of the Sanborn Map Company. FirstSearch Technology Corporation warrants that it will employ its best efforts to maintain and deliver its information in an efficient and timely manner. Customer acknowledges that it understands that FirstSearch Technology Corporation obtains the above information from sources FirstSearch Technology Corporation considers reliable. However, THE WARRANTIES EXPRESSED HEREIN ARE IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, either expressed or implied, including without limitation any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness or suitability for a particular purpose (whether or not FirstSearch Technology Corporation may know, have reason to know, or have been advised of such purpose), whether arising by law or by reason of industry custom or usage. ALL SUCH OTHER WARRANTIES ARE HEREBY EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED.




# Environmental FirstSearch

1 Mile Radius from Line

Single Map:




GOPHER CANYON RD, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026



Source: Tele Atlas

- |  |  |                     |  |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Linear Search Line .....   |  | Oil Gas Wells ..... |  |
| Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor .....                            |  |                     |  |
| NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste ..... |  |                     |  |
| Triballand .....   |  |                     |  |
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius




# Environmental FirstSearch

1 Mile Radius from Line

ASTM-05: NPL, RCRA COR, STATE



GOPHER CANYON RD, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026



Source: Tele Atlas

- Linear Search Line ..... 
- Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor .....   
- NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste ..... 
- Triballand ..... 
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius
- Oil Gas Wells ..... 




# Environmental FirstSearch

.5 Mile Radius from Line

ASTM-05: Multiple Databases



## GOPHER CANYON RD, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026



Source: Tele Atlas

- Linear Search Line ..... 
- Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor .....   
- NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste ..... 
- Triballand ..... 
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius
- Oil Gas Wells ..... 




# Environmental FirstSearch

.25 Mile Radius from Line

ASTM-05: Multiple Databases



## GOPHER CANYON RD, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026



Source: Tele Atlas

- |  |  |                     |  |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Linear Search Line .....   |  | Oil Gas Wells ..... |  |
| Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor .....                            |  |                     |  |
| NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste ..... |  |                     |  |
| Triballand .....   |  |                     |  |
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius




# Environmental FirstSearch

.12 Mile Radius from Line

ASTM-05: Multiple Databases



## GOPHER CANYON RD, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026



Source: Tele Atlas

- |  |  |                     |  |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Linear Search Line .....   |  | Oil Gas Wells ..... |  |
| Identified Site, Multiple Sites, Receptor .....                            |  |                     |  |
| NPL, DELNPL, Brownfield, Solid Waste Landfill (SWL), Hazardous Waste ..... |  |                     |  |
| Triballand .....   |  |                     |  |
- Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radius; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius



# Site Location Map



Topo : 0.75 Mile Radius from Line

GOPHER CANYON RD, ESCONDIDO, CA 92026



SOURCE: SCANNED USGS TOPOGRAPHIC QUADRANGLES  
SCANNED BY MAPTECH AND USGS  
DISTRIBUTED AUGUST, 2005.

Black Rings Represent 1/4 Mile Radii; Red Ring Represents 500 ft. Radius



Data Supplied by:



Prepared by FirstSearch Technology Corporation

JOB NO.

Map Name: BONSALL  
Map Reference Code: 33117-C2-TF-024

Date Created: 1968--  
Contour Interval: 20 feet

Date Revised: 1975--  
Elevation:

FIGURE NO.

1

**APPENDIX E**  
**USER PROVIDED INFORMATION**



**ASTM E1597-05  
USER SPECIFIC QUESTIONNAIRE**

**Project Number / Name:** ACR-71497.2a / Roadway Expansion Property –Gopher Canyon Road

**Project Address:** Circle R Dr. to Gopher Canyon Rd at Old Hwy 395, Escondido, Ca. 92026

Per the ASTM E1527 05 Standard, the *user* (i.e., the entity that orders the Phase I ESA) is required to provide the following information (if available). Your answers will be incorporated into the final Phase I ESA under the section “User-supplied Information.” These questions have been incorporated into the new standard in order to ascertain the User’s level of knowledge concerning any known environmental concerns or problems. Please complete these questions to the best of your knowledge and return to EEI as soon as possible.

**(1.) Environmental cleanup liens that are filed or recorded against the site (40 CFR 312.25).**

Are you aware of any environmental cleanup liens against the *property* that are filed or recorded under federal, tribal, state or local law? (A copy of a recent Title Search may assist in this determination).

No

**(2.) Activity and land use limitations that are in place on the site or that have been filed or recorded in a registry (40 CFR 312.26).**

Are you aware of any Activity and/or Land Use Limitations (AUL’s), such as *engineering controls*, land use restrictions or *institutional controls* that are in place at the site and/or have been filed or recorded in a registry under federal, tribal, state or local law? (A copy of a recent Title Search may assist in this determination).

No

**(3.) Specialized knowledge or experience of the person seeking to qualify for the Landowner Liability Protections (LLP - 40 CFR 312.28).**

As the *user* of this *ESA* do you have any specialized knowledge or experience related to the *property* or nearby properties? For example, are you involved in the same line of business as the current or former *occupants* of the *property* or an adjoining *property* so that you would have specialized knowledge of the chemicals and processes used by this type of business? (self-explanatory)

No

**(4.) Relationship of the purchase price to the fair market value of the *property* if it were not contaminated (40 CFR 312.29).**

Does the purchase price being paid for this *property* reasonably reflect the fair market value of the *property*? If you conclude that there is a difference, have you considered whether the lower purchase price is because contamination is known or believed to be present at the *property*?

No

**(5.) Commonly known or reasonably ascertainable information about the property (40 CFR 312.30).**  
Are you aware of commonly known or *reasonably ascertainable* information about the *property* that would help the *environmental professional* to identify conditions indicative of releases or threatened releases? For example, as *user*:

(a.) Do you know the past uses of the *property*?

No

(b.) Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the *property*?

No

(c.) Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the *property*?

No

(d.) Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the *property*?

No

**(6.) The degree of obviousness of the presence or likely presence of contamination at the property, and the ability to detect the contamination by appropriate investigation (40 CFR 312.31).**

As the *user* of this *ESA*, based on your knowledge and experience related to the *property* are there any *obvious* indicators that point to the presence or likely presence of contamination at the *property*?

No

In addition, certain information should be collected, if available, and provided to the *environmental professional* selected to conduct the Phase I. This information is intended to assist the *environmental professional* but is not necessarily required to qualify for one of the *LLPs*. The information includes:

(a) the reason why the Phase I is required,

COUNTY OF SD DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

(b) the type of *property* and type of *property* transaction, for example, sale, purchase, exchange, etc.,

SURVEY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

(c) the complete and correct address for the *property* (a map or other documentation showing *property* location and boundaries is helpful),

SEE MAP

(d) the scope of services desired for the Phase I (including whether any parties to the *property* transaction may have a required standard scope of services on whether any considerations beyond the requirements of Practice E 1527 are to be considered),

SEE SCOPING LETTER

(e) identification of all parties who will rely on the Phase I *report*,

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO & ACCRETIVE INVESTMENTS

(f) identification of the site contact and how the contact can be reached,

N/A

(g) any special terms and conditions which must be agreed upon by the *environmental professional*, and


N/A

(h) any other knowledge or experience with the *property* that may be pertinent to the *environmental professional* (for example, copies of any available prior *environmental site assessment reports*, documents, correspondence, etc., concerning the *property* and its environmental condition).

**Preparer:**

**Name/Company:** Jon Ruland, ACCRETIVE INVESTMENTS

**Address:** 12275 EL CAMINO REAL, SD CA

**Signature:** 

**Date:** 8/1/12

**APPENDIX F**  
**PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG**



**Photograph 1** – Easterly view of Gopher Canyon Road off ramp to southbound I-15.



**Photograph 2** – North view of Gopher Canyon Road off ramp to north bound I-15.



**Photograph 3** – Northerly view of intersection of Gopher Canyon Road (left photo) and Old Highway 395. Photo taken from adjacent Car Pool parking lot.



**Photograph 4** – Southerly view along Old Highway 395 toward intersection with Gopher Canyon Road (right background).



**Photograph 5** – Northwesterly view of the intersection of Circle R Drive (right foreground) and Old Highway 395. Note water utilities on both edges of roadways.



**Photograph 6** – South view along northeast side of Old Highway 395 south of Circle R Drive. Note orange signage in left photo delineating buried fiber optic cable.



**Photograph 7** – south view along central section of Old Highway 395 at culvert under-crossing, delineated by guard railing.



**Photograph 8** – Southwesterly view of water utilities in drainage (easement?) area along west side of Old Highway 395, north of culvert under-crossing.

**APPENDIX G**  
**LIMITED AGRICULTURAL CHEMICAL SAMPLING**  
**LABORATORY REPORT AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY**



25712 Commercentre Drive  
Lake Forest, California 92630  
949.297.5020 Phone  
949.297.5027 Fax

12 July 2012

Brian Brennan  
EEI -- Carlsbad  
2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K  
Carlsbad, CA 92008  
RE: Accretine Inv., Inc.

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 07/05/12 11:07. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Daniel Chavez  
Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES**

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
HA-1	T121157-01	Soil	07/03/12 12:15	07/05/12 11:07
HA-2	T121157-02	Soil	07/03/12 12:30	07/05/12 11:07
HA-3	T121157-03	Soil	07/03/12 12:40	07/05/12 11:07
HA-4	T121157-04	Soil	07/03/12 12:50	07/05/12 11:07
HA-5	T121157-05	Soil	07/03/12 12:55	07/05/12 11:07
HA-6	T121157-06	Soil	07/03/12 13:05	07/05/12 11:07
HA-7	T121157-07	Soil	07/03/12 13:25	07/05/12 11:07
HA-8	T121157-08	Soil	07/03/12 13:30	07/05/12 11:07
HA-9	T121157-09	Soil	07/03/12 13:40	07/05/12 11:07
HA-10	T121157-10	Soil	07/03/12 13:50	07/05/12 11:07
HA-11	T121157-11	Soil	07/03/12 14:15	07/05/12 11:07
HA-12	T121157-12	Soil	07/03/12 14:25	07/05/12 11:07
HA-13	T121157-13	Soil	07/03/12 14:35	07/05/12 11:07
HA-14	T121157-14	Soil	07/03/12 14:40	07/05/12 11:07

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-1**  
**T121157-01 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Lead	35	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-2**  
**T121157-02 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
------	----	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-3**  
**T121157-03 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
------	----	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-4**  
**T121157-04 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
------	----	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-5  
T121157-05 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>150</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	------------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-6**  
**T121157-06 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
------	----	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-7  
 T121157-07 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
------	----	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-8**  
**T121157-08 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
------	----	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-9  
 T121157-09 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>83</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	-----------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-10**  
**T121157-10 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>39</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	-----------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-11**  
**T121157-11 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>15</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	-----------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-12**  
**T121157-12 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>130</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	------------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-13**  
**T121157-13 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>10</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	-----------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	<b>Reported:</b> 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	------------------------------------

**HA-14**  
**T121157-14 (Soil)**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	Method	Notes
---------	--------	-----------------	-------	----------	-------	----------	----------	--------	-------

**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

**Metals by EPA 6010B**

<b>Lead</b>	<b>22</b>	3.0	mg/kg	1	2070513	07/05/12	07/07/12	EPA 6010B	
-------------	-----------	-----	-------	---	---------	----------	----------	-----------	--

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
 Lake Forest, California 92630  
 949.297.5020 Phone  
 949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad 2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K Carlsbad CA, 92008	Project: Accretine Inv., Inc. Project Number: ACR-71497.2A Project Manager: Brian Brennan	Reported: 07/12/12 13:59
---	---	-----------------------------

**Metals by EPA 6010B - Quality Control**  
**SunStar Laboratories, Inc.**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Batch 2070513 - EPA 3051</b>										
<b>Blank (2070513-BLK1)</b> Prepared: 07/05/12 Analyzed: 07/07/12										
Lead	ND	3.0	mg/kg							
<b>LCS (2070513-BS1)</b> Prepared: 07/05/12 Analyzed: 07/07/12										
Lead	105	3.0	mg/kg	100		105	75-125			
<b>Matrix Spike (2070513-MS1)</b> Source: T121157-01 Prepared: 07/05/12 Analyzed: 07/07/12										
Lead	147	3.0	mg/kg	100	35.5	111	75-125			
<b>Matrix Spike Dup (2070513-MSD1)</b> Source: T121157-01 Prepared: 07/05/12 Analyzed: 07/07/12										
Lead	123	3.0	mg/kg	100	35.5	87.8	75-125	17.4	20	

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager



25712 Commercentre Drive  
Lake Forest, California 92630  
949.297.5020 Phone  
949.297.5027 Fax

EEI -- Carlsbad

2195 Faraday Ave., Ste K  
Carlsbad CA, 92008

Project: Accretine Inv., Inc.

Project Number: ACR-71497.2A

Project Manager: Brian Brennan

**Reported:**

07/12/12 13:59

### Notes and Definitions

DET Analyte DETECTED  
ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit  
NR Not Reported  
dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis  
RPD Relative Percent Difference

---

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.

*The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.*

---

Daniel Chavez, Project Manager

SunStar Laboratories, Inc.  
 25712 Commercentre Dr  
 Lake Forest, CA 92630  
 949-297-5020

Chain of Custody Record

Client: ECCT  
 Address: Carleton, CA 92008  
 Phone: (760) 431-3447 Fax: (760) 431-3448  
 Project Manager: Brian Greenman Jim Lester

Date: 7/03/2012 Page: 1 of 1  
 Project Name: Accretive Env. Inc.  
 Collector: Ed Lump  
 Batch #: 7121157  
 Client Project #: ACR-714972AA  
 EDF #: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Time	Sample Type	Container Type	8260	8260 + OXY	8260 BTEX, OXY only	8270	8021 BTEX	8015M (gasoline)	8015M (diesel)	8015M Ext./Carbon Chain	6010/7000 Title 22 Metals	Laboratory ID #	Comments/Preservative	Total # of containers	
HA-1	7/03/2012	12:05	Soil	Glass										01	Organic Pb (DHS)	1	
HA-2	"	12:30	"	"										02			
HA-3	"	12:30	"	"										03			
HA-4	"	12:50	"	"										04			
HA-5	"	12:55	"	"										05			
HA-6	"	1:05	"	"										06			
HA-7	"	1:25	"	"										07			
HA-8	"	1:30	"	"										08			
HA-9	"	1:40	"	"										09			
HA-10	"	1:50	"	"										10			
HA-11	"	2:15	"	"										11			
HA-12	"	2:25	"	"										12			
HA-13	7/03/2012	2:35	Soil	Glass										13			
HA-14	7/03/2012	2:40	Soil	Glass										14			
Relinquished by: (signature) <u>[Signature]</u>			Date / Time <u>7/3/2012 0805</u>			Received by: (signature) <u>[Signature]</u>			Date / Time <u>7/5/12 1107</u>			Total # of containers <u>14</u>			Notes <u>1.6</u>		
Relinquished by: (signature) _____			Date / Time _____			Received by: (signature) _____			Date / Time _____			Chain of Custody seals Y/N/NA <u>N</u>			Seals intact? Y/N/NA <u>N/A</u>		
Relinquished by: (signature) _____			Date / Time _____			Received by: (signature) _____			Date / Time _____			Received good condition/cold _____			Turn around time: _____		
Sample disposal instructions: Disposal @ \$2.00 each _____ Return to client _____ Pickup _____																	

## SAMPLE RECEIVING REVIEW SHEET

BATCH # T121157

Client Name: EEL: CARLSBAD

Project: ACCRETINE INV INC

Received by: DAN

Date/Time Received: 7/5/12 11:07

Delivered by:  Client  SunStar Courier  GSO  FedEx  Other \_\_\_\_\_

Total number of coolers received 0 Temp criteria = 6°C > 0°C (no frozen containers)

Temperature: cooler #1 1.8 °C +/- the CF (-0.2°C) = 1.6 °C corrected temperature

cooler #2 \_\_\_\_\_ °C +/- the CF (-0.2°C) = \_\_\_\_\_ °C corrected temperature

cooler #3 \_\_\_\_\_ °C +/- the CF (-0.2°C) = \_\_\_\_\_ °C corrected temperature

Samples outside temp. but received on ice, w/in 6 hours of final sampling.  Yes  No\*  N/A

Custody Seals Intact on Cooler/Sample  Yes  No\*  N/A

Sample Containers Intact  Yes  No\*

Sample labels match COC ID's  Yes  No\*

Total number of containers received match COC  Yes  No\*

Proper containers received for analyses requested on COC  Yes  No\*

Proper preservative indicated on COC/containers for analyses requested  Yes  No\*  N/A

Complete shipment received in good condition with correct temperatures, containers, labels, volumes preservatives and within method specified holding times.  Yes  No\*

\* Complete Non-Conformance Receiving Sheet if checked Cooler/Sample Review - Initials and date RC 7/5/12

Comments:  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_



**PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL  
SITE ASSESSMENT  
and  
LIMITED SOIL INVESTIGATION**

**Accretive Investments, Inc.  
Lilac Hills Ranch Development  
Roadway Expansion Property  
Portions of West Lilac Road, located West and East of Interstate 15,  
and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395  
Escondido, California 92026**

**County Project Number: SP 3800 12-001; Lilac Hills Ranch  
Environmental Log Number: 3910 12-02-003**

**August 23, 2012**

**EEI Project Number ACR-71497.2b**

## PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT AND LIMITED SOIL INVESTIGATION

Prepared for:

Mr. Jon Rilling  
Vice President  
Accretive Investments, Inc.  
12275 El Camino Real, Suite 110  
San Diego, California 92130

Subject property location:

Lilac Hills Ranch Development  
Roadway Expansion Property  
Portions of West Lilac Road, located West and East of Interstate 15, and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395  
Escondido, California 92026  
EEI Project Number ACR-71497.2b

Prepared and Edited by:



Polly Ivers  
Project Scientist

Reviewed by:



Bernard A. Sentianin, PG 5530  
Principal Geologist

EEI  
2195 Faraday Avenue, Suite K  
Carlsbad, California 92008  
(760) 431-3747

EEI Project No. ACR-71497.2b

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>GENERAL SUBJECT PROPERTY INFORMATION</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose .....	1
1.2 Scope of Services.....	1
1.3 Reliance .....	2
<b>2.0 PHYSIOGRAPHIC SETTING</b> .....	<b>2</b>
2.1 Subject Property Description .....	2
2.2 Topography.....	3
2.3 Regional and Local Geology .....	3
2.4 Regional and Local Hydrogeology .....	3
2.5 Hydrologic Flood Plain Information.....	4
<b>3.0 SUBJECT PROPERTY BACKGROUND</b> .....	<b>4</b>
3.1 Subject Property Ownership .....	4
3.2 Subject Property History.....	4
3.2.1 Aerial Photograph and Historical Map Review .....	4
TABLE 1 - Summary of Historical Use Review.....	5
3.2.2 City/County Directories .....	6
3.2.3 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps .....	6
3.2.4 County of San Diego Department of Planning and Land Use .....	6
3.3 Regulatory Database Search .....	6
3.3.1 Federal Databases .....	6
3.3.2 State and Regional Sources .....	7
3.4 Regulatory Agency Review .....	8
3.4.1 Deer Springs Fire Protection District.....	8
3.4.2 County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health.....	8
3.4.3 State Water Resources Control Board .....	8
3.4.4 Department of Toxic Substances Control .....	8
3.4.5 Review of Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Files .....	8
3.4.6 National Pipeline Mapping System .....	9
3.5 Interview with Current Property Owner .....	9
3.6 User Provided Information .....	9
3.6.1 Environmental Liens or Activity and Use Limitations.....	9
3.6.2 Specialized Knowledge .....	9
3.6.3 Valuation Reduction for Environmental Issues .....	9
3.6.4 Presence or Likely Presence of Contamination.....	9
3.6.5 Other .....	10
3.7 Other Environmental Issues.....	10
3.7.1 Asbestos-Containing Materials .....	10
3.7.2 Lead-Based Paint.....	11
3.7.3 Radon .....	11
3.7.4 Polychlorinated Biphenyls .....	11

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)**

**4.0 SUBJECT PROPERTY RECONNAISSANCE .....12**  
    **4.1 Purpose .....12**  
    **4.2 Subject Property .....12**  
    **TABLE 2 – Summary of Subject Property Reconnaissance .....13**  
    **4.3 Adjacent Properties.....14**

**5.0 LIMITED SOIL INVESTIGATION .....14**  
    **5.1 Field Investigation .....14**  
    **5.2 Laboratory Analytical Program.....15**  
    **TABLE 3 and 4 - Soil Sample Results .....16**  
    **5.3 Discussion of Testing Results .....17**

**6.0 FINDINGS AND OPINIONS .....18**

**7.0 DATA GAPS AND DEVIATIONS FROM ASTM PRACTICES .....21**  
    **7.1 Historical Data Gaps .....18**  
    **7.2 Regulatory Data Gaps .....19**  
    **7.3 On-site Data Gaps .....19**  
    **7.4 Deviations from ASTM Practices .....19**

**8.0 CONCLUSIONS .....19**

**9.0 REFERENCES .....20**

**FIGURES:**

- Figure 1 – Site Location Map
- Figure 2 – Aerial Site Map
- Figure 3 – Soil Boring Location Map

**APPENDICES:**

- Appendix A – Résumé of Environmental Professional
- Appendix B – Roadway Expansion Figures/FIRM
- Appendix C – Aerial Photographs/Topographic Maps
- Appendix D – Environmental Records Search
- Appendix E – User Provided Information
- Appendix F – Photographic Log
- Appendix G – Limited Soil Investigation Laboratory Report and Chain of Custody

## **GENERAL SUBJECT PROPERTY INFORMATION**

**Project Information:** Roadway Expansion Property

**EEI Project Number:** ACR-71497.2b

**Subject Property Information:**

Lilac Hills Ranch Development

Portions of West Lilac Road, located West and East of Interstate 15, and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395

Escondido, California 92026

**Subject Property Access Contact:** Mr. Jon Rilling, Accretive Investments, Inc. (858) 345-3644

**Consultant Information:**

EEI

2195 Faraday Avenue, Suite K

Carlsbad, California 92008

**Phone:** (760) 431-3747

**Fax:** (760) 431-3748

**E-mail Address of Environmental Professional:** piverson@eetiger.com

**Inspection Date:** July 3, 2012 / **Report Date:** August 23, 2012

**Client Information:**

Mr. Jon Rilling

Vice President

Accretive Investments, Inc.

12275 El Camino Real, Suite 110

San Diego, California 92130

**Site Assessor:**

Ed Lump – Senior Project Manager

**EP Certification:**

I declare that, to the best of my professional knowledge and belief, I meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in 40 CFR 312.10 (**Resume, Appendix A**).



---

Polly Ivers – Project Scientist

**AAI Certification:**

We have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. We have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.



---

Polly Ivers – Project Scientist

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

At the request and authorization of Accretive Investments, Inc. (“Client”), EEI conducted a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) for the proposed roadway expansion property including: portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of Interstate 15 (I-15), and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395 situated west of I-15, Escondido, California. The purpose of this Phase I ESA was to assess the presence or likely presence of an existing, historical, or threatened release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures, soil, and/or groundwater beneath the subject property, to the extent practical (i.e., *recognized environmental conditions* as delineated in ASTM E1527-05).

Overall, the subject project is situated in northern San Diego County, north of the City of Escondido, west of the community of Valley Center and southeast of the community of Bonsall. The subject project consists of an approximately 2,700 linear foot section of West Lilac Road, including approximately 700 feet west of Interstate 15 (I-15) and 2,000 feet east of I-15. The subject property also includes proposed improvements to the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395 situated west of I-15.

The subject property can be accessed from the intersection of I-15 and West Lilac Road. Except for the concrete bridge crossing over I-15, pavement on West Lilac Road consisted of asphaltic concrete. Existing roadway improvements were observed to include asphalt curbs west of I-15 and predominantly unpaved shoulders east of I-15. Additionally, a concrete paved drainage swale was observed near the shoulder along the south side of West Lilac Road, from the east side of the I-15 easement east to near the driveway to 8561 West Lilac Road. The drainage swale drained in a westerly direction into the descending slope area near the southeastern side of the I-15 easement.

In general, the subject property is surrounded by rural residential and undeveloped land west of the I-15 corridor easement. Rural residential and agricultural development (i.e., irrigated groves) was observed east of the I-15 easement. Fencing limited access to the grove sites along West Lilac Road.

Based on historical records such as aerial photographs, and topographic maps, Old Highway 395, West Lilac Road and Standel Lane were present in the site vicinity since at least 1947. In 1980, I-15 was present in its current configuration. The subject property has mainly been bordered by a mix of undeveloped land, agricultural land and rural residential development throughout history. No significant offsite development, including commercial or residential development, was noted in the site vicinity.

EEI contacted the County of San Diego, California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), and reviewed other State and Federal databases to determine if the subject property, or any adjacent properties, were listed as hazardous waste generators, underground storage tank releases (UST), or as having other environmental concerns (i.e., spill, leak, or aboveground tank). No releases/leaks or spills were documented at the subject property on any of the databases researched.

On July 3, 2012, EEI personnel conducted a reconnaissance of the subject property to physically observe the property and adjoining properties for conditions indicating a potential environmental concern. Concerns would include any evidence of contamination, distressed vegetation, petroleum-hydrocarbon staining, waste drums, illegal dumping, or improper waste storage and/or handling. No evidence of environmental concerns was noted on the subject property during our site reconnaissance.

Based on the future planned widening and improvements to the roadways, off ramps and intersections, and historical agricultural use of the adjacent property, additional investigation efforts were performed by EEI to further evaluate the subject property soils for aerially-deposited lead from historical automotive fuel combustion, and the presence of restricted agricultural chemicals. Sampling activities were conducted on July 3 and July 5, 2012. A total of four (4) discrete locations (identified as HA-1 through HA-4) were chosen to provide representative coverage at the intersection of Old Highway 395 and West Lilac Road. Four (4) soil samples were collected along the shoulders of West Lilac Road, west of I-15 (identified as HA-5 through HA-8). The aforementioned areas represent land adjacent to West Lilac Road where properties do not appear to have been developed for agricultural purposes. A total of twenty (20) discrete locations (identified as HA-9 through HA-28) were chosen to provide representative coverage along the shoulders of West Lilac Road, east of I-15. The aforementioned area represents land adjacent to West Lilac Road where properties appear to have been or are currently developed for agricultural purposes. All 28 samples were collected at 6-inches below ground surface.

All eight (8) discrete soil samples (HA-1 through HA-8) were analyzed for Total Lead by United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Test Method 6010B. The remaining twenty (20) soil samples were also analyzed for Lead by U.S. EPA Test Method 6010B. Additionally, the laboratory tested four (4) composite samples utilizing the twenty (20) samples (5:1 ratio) which were analyzed for Arsenic by U.S. EPA Test Method 6010B and Organochlorine Pesticides by U.S. EPA Test Method 8081A.

The results of our agricultural chemical survey revealed that no concentrations of arsenic or organochlorine pesticides were detected above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”) in the soil samples collected from the subject property. Concentrations of total lead were detected above the laboratory detection limit in samples HA-1 through HA-4, HA-7, HA-9, HA-12 through HA, 14, HA-16, and HA-25 through HA-27. Concentrations of lead ranged from 7.1 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) (HA-25) to 160 mg/kg (HA-2). No other samples analyzed detected lead above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”).

DDE (organochlorine pesticide) was detected in composite samples #1 through #4 at 6.7 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ), 13  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , 8.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , and 46  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , respectively. DDD (organochlorine pesticides) was detected in Composite Sample #4 at 11  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . No other samples analyzed detected DDE, DDD, or any other organochlorine pesticide included in EPA Test Method 8081A above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”).

EEI compared the reported lead, DDE and DDD values to the California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSL) residential land use scenario values. The CHHSLs are concentrations of select hazardous chemicals that are used to estimate and compare reported values in soil to risk to human health. The following bulleted items summarize the reported values:

Concentrations of lead in soil sample (HA-2) collected from the subject property were slightly above the applicable residential screening value of 150 mg/kg. Although the concentrations of lead in one sample are above the CHHSLs for residential land uses, the concentrations are within acceptable levels for reuse per Caltrans (Caltrans, 2012) and DTSC guidance. The detected DDE concentrations of at 6.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , 13  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , 8.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , and 46  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , is less than the CHHSL residential screening level of 1,600  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . The detected DDD concentrations of 11  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  are less than the CHHSL residential screening level of 2,300  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ .

We have performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Designation E1527-05 for the subject property including portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of Interstate 15, and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395, situated west of I-15, Escondido, California. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 7.0 of this report. This Phase I ESA has revealed no evidence of *recognized environmental conditions* in connection with the property, except for the following:

- Based on the results of our limited soil investigation, no concentrations of arsenic were detected above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., non-detect). Low levels of DDE and DDD were detected in site soils. The concentrations were less than applicable residential screening levels, and no further investigation regarding these constituents appears to be warranted. Concentrations of lead in soil sample (HA-2) collected from the subject property were slightly above the applicable residential screening value of 150 mg/kg; however, the concentrations are within acceptable levels for reuse per Caltrans (Caltrans, 2012) and DTSC guidance; therefore, further investigation does not appear to be warranted at this time. According to the Client, the soils from the subject property will not be relocated or reused (i.e. placed beneath a residential use area), during construction of the proposed Lilac Hills Ranch Development. However, EEI recommends that the Caltrans guidance should be considered during future construction activities and that if the soils containing elevated concentrations of lead are moved or relocated at any time, additional testing and/or mitigation may be required.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) and Limited Soil Investigation was to assess the possible presence of *recognized environmental conditions* for the proposed roadway expansion property including portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of Interstate 15 (I-15), and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395 situated west of I-15, Escondido, California (**Figure 1**). *Recognized environmental conditions* include those property uses that may indicate the presence or likely presence of an existing, historical, or threatened release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures, soil, and/or groundwater beneath the property. The term *recognized environmental conditions* are not intended to include *de minimis* conditions that generally do not present a material risk of harm to public health or the environment and that would not be subject to enforcement actions by a regulatory agency.

This ESA was performed in general conformance with the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) *Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process*, Designation E1527-05.

### 1.2 Scope of Services

The following scope of services was conducted by EEI:

- A review of readily available documents which included topographic, geologic, and hydrogeologic conditions associated with the subject property.
- A review of readily available maps, aerial photographs, and other documents relative to historical subject property usage and development.
- A review of previous environmental reports and regulatory file information pertaining to both existing and historic property conditions.
- A review of readily available federal, state, county, and city documents and database files concerning hazardous material storage, generation and disposal, active and inactive landfills, existing environmental concerns, and associated permits related to the subject property and/or immediately adjacent sites.
- A subject property reconnaissance to ascertain current conditions on the subject property.
- Interviews with person(s) knowledgeable of the subject property.
- A limited agricultural chemical survey, which consisted of collecting and analyzing soil samples from the subject property.
- The preparation of this report which presents our findings, conclusions, and recommendations.

### **1.3 Reliance**

This ESA has been prepared for the sole use of Accretive Investments, Inc. (Client). This assessment should not be relied upon by other parties without the express written consent of EEI and Client. Any use or reliance upon this assessment by a party other than the Client, therefore, shall be solely at the risk of such third party and without legal recourse against EEI, its employees, officers, or directors, regardless of whether the action in which recovery of damages is brought or based upon contract, tort, statute or otherwise.

This assessment should not be interpreted as a statistical evaluation of the subject property, but rather is intended to provide a preliminary indication of on-site impacts from previous property usage and/or the release of hazardous materials. If no significant indicators of the presence of hazardous materials and/or petroleum contamination are encountered during this search, this does not preclude their presence. The findings in this report are based upon published geologic and hydrogeologic information, information (both documentary and oral) provided by the County of San Diego, FirstSearch® (i.e., agency database search), various state and federal agencies, and EEI's field observations. Some of these data are subject to change over time. Some of these data are based on information not currently observable or measurable, but recorded by documents or orally reported by individuals.

## **2.0 PHYSIOGRAPHIC SETTING**

### **2.1 Subject Property Description**

Overall, the subject project is situated in northern San Diego County, north of the City of Escondido, west of the community of Valley Center and southeast of the community of Bonsall. The subject project consists of an approximately 2,700 linear foot section of West Lilac Road, including approximately 700 feet west of Interstate 15 (I-15) and 2,000 feet east of I-15. The subject property also includes proposed improvements to the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395 situated west of I-15.

The subject property can be accessed from the intersection of I-15 and West Lilac Road. Except for the concrete bridge crossing over I-15, pavement on West Lilac Road consisted of asphaltic concrete. Existing roadway improvements were observed to included asphalt curbs west of I-15 and predominantly unpaved shoulders east of I-15. Additionally, a concrete paved drainage swale was observed near the shoulder along the south side of West Lilac Road, from the east side of the I-15 easement east to near the driveway to 8561 West Lilac Road. The drainage swale drained in a westerly direction into the descending slope area near the southeastern side of the I-15 easement.

In general, the subject property is surrounded by rural residential and undeveloped land west of the I-15 corridor easement. Rural residential and agricultural development (i.e., irrigated groves) was observed east of the I-15 easement. Fencing limited access to the grove sites along West Lilac Road.

Based on historical records such as aerial photographs, and topographic maps, Old Highway 395, West Lilac Road and Standel Lane were present in the site vicinity since at least 1947. In 1980, I-15 was present in its current configuration. The subject property has mainly been bordered by a mix of undeveloped land, agricultural land and rural residential development throughout history. No significant offsite development, including commercial or residential development, was noted in the site vicinity.

## 2.2 Topography

The subject property is located on the United States Geological Survey (USGS), Bonsall, 7.5-Minute Quadrangle (USGS, 2012). Overall, the subject property is located on moderately sloping terrain consisting of varying topographic relief. The subject property elevation ranges from approximately 786 feet above mean sea level (amsl) at its lowest point at the intersection of West Lilac Road and I-15, to approximately 915 feet bgs near the intersection of West Lilac Road and Stadel Lane. Based on topographic relief, surface water drainage in the site vicinity appears to be predominately to the west and northwest.

## 2.3 Regional and Local Geology

The subject property and vicinity lies within the Peninsular Ranges Geomorphic Province of California (CGS, 2002). The Peninsular Ranges Geomorphic Province extends from the Transverse Ranges Geomorphic Province and the Los Angeles Basin, south to Baja California. This province varies in width from about 30- to 100-miles. It is bounded on the west by the Pacific Ocean, on the south by the Gulf of California and on the east by the Colorado Desert Province. The Peninsular Ranges are essentially a series of northwest-southeast oriented fault blocks. The Transverse Ranges Geomorphic Province bounds the Peninsular Ranges on the north.

Major fault zones and subordinate fault zones found in the Peninsular Ranges Province typically trend in a northwest-southeast direction. The closest major faults to the subject property are the Julian segment of the Elsinore Fault zone; the Rose Canyon Fault zone; and the Coronado Bank Fault zone (including the San Diego Trough Fault). Other major faults in the region include the San Jacinto Fault zone and the San Andreas Fault zone. The San Andreas Fault zone is considered the most active fault zone and borders the northeasterly margin of the province.

Geologic maps indicate the general vicinity of the subject property is underlain by Mesozoic aged (Cretaceous) granitic rocks (Tan, 2000). Specifically, the property is underlain by Tonalite of Couser Canyon, described as a Hornblende-biotite tonalite; coarse grained and massive. This Tonalite contain some granodiorite and is characterized by an abundance of pegmatite dikes.

Soils beneath the project site and vicinity have been identified by the United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service, Web Soil Survey as sandy loams of the Fallbrook (FaE2) soil series (USDA, 2012). The Fallbrook series consists of deep, well drained soils that formed in material weathered from granitic rocks. Fallbrook soils are on rolling hills and have slopes of 5 to 75 percent. These soils are well drained; medium to very rapid runoff; and moderately slow permeability.

## 2.4 Regional and Local Hydrogeology

According to the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB, 1994), the subject property is located within the groundwater designation of the Bonsall Subarea (HSA – 903.12), which is a part of the lower San Luis Hydrologic Area (HA – 903.10) and located within the San Luis Rey Hydrologic Unit (HU – 903.00). Groundwater beneath the San Luis HA has been identified as having existing beneficial uses for municipal, agricultural, and industrial supply processes.

EEI reviewed the California Department of Water Resources, Water Data Library website (WDL, 2012) for additional information pertaining to groundwater and water supply wells on or close to the subject property. According to the website, there no water supply wells located in the immediate site vicinity.

## 2.5 Hydrologic Flood Plain Information

EEI reviewed the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA, 2012) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) online database to determine if the subject property was in a flood zone. According to the information reviewed on FIRM 06073C0495G Panel 495 of 2375 (revised May 2012), the subject property is situated within Zone X. Zone X is designated as being areas of 500-year flood; areas of 100-year flood with average depths of less than one foot or with drainage areas less than one square mile, and areas protected by levees from 100-year flood. A copy of the FIRM is included in **Appendix B**.

## 3.0 SUBJECT PROPERTY BACKGROUND

### 3.1 Subject Property Ownership

Given that the subject property consists of vacant land associated with a proposed existing roadway expansion project, the property owner information was not readily available.

### 3.2 Subject Property History

EEI reviewed readily available information sources to evaluate historic land use in and around the subject property. These information sources include information from aerial photographs, USGS maps and the County of San Diego. The information sources reviewed is summarized in the following sections.

#### 3.2.1 Aerial Photograph and Historical Map Review

Aerial photographs and historical topographical maps were reviewed to identify historical land development and any surface conditions which may have impacted the subject property. Photographs and historical topographic maps dating 1939, 1947, 1948, 1951, 1953, 1964, 1968, 1974, 1975, 1980, 1994, 2002, and 2012 were obtained and reviewed from Track Info Services/FirstSearch®, an environmental information/database retrieval service. A 2010 aerial photograph was obtained from Google Earth® and reviewed, a copy of which is included herein (**Figure 2**).

**Table 1** summarizes the results of the historical use review. Copies of the aerial photographs and historical topographic maps provided by Track Info Services/FirstSearch® are included in **Appendix C**. According to the information reviewed, Old Highway 395, West Lilac Road and Standel Lane were present in the site vicinity since at least 1947. In 1980, I-15 was present in its current configuration. The subject property has mainly been bordered by a mix of undeveloped land, agricultural land and rural residential development throughout history. No significant offsite development, including commercial or residential development, was noted in the site vicinity.

**TABLE 1**  
**Summary of Historical Use Review**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Source and Scale</b>	<b>Comments</b>
1939	Aerial Photograph 1:685	West Lilac Road was present. Standel Lane appeared as a narrow unimproved road. The surrounding area appeared with a mix of sparse agricultural and rural residential development.
1947	Aerial Photograph 1:685	Old Highway 395 was present and intersected with West Lilac Road. Surrounding area appeared with a mix of agriculture and rural development.
1948/1951	Topographic Map 1:24,000	Old Highway 395 and West Lilac Road were present as the major roadways in the site vicinity. Sparse rural residential development was noted adjacent to the major roads and in the surrounding area.
1953	Aerial Photograph 1:685	No apparent changes were noted on the site vicinity; with the exception of increased agricultural and structural development in the surrounding area.
1964	Aerial Photograph 1:685	No apparent changes were noted on the site vicinity; with the exception of increased agricultural and structural development in the surrounding area.
1968/1975	Topographic Map 1: 24,000	No apparent changes were noted on the subject property or adjacent property. Increased development of roads and structures appeared in the surrounding area.
1974	Aerial Photograph 1:685	No apparent changes were noted on the site vicinity; with the exception of increased agricultural and structural development in the surrounding area.
1980	Aerial Photograph 1:685	I-15 appeared to the east of Old Highway 395. West Lilac Road remained in its current configuration.
1994	Aerial Photograph 1:685	No apparent changes were noted on the site vicinity; with the exception of increased development in the surrounding area.
2002	Aerial Photograph 1:685	No apparent changes were noted in the site vicinity.
August 2010	Aerial Photograph Google Earth®	The subject property and adjacent and surrounding property appeared in its current configuration. A mix of agricultural land and rural residential development appeared in the site vicinity.
2012	Topographic Map 1:24,000	I-15 now ran parallel and on the east side of Old Highway 395 in its current configuration. Standel Lane was present intersection with West Lilac Road. Developed roads appeared in the surrounding area.

### 3.2.2 City/County Directory

Due to the absence of structural development on the subject property, and therefore, the lack of directory information, as well as the agricultural and rural land uses of the surrounding area, this information source was not researched as it was not deemed to be sufficiently useful.

### 3.2.3 Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps

Sanborn Fire Insurance maps were developed in the late 1800s and early 1900s for use as an assessment tool for fire insurance rates in urbanized areas. An on-line search was made at the Los Angeles County Public Library's collection of Sanborn Fire Insurance maps (LAPL, 2012). Sanborn map coverage was not available for the subject property and/or surrounding area; therefore, indicating little or no development prior to the 1950s.

### 3.2.4 County of San Diego Department of Planning and Land Use

Due to the absence of structural development on the subject property, and therefore, the lack of any associated address or building permit records, this information source was not researched as it was not deemed to be sufficiently useful.

## 3.3 Regulatory Database Search

EEI reviewed known electronic database listings for possible hazardous waste generating establishments in the vicinity of the subject property, as well as adjacent sites with known environmental concerns. Facilities were identified by county, state, or federal agencies that generate, store, or dispose of hazardous materials. The majority of information in this section was obtained from FirstSearch®, an environmental information/database retrieval service. A copy of the FirstSearch® report is provided in **Appendix D**, along with a description of the individual databases. The subject property was not listed on any of the databases researched.

### 3.3.1 Federal Databases

National Priority List (NPL) – No listings were reported within one mile of the subject property.

NPL Delisted – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System (CERCLIS) – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

CERCLIS (NFRAP) Archive – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRA) Corrective Action Sites (COR) – No listings were reported within one mile of the subject property.

RCRA TSD Facility List (RCRA-D) – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

RCRA Generators (RCRA-G) – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

RCRA No Longer Regulated (NLR) – No listings were reported within one-eighth mile of the subject property.

Federal Brownfield – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS) – No listings were reported within one-eighth mile of the subject property. One non-geocoded listing was reported. Upon further review, EEI determined this site to be located further than one mile from the subject property; therefore, the site is not considered an environmental concern.

The subject property was not identified on any of the above-referenced databases researched.

### 3.3.2 State and Regional Sources

Tribal Lands – One listing was reported within one mile of the subject property: **Bureau of Indian Affairs Contact I**. One non-geocoded site was listed as **Bureau of Indian Affairs Contact 1** (location unknown). Tribal lands listings are generally not locations or releases, but placeholders used to contact the local Bureau of Indian Affairs representative for information on tribal lands in the area.

State/Tribal Sites – No listings were reported within one mile of the subject property.

State Spills 90 – No listings were reported within one-eighth mile of the subject property.

State/Tribal Solid Waste Landfill (SWL) Sites – One listing was reported within one-half mile of the subject property. **Green Co Farms** (32163 Old Highway 395, 0.27 miles south), was listed as a proposed green waste composting facility. Based on this information, this site is not considered an environmental concern.

State/Tribal California State Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST) – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

State/Tribal Permitted Underground Storage Tanks (UST)/Aboveground Storage Tanks (AST) – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

State/Tribal IC/EC – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

State/Tribal Voluntary Cleanup Program Properties (VCP) – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

State/Tribal Brownfields – No listings were reported within one-half mile of the subject property.

State Permits – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

State Other – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

Oil and Gas Wells – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

Federal IC/EC – Six listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

Dry Cleaners – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property.

Hazardous Waste Manifest – No listings were reported within one-quarter mile of the subject property

The subject property was not identified on any of the above-referenced databases researched.

### **3.4 Regulatory Agency Review**

#### **3.4.1 Deer Springs Fire Protection District**

EEI contact the Deer Springs Fire Protection District (DSFPD) for information pertaining to hazardous waste releases, spills, incident, and/or inspection reports for the subject property. According to staff, the DSFPD does not hold records related to hazardous releases, spills, or UST permits and referred EEI to the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health (see below). A search by personnel for incident or inspection reports related to the subject property revealed no records on file.

#### **3.4.2 County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health**

Due to the absence of development on the subject property, and therefore, the lack of an associated address, as well as the agricultural and rural residential land uses of the surrounding area, this information source was not researched as it was not deemed to be sufficiently useful.

#### **3.4.3 State Water Resources Control Board**

EEI reviewed the online database GeoTracker (2012), which provides records on LUSTs and Spills, Leaks, Investigation and Cleanup (SLIC) sites, which is maintained by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). Neither the subject property nor any adjacent or nearby properties were listed on any of the databases researched.

#### **3.4.4 Department of Toxic Substances Control**

EEI reviewed the online database EnviroStor (2012), which provides records on LUSTs, SLICs, Priority cleanup sites and states sites, which is maintained by the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). Neither the subject property nor any adjacent or nearby properties were listed on any of the databases researched.

#### **3.4.5 Review of Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources Files**

Oil and gas wells were not observed on the subject property during our subject property reconnaissance. A review of the California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Website for oil and gas fields in California and Alaska (CDOGGR, 2012) indicated no petroleum exploration or production has occurred on or immediately adjacent to the subject property (identified as within Township 10S, Range 03W, Sections 13 and 24).

### **3.4.6 National Pipeline Mapping System**

EEI reviewed the National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS, 2012) public viewer website for gas transmission pipelines and hazardous liquid trunklines on or close to the subject property. According to the information reviewed, an unidentified pipeline parallels Old Highway 395 in the immediate site vicinity. No other information regarding the type of pipeline was provided. No other pipelines were noted in the site vicinity.

### **3.5 Interview with Current Property Owner**

Based on the nature of the subject property consisting of vacant land associated with a proposed existing roadway expansion project, directive from the Client, and the fact that property owner information was not readily available, the property owner was not interviewed. Based on the information gathered from other readily available historical resources, including historic topographic maps, historic aerial photographs, and internet research, EEI does not consider the absence of this interview to effect the validity of this Phase I ESA.

### **3.6 User Provided Information**

Pursuant to ASTM E1527-05, EEI provided a Phase I ESA User Specific Questionnaire to the “user” (the person on whose behalf the Phase I ESA is being conducted), in this case, Mr. Jon Rilling, with Accretive Investments, Inc., completed the questionnaire. The User Specific Information provided by Mr. Rilling is documented below. A copy of the user specific questions (per ASTM E1527-05) with Mr. Rilling’s associated responses is included in **Appendix F**.

#### **3.6.1 Environmental Liens or Activity and Use Limitations**

Mr. Rilling stated that he is not aware of any environmental liens, land use limitations, deed restrictions or governmental notifications relating to past or recurrent violations of environmental laws with respect to the property or any facility located on the property.

#### **3.6.2 Specialized Knowledge**

Mr. Rilling did not indicate that he had any other specialized knowledge related to the subject property.

#### **3.6.3 Valuation Reduction for Environmental Issues**

Mr. Rilling stated that there is no valuation reduction for environmental issues related to the subject property.

#### **3.6.4 Presence or Likely Presence of Contamination**

Mr. Rilling indicated that he does not know of any specific issues related to past uses, specific chemicals, spills, releases, or cleanups which may have occurred on the property.

### 3.6.5 Other

Mr. Rilling noted that the Phase I ESA is required due to a County of San Diego Development application requirement. Mr. Rilling noted that the type of transaction is a survey for environmental planning.

## 3.7 Other Environmental Issues

### 3.7.1 Asbestos-Containing Materials

Asbestos, a natural fiber used in the manufacturing of a number of different building materials, has been identified as a human carcinogen. Most friable (i.e., easily broken or crushed) Asbestos-Containing Material (ACM) was banned in building materials by 1978. By 1989, most major manufacturers had voluntarily removed non-friable ACM (i.e., flooring, roofing, and mastics/sealants) from the market. These materials, however, were not banned completely.

In October 1995, the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) redefined the manner by which building materials are classified in regards to asbestos and the also the way these materials are to be handled. Under this ruling, “thermal system insulation and sprayed-on or troweled on or otherwise applied surfacing materials” applied before 1980 are considered presumed Asbestos-Containing Materials (PACM). Other building materials such as “floor or ceiling tiles, siding, roofing, transite panels” (i.e., non-friable) are also considered PACM unless tested.

An ACM survey was not conducted at the subject property as part of this Phase I ESA. The subject property consists of vacant land associated with a proposed expansion of existing improved roadways. Based on this information, the presence of asbestos-containing materials is not considered likely.

### 3.7.2 Lead-Based Paint

Lead-Based Paint (LBP) is identified by OSHA, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department Housing and Urban Development Department (HUD) as being a potential health risk to humans, particularly children, based upon its effects to the central nervous system, kidneys, and bloodstream. The risk of Lead-Based Paint has been classified by HUD based upon the age and condition of the painted surface. This classification includes the following:

- maximum risk is from paint applied before 1950;
- a severe risk is present from paint applied before 1960;
- a moderate risk is present from paint applied before 1970;
- a slight risk is present from paint applied before 1977; and
- paint applied after 1977 is not expected to contain lead.

The subject property consists of vacant land associated with a proposed expansion of existing improved roadways. Based on this information, the presence of lead-based paint is not considered likely.

### 3.7.3 Radon

Radon is a radioactive gas which has been identified as a human carcinogen. Radon gas is typically associated with fine-grained rock and soil, and results from the radioactive decay of radium. The U.S. EPA recommends that homeowners in areas with radon screening levels greater than 4 Picocuries per liter (pCi/L) conduct mitigation of radon gas to reduce exposure.

Sections 307 and 309 of the Indoor Radon Abatement Act of 1988 (IRAA) directed the U.S. EPA to list and identify areas of the U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor radon levels. U.S. EPA's Map of Radon Zones (EPA-402-R-93-071) assigns each of the 3,141 counties in the US to one of three zones based on radon potential:

- Zone 1 counties have a predicted average indoor radon screening level greater than 4 pCi/L.
- Zone 2 counties have a predicted average indoor radon screening level between 2 and 4 pCi/L.
- Zone 3 counties have a predicted average indoor radon screening level less than 2 pCi/L.

Based on such factors as indoor radon measurements; geology; aerial radioactivity; and soil permeability, the U.S. EPA has identified the County of San Diego as Zone 3 (i.e., a predicted average indoor radon screening level less than 2 pCi/L). EEI does not consider radon as a significant environmental concern at this time.

### 3.7.4 Polychlorinated Biphenyls

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB's) are used in electrical equipment, particularly in capacitors and transformers, because they are electrically nonconductive and stable at high temperatures. PCB's persist in the environment, accumulate in organisms, and concentrate in the food chain.

The disposal of these compounds is regulated under the Toxic Substances Control Act, which banned the manufacture and distribution of PCB's. By Federal definition, PCB equipment contains 500 parts per million (ppm) or more of PCB's, where PCB-contaminated equipment contains PCB concentrations greater than 50 ppm but less than 500 ppm. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), under TSCA guidance, regulates the removal and disposal of all sources of PCB's containing 50 ppm or more.

Any electrical equipment containing dielectric insulating fluids or coolants, manufactured prior to 1976, should be considered as potentially PCB-containing. This includes transformers, capacitors, and fluorescent light fittings. In addition, PCB's may also be found as a stabilizer in older lubricating oils, pesticide extenders, cutting oils, hydraulic fluids, paints, sealants, and flame retardants (UNEP, 1999).

Overhead power lines were observed predominantly along the northerly side of West Lilac Road west of I-15, and along both sides of the roadway east of I-15. Pole mounted transformers were observed at a few locations. The electrical transformers appeared to be in good operating condition and no signs of leaking were noted.

Based on our experience with similar sites surrounding the subject property and San Diego County, PCB containing pole-mounted transformers is unlikely; therefore, is not considered an environmental concern at this time.

## 4.0 SUBJECT PROPERTY RECONNAISSANCE

### 4.1 Purpose

The purpose of our subject property reconnaissance was to visually and physically observe the subject property, structures, and adjoining properties for conditions indicating an existing release, past release, or threatened release of any hazardous materials/substances or petroleum products into structures on the subject property, or into soil and/or groundwater beneath the subject property. This would include any evidence of contamination, distressed vegetation, petroleum-hydrocarbon surface staining, waste drums, ASTs/USTs, illegal dumping, or improper waste storage/handling. Detailed information is provided in the text below.

### 4.2 Subject Property

On July 3, 2012, EEI personnel conducted a site reconnaissance to visually observe the subject site and adjoining properties for conditions indicating a potential recognized environmental concern. Visual conditions present during the site reconnaissance are documented in the Photographic Log (**Appendix F**), and summarized in **Table 2**.

Overall, the subject project is situated in northern San Diego County, north of the City of Escondido, west of the community of Valley Center and southeast of the community of Bonsall. The subject project consists of an approximately 2,700 linear foot section of West Lilac Road, including approximately 700 feet west of Interstate 15 (I-15) and 2,000 feet east of I-15. The project also included proposed improvements to the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395 situated west of I-15. Except for the concrete bridge crossing over I-15, pavement on West Lilac Road consisted of asphaltic concrete. Existing roadway improvements were observed to include asphalt curbs west of I-15 and predominantly unpaved shoulders east of I-15. Additionally, a concrete paved drainage swale was observed near the shoulder along the south side of West Lilac Road, from the east side of the I-15 easement east to near the driveway to 8561 West Lilac Road. The drainage swale drained in a westerly direction into the descending slope area near the southeastern side of the I-15 easement. Overall, small, very minor weathered surface soil discoloration was detected at a few locations along the shoulders of West Lilac Road. Based upon our experience, this staining does not represent a recognized environmental concern.

With the exception of a citrus grove located near the northwestern corner of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395, property along West Lilac Road west of I-15 was observed to consist of rural residential properties and undeveloped land. Typical natural brush mantled the surface along both sides of West Lilac Road west of I-15. Generally, land north of West Lilac Road and west of I-15 was at a higher elevation than the roadway, and land south of the roadway was at a lower elevation. Parcels along West Lilac Road east of the I-15 easement were noted to consist predominantly of rural residential and agricultural development (i.e., irrigated groves). Properties east of I-15 were noted to be both above and below the elevation of West Lilac Road. A culvert under-crossing and private HDPE drain outlets from adjacent groves were observed on the north side of West Lilac Road east of Standel Lane. Chain link fencing bounded the grove properties along West Lilac Road east of I-15, and along sections of the south side of West Lilac Road west of I-15.

Utilities observed within the linear project area consisted of buried water lines (and associated hydrants, backflow preventors, blow off valves, valve clusters in the pavements and laterals), buried AT&T and Bell lines, above-ground power poles with transformers, buried SDG&E vaults, buried USA lines, and buried storm

drain lines. In addition, surface markers delineating a buried fiber optic cable was observed at the southwestern corner of West Lilac Road and Old highway 395. An air-conditioned AT&T equipment shed was also noted near the proposed improvements to the northwest corner of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395. Overhead power lines were observed along the northerly side of West Lilac Road west of I-15, and along the both side of the roadway east of I-15. Pole mounted transformers were observed at a few locations. The electrical transformers appeared to be in good operating condition and no signs of leaking were noted.

EEI personnel conducted a reconnaissance of the property by traversing the property from north to south then east to west to physically observe the property and adjoining properties for conditions indicating a potential recognized environmental concern. Concerns would include any evidence of contamination, distressed vegetation, petroleum-hydrocarbon staining, waste drums, illegal dumping, or improper waste storage and/or handling. Minor localized wind-blow trash was noted along the edges of West Lilac Road, including small clusters of empty beer bottles and a piece of an old tire. No evidence of *recognized environmental conditions* was noted on the subject property during our subject property reconnaissance efforts.

<b>TABLE 2</b>		
<b>Summary of Subject Property Reconnaissance</b>		
<b>Item</b>	<b>Concerns</b>	<b>Comments</b>
General Housekeeping	No	Minor wind-blown trash/debris observed onsite.
Surface Spills	No	None observed.
Stained Surfaces	No	A few small, very minor and weathered areas of petroleum staining were observed along the unpaved shoulders.
Fill Materials	No	Minor road and highway fills.
Pits/Ponds/Lagoons	No	None observed.
Surface Impoundments	No	None observed.
ASTs/USTs	No	None observed.
Distressed Vegetation	No	None observed.
Wetlands	No	None observed.
Electrical Substations	No	None observed. An SDG&E vault was noted near the southwestern edge of West Lilac road and I-15.
Areas of Dumping	No	Significant piles of trash and debris were not observed on the subject property.
Transformers	No	Pole-mounted transformers appearing to be in good condition were detectable within the subject property limits.
Waste/Scrap Storage	No	None observed.
Chemical Use/Storage	No	None observed.

### 4.3 Adjacent Properties

EEI conducted a visual and auto reconnaissance of the adjoining neighborhoods (to the extent practical) to evaluate the potential for offsite impacts that may affect the subject property. These would include evidence of chemical storage or usage, surface staining or leakage, distressed vegetation, or evidence of illegal dumping.

In general, the subject property is surrounded by rural residential and undeveloped land west of the I-15 corridor easement. Rural residential and agricultural development (i.e., irrigated groves) was observed east of the I-15 easement. Fencing limited access to the grove sites along West Lilac Road. Generally, immediately adjacent properties were not identified as having environmental related issues on any of the databases researched and reported herein. The grove sites may be considered as an environmental concern at this time only due to the usage of pesticides/herbicides that may have created soil residues from runoff impacting the proposed project. No service stations, dry cleaners, or industrial properties were located in the immediate vicinity.

## 5.0 LIMITED SOIL INVESTIGATION

Portions of the subject property east of I-15 are immediately adjacent to areas that have been and continues to be utilized for agricultural purposes (i.e., citrus and avocado orchard). It is likely that restricted agricultural chemicals were applied to subject property soils, which is a potential REC. Based on the future planned widening and improvements to the roadways, off ramps and intersections, and historical agricultural use of the adjacent property, additional investigation efforts (i.e., soil sampling and analysis) were performed by EEI to further evaluate the subject property soils for aerially-deposited lead from historical automotive fuel combustion, and the presence of restricted agricultural chemicals.

There is no specific guidance regarding the testing and analysis of heavy metals and/or pesticides on soils in San Diego County. Therefore, EEI relied principally on the Department of Toxic Substance Control's (DTSC) August 2008 "*Interim Guidance For Sampling Agricultural Properties*", combined with our experience gathered over the last two decades. The DTSC document provides guidance for sampling of former agricultural properties (undisturbed) where pesticides and/or fertilizers were presumably applied uniformly, for agricultural purposes, consistent with normal application practices.

The DTSC document was initially prepared for use in evaluating soil at proposed new school sites and existing schools undergoing expansion projects where the property was currently or previously used for agricultural activities, but has been expanded to provide a uniform and streamlined approach for evaluating agricultural properties.

Based on the linear length of the subject property, and EEI's experience at similar projects, a total of twenty eight (28) discrete soil samples, were collected at near-surface (0 to 6-inches below grade) locations along the subject roadway. All soil samples will be submitted for laboratory analytical testing. The following sections discuss our investigation activities.

### 5.1 Field Investigation

On July 3, 2012, EEI personnel mobilized to the subject property west of I-15 to conduct soil sampling activities with a stainless steel hand auger. Soil sampling locations were selected with the goal of collecting representative soil samples from the subject property. A total of four (4) discrete locations (identified as HA-1 through HA-4, **Figure 3**) were chosen to provide representative coverage at the intersection of Old Highway

395 and West Lilac Road. Four (4) soil samples were collected along the shoulders of West Lilac Road, west of I-15 (identified as HA-5 through HA-8, **Figure 3**). The aforementioned areas represent land adjacent to West Lilac Road where properties do not appear to have been developed for agricultural purposes.

On July 5, 2012, EEI personnel mobilized to the subject property east of I-15 to conduct soil sampling activities with a stainless steel hand auger. Soil sampling locations were selected with the goal of collecting representative soil samples from the subject property. A total of eighteen (18) discrete locations (identified as HA-9 through HA-28, **Figure 3**) were chosen to provide representative coverage along the shoulders of West Lilac Road, east of I-15. The aforementioned area represents land adjacent to West Lilac Road where properties appear to have been or are currently developed for agricultural purposes.

Individual samples were collected at a composite depth of approximately zero to six-inches below ground surface (bgs), using a hand auger. Sample material was extracted from the ground and placed in laboratory-supplied, 4-ounce glass jars. The jar was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap, and labeled with a number unique to the sample. The samples were placed in a chilled cooler and transported to EEI's office in Carlsbad and stored in a refrigerator, where they were subsequently picked up by SunStar Labs, a California State-certified laboratory, under proper Chain-of-Custody (COC) documentation.

## 5.2 Laboratory Analytical Testing

All eight (8) discrete soil samples collected on July 3 (HA-1 through HA-8) were analyzed for Total Lead by United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Test Method 6010B. The remaining twenty (20) soil samples (collected on July 5, 2012) were also analyzed for Lead by U.S. EPA Test Method 6010B. Additionally, the laboratory tested four (4) composite samples utilizing the twenty (20) samples (5:1 ratio) which were analyzed for Arsenic by U.S. EPA Test Method 6010B and Organochlorine Pesticides by U.S. EPA Test Method 8081A. The following bulleted items summarize the results of laboratory analytical testing:

- No concentrations of arsenic were detected above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”) in any of the samples analyzed.
- Concentrations of total lead were detected above the laboratory detection limit in samples HA-1 through HA-4, HA-7, HA-9, HA-12 through HA, 14, HA-16, and HA-25 through HA-27. Concentrations of lead ranged from 7.1 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) (HA-25) to 160 mg/kg (HA-2). No other samples analyzed detected lead above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”).
- DDE (organochlorine pesticides) was detected in Composite Samples #1 through #4 at 6.7 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ), 13  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , 8.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , and 46  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , respectively. DDD (organochlorine pesticides) was detected in Composite Sample #4 at 11  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . No other samples analyzed detected DDE, DDD, or any other organochlorine pesticide included in EPA Test Method 8081A above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”).

The attached **Table 3 and Table 4** summarize laboratory analytical results. Complete laboratory reports and COC documentation are provided in Appendix G.

**TABLE 3**  
**Soil Sample Results**

Sample ID	Sample Depth (inches bgs)	Date Sampled	Total Lead-EPA 6010B (mg/Kg)
HA-1	0-6	7-3-2012	<b>17</b>
HA-2	0-6	7-3-2012	<b>160</b>
HA-3	0-6	7-3-2012	<b>19</b>
HA-4	0-6	7-3-2012	<b>23</b>
HA-5	0-6	7-3-2012	ND
HA-6	0-6	7-3-2012	ND
HA-7	0-6	7-3-2012	<b>8.9</b>
HA-8	0-6	7-3-2012	ND
HA-9	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>13</b>
HA-10	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-11	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-12	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>9.7</b>
HA-13	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>7.5</b>
HA-14	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>9.5</b>
HA-15	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-16	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>35</b>
HA-17	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-18	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-19	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-20	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-21	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-22	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-23	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
HA-24	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>41</b>
HA-25	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>7.1</b>
HA-26	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>14</b>
HA-27	0-6	7-5-2012	<b>8.8</b>
HA-28	0-6	7-5-2012	ND
Laboratory Reporting Limit		<b>3</b>	
Residential CHHSLs		<b>150</b>	
bgs = below ground surface; CHHSL = California Human Health Screening Levels; EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram; ND= Non-Detect; NA = Not Applicable/Analyzed; µg/kg = micrograms per kilogram.			

TABLE 4 Soil Sample Results									
Sample ID	Depth (inches bgs)	Date Sampled	EPA 6010B		EPA 8081A				
			Arsenic	Lead	Dieldrin	DDE	DDD	DDT	All Other Constituents
			Reported in mg/kg		Reported in µg/kg				
Composite #1	6	7-5-2012	ND	NA	<5	<b>6.7</b>	<5	<5	<5-200
Composite #2	6	7-5-2012	ND	NA	<5	<b>13</b>	<5	<5	<5-200
Composite #3	6	7-5-2012	ND	NA	<5	<b>8.9</b>	<5	<5	<5-200
Composite #4	6	7-5-2012	ND	NA	<5	<b>46</b>	<b>11</b>	<5	<5-200
<b>Residential CHHSLs</b>			<b>0.07</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>1,600</b>	<b>2,300</b>	<b>1,600</b>	<b>NA</b>
bgs = below ground surface; CHHSL = California Human Health Screening Levels; EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram; ND= Non-Detect; NA = Not Applicable/Analyzed; µg/kg = micrograms per kilogram.									

### 5.3 Discussion of Testing Results

No concentrations of arsenic were detected above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”) in the samples analyzed during this investigation. Lead was detected above the laboratory detection limit in samples HA-1 through HA-4, HA-7, HA-9, HA-12 through HA, 14, HA-16, and HA-25 through HA-27. Concentrations of lead ranged from 7.1 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) (HA-25) to 160 mg/kg (HA-2). No other samples analyzed detected lead above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”).

DDE (organochlorine pesticides) was detected in Composite Samples #1 through #4 at 6.7 micrograms per kilogram (µg/kg), 13 µg/kg, 8.9 µg/kg, and 46 µg/kg, respectively. DDD (organochlorine pesticides) was detected in Composite Sample #4 at 11 µg/kg. No other samples analyzed detected DDE, DDD, or any other organochlorine pesticide included in EPA Test Method 8081A above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., “non-detect”).

EEI compared the reported lead, DDE and DDD values to the California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSL) residential land use scenario values. The CHHSLs are concentrations of select hazardous chemicals that are used to estimate and compare reported values in soil to risk to human health. The following bulleted items summarize the reported values:

- The detected lead concentrations ranging from 7.1 mg/kg to 160 mg/kg, the maximum concentration is slightly above the CHHSL residential screening level of 150 mg/kg in one sample.
- The detected DDE concentrations of 6.7 µg/kg, 13 µg/kg, 8.9 µg/kg, and 46 µg/kg, is less than the CHHSL residential screening level of 1,600 µg/kg.
- The detected DDD concentrations of 11 µg/kg are less than the CHHSL residential screening level of 2,300 µg/kg.

Although arsenic was not detected above the laboratory reporting limit of 5 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in any of the samples analyzed during this investigation, it should be noted that the residential CHHSL value for arsenic is 0.07 mg/kg, which is less than the laboratory reporting limit. Arsenic is a natural occurring element that is present in soil. Acceptable background levels for naturally occurring arsenic vary. The DTSC evaluated arsenic soil concentration data collected from various school sites and determined that 12 mg/kg is an acceptable background screening level (DTSC, 2008). If concentrations of arsenic are detected above 12 mg/kg, the DTSC suggests further evaluation.

## 6.0 FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

Based on the information obtained in this ESA, EEI has the following findings and opinions:

- Known or suspected RECs – No known or suspected RECs have been identified during the preparation of this ESA. However, based on the future planned widening and improvements to the roadways, off ramps and intersections, and historical agricultural use of the adjacent property, additional investigation efforts (i.e., soil sampling and analysis) were performed by EEI to further evaluate the subject property soils for aurally-deposited lead from historical automotive fuel combustion, and the presence of restricted agricultural chemicals. Therefore, EEI performed a limited soil investigation at the subject property.

Based on the results of our limited soil investigation (see Section 5.0 – Results of Limited Soil Investigation), no concentrations of arsenic were detected above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., non-detect). Low levels of organochlorine pesticides DDE and DDD were detected in site soils. The concentrations were less than applicable residential screening levels, and no further investigation regarding these constituents appears to be warranted. Concentrations of lead in soil sample (HA-2) collected from the subject property were slightly above the applicable residential screening value of 150 mg/kg; however, the concentrations are within acceptable levels for reuse per Caltrans (Caltrans, 2012) and DTSC guidance; therefore, further investigation does not appear to be warranted at this time. According to the Client, the soils from the subject property will not be relocated or reused (i.e. placed beneath a residential use area), during construction of the proposed Lilac Hills Ranch Development. However, EEI recommends that the Caltrans guidance should be considered during future construction activities and that if the soils containing elevated concentrations of lead are moved or relocated at any time, additional testing and/or mitigation may be required.

- Historical REC's – No historical REC's have been revealed during the preparation of this ESA.
- *De Minimis* Conditions – No de minimis conditions have been revealed during the preparation of this ESA.

## 7.0 DATA GAPS AND DEVIATIONS FROM ASTM PRACTICES

Section 3.2.20 (ASTM 1527-05) defines a data gap as “a lack or inability to obtain information required by the practice despite good faith efforts of the environmental professional to gather such information.”

### 7.1 Historical Data Gaps

Based on the information obtained during the course of this investigation, the following historical data gaps were encountered.

### **Specific Gaps**

Information regarding the current and past owners of the subject property was not readily available; therefore, this historical source was not researched.

### **Resolution Efforts**

EEI researched historic topographic maps, historic aerial photographs, and internet research to supplement historical information.

### **Opinions on Data Gap Significance**

Based on the information gathered from readily available sources, EEI does not consider the absence of this interview to effect the validity of this Phase I ESA.

## **7.2 Regulatory Data Gaps**

No regulatory data gaps were identified during our research efforts.

## **7.3 On-site Data Gaps**

No on-site data gaps were identified during our research efforts.

## **7.4 Deviations from ASTM Practices**

Section 12.10 (ASTM 1527-05), states that all deletions and deviations from this practice shall be listed individually and in detail, including Client imposed constraints, and all additions should be listed.

EEI believes that there are no exceptions to, or deletions from, the ASTM Designation E1527-05 Guidelines.

## **8.0 CONCLUSIONS**

We have performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Designation E1527-05 for the subject property including portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of Interstate 15, and the intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395, situated west of I-15, Escondido, California. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 7.0 of this report. This Phase I ESA has revealed no evidence of *recognized environmental conditions* in connection with the property.

However, EEI has the following comment:

- Based on the results of our limited soil investigation (see Section 5.0 – Results of Limited Soil Investigation), no concentrations of arsenic were detected above the laboratory reporting limit (i.e., non-detect). Low levels of organochlorine pesticides DDE and DDD were detected in site soils. The concentrations were less than applicable residential screening levels, and no further investigation regarding these constituents appears to be warranted. Concentrations of lead in soil sample (HA-2) collected from the subject property were above the applicable residential screening value of 150 mg/kg; however, the concentrations are within acceptable levels for reuse per Caltrans (Caltrans, 2012) and DTSC guidance; therefore, further investigation does not appear to be warranted at this time. According to the Client, the soils from the subject property will not be relocated or reused (i.e. placed beneath a residential use area), during construction of the proposed Lilac Hills Ranch Development. However, EEI recommends that the Caltrans guidance should be considered during future construction activities and that if the soils containing elevated concentrations of lead are moved or relocated at any time, additional testing and/or mitigation may be required.

## 9.0 REFERENCES


- California Department of Water Resources, Water Data Library (WDL), Website (<http://www.water.ca.gov/waterdatalibrary>), accessed July 2012.
- California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (CDOGGR) Website (<http://maps.conservation.ca.gov/doms/index.html>), accessed July 2012.
- California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA), 2005, "Use of California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSLs) in Evaluation of Contaminated Properties."
- California Geological Survey (CGS), 2002, "California Geomorphic Provinces, Note 36."
- County of San Diego Land Use and Environmental Group (LUEG), KIVA, Website (<http://landinfo.sdcountry.ca.gov/permit/index.cfm>), accessed July 2012.
- Department of Toxic Substances (DTSC), Website (<http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/>), EnviroStor database, accessed July 2012.
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), 2008, "Interim Guidance for Sampling Agricultural Properties (Third Revision)."
- Federal Emergency Management Act (FEMA), Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Website <http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/FemaWelcomeView?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1> accessed February 2012.
- Los Angeles County Public Library (LAPL), Sanborn Maps 1867-1970, Website <http://databases.lapl.org/#s>, accessed July 2012.
- National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS), Public Map Viewer Website, (<https://www.npms.phmsa.dot.gov/PublicViewer/>), accessed July 2012.
- San Diego Geographic Information Source, (SanGIS), Website, (<http://files.sangis.org/interactive/viewer/viewer.asp>), accessed July 2012.
- San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (SDRWQCB), 1994, "Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin (9)," dated September 8.
- San Francisco Bay, Regional Water Quality Control Board, Environmental Screening Levels (ESLs) (2008).
- State Water Resources Control Board, Website, GeoTracker database, (<http://www.geotracker.swrcb.ca.gov/>), accessed July 2012.
- Tan, Siang S., 2000, Geologic Map of the Bonsall 7.5' Quadrangle, San Diego County, California, A Digital Database, (1:24,000), California Division of Mines and Geology (CDMG) in cooperation with the United States Geological Survey (USGS).

United Nations Environmental Programme, 1999, Guidelines for the Identification of PCBs and Materials Containing PCBs.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resources Conservation Service, Website (<http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/>) Web Soil Survey, accessed July 2012.

United States Geological Survey (USGS, 1975, photograph inspected 2012, Bonsall, 7.5-Minute Quadrangle.

**FIGURES**




Map Source: USGS, Bonsall, California 7.5 Minute Quadrangle map (USGS, 2012)

**SITE LOCATION MAP**  
 ACCRETIVE INVESTMENTS, INC.  
 Lilac Hills Ranch  
 Roadway Expansion Property  
 Portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of I-15, and the  
 intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395  
 Escondido, California 92026  
 EEI Project Number ACR-71497.2b  
 Created July 2012




**Scale: 1" = 1,600'**



Note All Locations Are Approximate



**FIGURE 1**



Map Source: Google Earth®, August 23, 2010

**AERIAL SITE MAP**  
 ACCRETIVE INVESTMENTS, INC.  
 Lilac Hills Ranch  
 Roadway Expansion Property  
 Portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of I-15, and the  
 intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395  
 Escondido, California 92026  
 EEI Project Number ACR-71497.2b  
 Created July 2012



**Scale: 1" = 360'**



Note All Locations Are Approximate



**FIGURE 2**



Map Source: Google Earth®, August 23, 2010

**LEGEND**

○ EEI Soil Boring Location  
HA-1



Scale: 1" = 360'



Note All Locations Are Approximate

**SOIL BORING MAP**  
 ACCRETIVE INVESTMENTS, INC.  
 Lilac Hills Ranch  
 Roadway Expansion Property  
 Portions of West Lilac Road, located west and east of I-15, and the  
 intersection of West Lilac Road and Old Highway 395  
 Escondido, California 92026  
 EEI Project Number ACR-71497.2b  
 Created July 2012



**FIGURE 3**

**APPENDIX A**  
**RESUME OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONAL**



**Polly Ivers**

---

## **Project Scientist**

### **HIGHLIGHTS OF QUALIFICATIONS**

- Experienced in project management duties for conducting field research, data collection, inventory, analyses and report development in the Environmental Science industry.
- Knowledgeable of environmental compliance and regulations and technical writing specifications for environmental documentation and regulatory reporting.
- Excellent communication and interpersonal skills. Diplomatic and experienced in working with diverse populations including the public, colleagues, clients and agency representatives.
- Strong analytical, detail-oriented, organizational, and verbal/written communication skills.
- Proficient in MS Office, MS Visio, CADD, ArcGIS 9.1, Adobe Acrobat and internet research.

### **EDUCATION**

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, Boulder, CO B.S. Biology 1987  
WETLANDS TRAINING INSTITUTE, San Diego, CA 2004  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, Salt Lake City, UT GIS/Environmental Science Coursework 2002 - 2010

### **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

EEI, INC., (*Geotechnical and Environmental Solutions*), Carlsbad, CA 2004 - Present

#### **Environmental Project Scientist (4/05 - Present)**

- Oversee the execution and management of Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) for over 200+ sites in California, Nevada, and Arizona.
- Direct Phase II limited site investigations, including Soil and Agricultural Chemical Surveys (drilling, sampling, and monitoring). Supervised small field crews on key client projects.
- Assisted with Biological Assessment reports and Wetland Delineation Surveys.
- Manage budgets ensuring fiscal responsibility on each project.
- Supervise and mentor two staff members in daily duties and perform yearly peer reviews.
- Write ESA reports based on researched technical data. Edit and review co-worker reports.
- Contributed compliance documents for Environmental Impact Reports (under NEPA and CEQA regulation) and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPP).

#### **Environmental Staff Scientist (3/04 - 3/05)**

- Worked closely with Project Managers: conducted field visits to project sites for evaluation; used topographic maps, aerial photographs, GPS units, and scientific tools and equipment; attended meetings; and managed project files and database.

### **CERTIFICATIONS**

40-Hour Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPPER)

**APPENDIX B**  
**ROADWAY EXPANSION FIGURES/FIRM**