
TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS	xiii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
CHAPTER 1.0—PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-1
1.1 <u>Project Objectives</u>	1-1
1.2 <u>Project Description Overview</u>	1-1
1.2.1 Project Component Parts	1-2
1.2.2 Technical, Economic, and Environmental Characteristics	1-34
1.3 <u>Project Location</u>	1-36
1.4 <u>Environmental Setting</u>	1-36
1.4.1 Regional Context	1-36
1.4.2 Planning Context	1-37
1.4.3 On-site Characteristics	1-38
1.5 <u>Intended Uses of the EIR</u>	1-39
1.5.1 Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits	1-40
1.5.2 Related Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements	1-40
1.6 <u>Project Inconsistencies with Applicable Regional and General Plans</u>	1-40
1.7 <u>List of Past, Present, and Reasonably Anticipated Future Projects in the Project Area</u>	1-41
1.8 <u>Growth Inducing Impacts</u>	1-42
1.8.1 Growth Inducement Due to General Plan Amendment (Increases in Density)	1-42
1.8.2 Necessitate the Construction of Additional Housing	1-43
1.8.3 Construction/Improvement of Roadways	1-44
1.8.4 Extension of Public Facilities	1-44
1.8.5 Conclusion	1-46
CHAPTER 2.0—SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	2.1-1
2.1 <u>Visual Resources</u>	2.1-1
2.1.1 Existing Conditions	2.1-1
2.1.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.1-8
2.1.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.1-24
2.1.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.1-24
2.1.5 Mitigation	2.1-25
2.1.6 Conclusion	2.1-25

2.2	<u>Air Quality</u>	2.2-1
	2.2.1 Existing Conditions	2.2-1
	2.2.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.2-7
	2.2.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.2-22
	2.2.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.2-24
	2.2.5 Mitigation	2.2-24
	2.2.6 Conclusion	2.2-27
2.3	<u>Transportation/Traffic</u>	2.3-1
	2.3.S Executive Summary	2.3-1
	2.3.1 Existing Conditions	2.3-5
	2.3.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.3-14
	2.3.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.3-36
	2.3.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.3-44
	2.3.5 Mitigation	2.3-49
	2.3.6 Conclusion	2.3-55
2.4	<u>Agricultural Resources</u>	2.4-1
	2.4.1 Existing Conditions	2.4-1
	2.4.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.4-10
	2.4.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.4-25
	2.4.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.4-27
	2.4.5 Mitigation	2.4-28
	2.4.6 Conclusion	2.4-29
2.5	<u>Biological Resources</u>	2.5-1
	2.5.1 Existing Conditions	2.5-1
	2.5.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.5-15
	2.5.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.5-29
	2.5.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.5-33
	2.5.5 Mitigation	2.5-34
	2.5.6 Conclusion	2.5-39
2.6	<u>Cultural Resources</u>	2.6-1
	2.6.1 Existing Conditions	2.6-1
	2.6.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.6-12
	2.6.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.6-16
	2.6.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.6-18
	2.6.5 Mitigation	2.6-18
	2.6.6 Conclusion	2.6-24
2.7	<u>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</u>	2.7-1
	2.7.1 Existing Conditions	2.7-1
	2.7.2 Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.7-13
	2.7.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.7-41
	2.7.4 Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.7-43
	2.7.5 Mitigation	2.7-44
	2.7.6 Conclusion	2.7-44

2.8	<u>Noise</u>	2.8-1
2.8.1	Existing Conditions	2.8-1
2.8.2	Analysis of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance	2.8-3
2.8.3	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.8-19
2.8.4	Significance of Impacts Prior to Mitigation	2.8-24
2.8.5	Mitigation	2.8-25
2.8.6	Conclusion	2.8-31
2.9	<u>Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes Resultant from Project Implementation</u>	2.9-1
CHAPTER 3.0—ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT		
3.1	<u>Effects Found Not Significant as Part of the EIR Process</u>	3.1.1-1
3.1.1	Geology and Soils	3.1.1-1
3.1.2	Greenhouse Gases	3.1.2-1
3.1.3	Hydrology and Water Quality	3.1.3-1
3.1.4	Land Use Planning	3.1.4-1
3.1.5	Public Services	3.1.5-1
3.1.6	Recreation	3.1.6-1
3.1.7	Utilities and Service Systems	3.1.7-1
3.1.8	Energy Use and Conservation	3.1.8-1
3.2	<u>Effects Found Not Significant During Initial Study</u>	3.2-1
3.2.1	Agriculture and Forestry Resources – Forest Lands and Timberland	3.2-1
3.2.2	Cultural Resources – Paleontology	3.2-1
3.2.3	Hazards and Hazardous Materials – Airports	3.2-1
3.2.4	Land Use Planning – Divide an Established Community	3.2-1
3.2.5	Mineral Resources – Loss of Availability	3.2-2
3.2.6	Noise – Airports	3.2-3
3.2.7	Population and Housing – Displacement	3.2-3
3.2.8	Transportation and Housing – Air Traffic	3.2-3
CHAPTER 4.0—PROJECT ALTERNATIVES		4-1
4.1	Rationale for Alternative Selection	4-1
4.2	Analysis of the No Project/No Development Alternative	4-6
4.3	Analysis of the Legal Lot Alternative	4-9
4.4	Analysis of the General Plan Consistent Alternative	4-13
4.5	Analysis of the Reduced Footprint Alternative	4-18
4.6	Analysis of the Reduced Intensity Alternative	4-23
4.7	Analysis of the 2.2C Findings	4-27
4.8	Analysis of the Road Design Alternative	4-32
4.9	Analysis of the Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative	4-178
4.10	Matrix of Impacts	4-230
4.11	Environmental Superior Alternative	4-230

CHAPTER 5.0—LIST OF REFERENCES 5-1

CHAPTER 6.0—LIST OF EIR PREPARERS AND PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED 6-1

FIGURES

1-1:	Proposed Regional Categories	1-99
1-2:	Proposed Valley Center and Bonsall Community Plan Land Use Designations	1-100
1-3:	Proposed Zoning	1-101
1-4:	Specific Plan Map	1-102
1-4a:	Conceptual Lotting of Lilac Hills Ranch Specific Plan	1-103
1-5:	Proposed School Site	1-104
1-6:	Fire Protection Plan	1-105
1-7:	Project Internal Circulation	1-106
1-8:	Trails Plan	1-107
1-9:	Open Space and Parks	1-108
1-10:	Park Plan	1-109
1-11:	On-site Water System	1-110
1-12:	On-site Sewer Collection System	1-111
1-13:	Proposed Storm Drains	1-112
1-14:	Landscape Plan	1-113
1-15:	Fence and Wall Concepts	1-114
1-16:	Fuel Management Setback Zones	1-115
1-17:	Phasing Plan	1-116
1-18:	Conceptual Grading Plan	1-117
1-19:	Blasting Plan	1-118
1-20:	Regional Location	1-119
1-21:	Vicinity Map	1-120
1-22:	Topography Map	1-121
1-23:	Surrounding Community Planning Areas	1-122
1-24:	Regional Cumulative Impact Area	1-123
2.1-1:	RPO Steep Slopes on Project Site	2.1-28
2.1-2:	Project Viewshed	2.1-29
2.1-3:	Key Observation Point 6	2.1-30
2.1-4:	Key Observation Points 7 and 8	2.1-31
2.1-5:	Key Observation Point 9	2.1-32
2.1-6:	Key Observation Point 10	2.1-33
2.1-7:	Key Observation Points 11a and 11b	2.1-34
2.1-8:	Key Observation Point 11c	2.1-35
2.1-9:	Key Observation Point 16	2.1-36
2.1-10:	Key Observation Point 1	2.1-37
2.1-11:	Key Observation Point 2	2.1-38
2.1-12:	Key Observation Point 3	2.1-39
2.1-13:	Key Observation Points 4 and 5	2.1-40
2.1-14:	Key Observation Points 12a and 12b	2.1-41
2.1-15:	Key Observation Points 13 and 14	2.1-42
2.1-16:	Key Observation Point 17a	2.1-43
2.1-17:	Key Observation Points 17b and 18	2.1-44

FIGURES (cont.)

2.1-18: Key Observation Points 19 and 20	2.1-45
2.1-19: Key Observation Points 21a and 21b	2.1-46
2.1-20: Key Observation Points 21c and 21d	2.1-47
2.1-21: Cross Sections A, B, C, and D	2.1-48
2.1-22: Cross Sections E, F, G, and H	2.1-49
2.1-23: Manufactured Slopes	2.1-50
2.1-24: Cumulative Study Area – Visual Resources	2.1-51
2.3-1: Transportation and Traffic Study Area	2.3-97
2.3-2: Existing Roadway Network	2.3-98
2.3-3: Existing Roadway ADT	2.3-99
2.3-4a: Existing Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 1-13)	2.3-100
2.3-4b: Existing Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 14-25)	2.3-101
2.3-5a: Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project (Scenario A)	2.3-102
2.3-5b: Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project (Scenario B)	2.3-103
2.3-5c: Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project (Scenario C)	2.3-104
2.3-5d: Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project (Scenario D)	2.3-105
2.3-5e: Roadway Average Daily Traffic Volumes – Existing Plus Project (Scenario E, Build-out)	2.3-106
2.3-6a: Existing Plus Project Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 1-13)	2.3-107
2.3-6b: Existing Plus Project Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 14-25)	2.3-108
2.3-6c: Existing Plus Project Intersection Peak Hour Traffic (Intersections 25-31)	2.3-109
2.3-7: Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Roadway ADT	2.3-110
2.4-1: Existing On-site Agricultural Resources	2.4-39
2.4-2: Soil Types within the Project Site	2.4-40
2.4-3: FMMP Farmland within the Project Site	2.4-41
2.4-4: Pesticide Application Permits	2.4-42
2.4-5: Williamson Act Contracts and Agricultural Preserves	2.4-43
2.4-6: Off-site Agricultural Resources	2.4-44
2.4-7: Off-site Compatibility/Agricultural Adjacency	2.4-45
<u>2.4-7a: Agricultural Adjacency Area 3 (Impact AG-5)</u>	2.4-46
<u>2.4-7b: Agricultural Adjacency Area 4 (Impact AG-6)</u>	2.4-47
<u>2.4-7c: Agricultural Adjacency Area 5 (Impact AG-7)</u>	2.4-48
<u>2.4-7d: Agricultural Adjacency Area 6 (Impact AG-2)</u>	2.4-49
<u>2.4-7e: Agricultural Adjacency Area 7 (Impact AG-8)</u>	2.4-50
<u>2.4-7f: Agricultural Adjacency Area 8 (Impact AG-4)</u>	2.4-51
<u>2.4-7g: Agricultural Adjacency Area 9 (Impact AG-9)</u>	2.4-52
<u>2.4-7h: Agricultural Adjacency Area 10 (Impact AG-10)</u>	2.4-53
<u>2.4-7i: Agricultural Adjacency Area 13 (Impact AG-3 & AG-11)</u>	2.4-54
2.4-8: Cumulative Project Area	2.4-55
2.5-1: Project Area in Relation to Draft North County MSCP (MSCP Currently Not Approved)	2.5-53
2.5-2a: Vegetation Communities/Land Cover Types and Sensitive Species Locations (Northern Project Area)	2.5-54
2.5-2b: Vegetation Communities/Land Cover Types and Sensitive Species Locations (Southern Project Area)	2.5-55

FIGURES (cont.)

2.5-2c: Vegetation Communities/Land Cover Types and Sensitive Species Locations (Off-site Project Area)	2.5-56
2.5-3a: ACOE Waters of the U.S.	2.5-57
2.5-3b: CDFW/RWQCB State Waters	2.5-58
2.5-3c: County of San Diego RPO Wetlands	2.5-59
2.5-3d: Off-site Jurisdictional Areas	2.5-60
2.5-4: Off-site Improvements to Rodriguez Road	2.5-61
2.5-5: Cumulative Study Area – Biological Resources	2.5-62
2.6-1: Cumulative Impact Study Area – Cultural Resources	2.6-27
2.7-1: Project Gated Access	2.7-49
2.7-2: Emergency Service Routes Map	2.7-50
2.7-3: Lilac Hills Ranch Evacuation Plan	2.7-51
2.8-1: Noise Measurement Locations	2.8-47
2.8-2a: On-site Noise Level Contours	2.8-48
2.8-2b: On-site Noise Level Contours	2.8-49
2.8-3a: Off-site NSLU (North)	2.8-50
2.8-3b: Off-site NSLU (South)	2.8-51
3.1-1: Location of Existing Wells on Project Site	3.1.3-18
3.1-2: Existing Regional Categories within the Project site	3.1.4-34
3.1-3: Existing Community Plan Land Use Designations within the Project Site	3.1.4-35
3.1-4: Existing Zoning within the Project Site	3.1.4-36
3.1-5: Existing Water System	3.1.7-28
3.1-6: County Club Reservoir Piping Changes	3.1.7-29
3.1-7a: Mountain Ridge Road Utility Cross Section	3.1.7-30
3.1-7b: Covey Lane Utility Cross Section	3.1.7-31
3.1-7c-1: Circle “R” Lane Utility Cross Section	3.1.7-32
3.1-7c-2: Circle “R” Lane Utility Cross Section	3.1.7-33
3.1-8: Off-site Sewer Collection System	3.1.7-34
4-1: Legal Lot Alternative	4-238
4-2: General Plan Consistent Alternative	4-239
4-3: Reduced Footprint Alternative	4-240
4-4: Reduced Intensity Alternative	4-241
4-5a: Roadway Modification Request Locations 1-8	4-242
4-5b: Roadway Modification Request Locations 9 and 10	4-243
4-6: Road Design Alternative 1, West Lilac Road – Old Highway 395 to I-15 Bridge	4-244
4-7: Road Design Alternative 2, West Lilac Road over the I-15 Bridge	4-245
4-8: Road Design Alternative 3, West Lilac Road – I-15 Bridge to Project Boundary	4-246
4-9: Road Design Alternative 4, West Lilac Road – Western Roundabout to Northern Project Boundary	4-247
4-10a: Road Design Alternative 5, West Lilac Road Along Northern Project Boundary – Option A	4-248
4-10b: Road Design Alternative 5, West Lilac Road Along Northern Project Boundary – Option B	4-249
4-10c: Road Design Alternative 5, West Lilac Road Along Northern Project Boundary – Option C	4-250

FIGURES (cont.)

4-11:	Road Design Alternative 6, West Lilac Road - East of Easterly Roundabout to Project Boundary	4-251
4-12:	Road Design Alternative 7, Mountain Ridge Road - Reduced Design Speed	4-252
4-13:	Road Design Alternative 8, Mountain Ridge Road at Circle R Road – Taper	4-253
4-14:	Road Design Alternative 9, Street “C”	4-254
4-15:	Road Design Alternative 10, Street “E”	4-255
4-16:	Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative – Land Use Plan	4-256
4-17:	Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative – Mountain Ridge Road Grading Plan (Option 1)	4-257
4-18:	Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative – Mountain Ridge Road Grading Plan (Option 2)	4-258
4-19:	<u>2.2C Alternative</u>	4-259

TABLES

S-1:	Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures to Reduce the Effects	S-11
1-1:	Land Use Summary	1-6
1-2:	Proposed Road Modifications	1-47
1-3:	Summary of Additional Project Design Considerations	1-49
1-4:	Grading Quantities by Phase	1-32
1-5:	Matrix of Project Approvals/Permits	1-40
1-6:	Cumulative Projects (Localized)	1-86
2.1-1:	Cumulative Project List	2.1-27
2.2-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards	2.2-32
2.2-2:	Ambient Air Quality Summary—San Diego Air Basin	2.2-34
2.2-3:	Summary of Air Quality Measurements Recorded at the Camp Pendleton, Del Mar–Mira Costa College, and the Escondido–East Valley Parkway Monitoring Stations	2.2-35
2.2-4:	Unmitigated Maximum Daily Construction Emissions (On- and Off-site)	2.2-11
2.2-5:	Operational Source Emissions	2.2-13
2.2-6:	Construction + Operational Emissions	2.2-15
2.2-7:	Maximum CO Concentrations at SR-76/Old River Road/East Vista Way	2.2-20
2.2-8:	Trip Volumes for Signalized Intersections with a Change Over 2,000 ADT	2.2-23
2.2-9:	Maximum CO Concentrations at SR-76/Old River Road/East Vista Way	2.2-23
2.2-10:	Mitigated Construction Emissions (On- And Off-Site)	2.2-27
2.2-11:	Mitigated Operational Emissions	2.2-30
2.2-12:	Mitigated Construction + Operational Emissions	2.2-31
2.3-1:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results - Existing Conditions	2.3-70
2.3-2:	Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results - Existing Conditions	2.3-72
2.3-3:	Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Results – Existing Conditions	2.3-13
2.3-4:	Freeway Segment Level of Service Results - Existing Conditions	2.3-74
2.3-5:	Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion on Road Segments: Allowable Increases on Congested Road Segments	2.3-15

TABLES (cont.)

2.3-6:	Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion: Allowable Increases on Two-Lane Highways with Signalized Intersection Spacing Over One Mile	2.3-15
2.3-7:	Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion: Allowable Increases on Two-Lane Highways with Signalized Intersection Spacing Under One Mile	2.3-16
2.3-8:	Measures of Significant Project Impacts to Congestion on Intersections: Allowable Increases on Congested Intersections	2.3-16
2.3-9:	SANTEC/ITE Measure Of Significant Project Traffic Impacts	2.3-17
2.3-10:	Lilac Hills Ranch Project Trip Generation Phase E – Build-Out	2.3-74
2.3-11:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Phase E – Build-out) Conditions	2.3-75
2.3-12:	Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Traffic Scenario E, Build-out) Conditions	2.3-77
2.3-13:	Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Phase E – Build-out) Conditions	2.3-79
2.3-14:	Freeway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Project (Phase E – Build-out) Conditions	2.3-80
2.3-15:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-82
2.3-16:	Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service Results Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-84
2.3-17:	Two-Lane Highway Level of Service Results Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-87
2.3-18:	Freeway Segment Level of Service Results Existing Plus Cumulative Projects Plus Project Conditions	2.3-88
2.3-19:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-Out Under the Existing General Plan Without the Project (without Road 3)	2.3-89
2.3-20:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-Out Under the Existing General Plan With the Project (without Road 3)	2.3-91
2.3-21:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-out under the Existing General Plan without the Project (with Road 3)	2.3-93
2.3-22:	Roadway Segment Level of Service Results Build-out under the Existing General Plan with the Project (with Road 3)	2.3-95
2.3-23:	Direct Traffic Impacts and Mitigation Summary	2.3-55
2.3-24:	Cumulative Traffic Impacts and Mitigation Summary	2.3-63
2.4-1:	On-site Soil Resources <u>FMMP Soil Candidate Listing Designation</u>	2.4-35
2.4-2:	Acres of FMMP Farmland On-site and as a Percent of the Entire Project Site (<u>Statewide Mapping System Designation</u>)	2.4-6
2.4-3:	LARA Model Results	2.4-11
2.4-4:	Interpretation of LARA Model Results	2.4-11
2.4-5:	Lilac Hills Ranch - Cumulative Projects Evaluation <u>FMMP Soil Candidate Listing Designation</u>	2.4-35
2.4-6:	Acres of FMMP Farmland Within the Cumulative Project Area (<u>Statewide Mapping System Designation</u>)	2.4-26
2.4-7:	<u>Agriculture Adjacency Area Buffer Widths</u>	2.4-36
2.5-1:	On-site Habitat and Vegetation Communities	2.5-45
2.5-2:	Off-site Habitat and Vegetation Communities	2.5-46
2.5-3:	On-site Jurisdictional Waters and Project Impacts	2.5-46

TABLES (cont.)

2.5-4:	Summary of Direct On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities by Project Phase	2.5-47
2.5-5:	Cumulative Project List – Biological Resources	2.5-48
2.5-6a:	Phase 1 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities	2.5-50
2.5-6b:	Phase 2 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities	2.5-50
2.5-6c:	Phase 3 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities	2.5-51
2.5-6d:	Phase 4 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities	2.5-51
2.5-6e:	Phase 5 Mitigation for On-site Impacts to Habitats and Vegetation Communities	2.5-52
2.7-1:	Transitional Climate Zone Typical Weather Conditions	2.7-12
2.7-2:	Summary of Environmental Site Assessments Conducted	2.7-46
2.8-1:	Noise Compatibility Guidelines	2.8-35
2.8-2:	Noise Standards	2.8-36
2.8-3:	Noise Measurement Summary	2.8-37
2.8-4:	On-Site Future Noise Levels	2.8-38
2.8-5:	Changes In Off-Site Traffic CNEL at 100 feet from Centerline	2.8-39
2.8-6:	San Diego County Sound Level Limits	2.8-8
2.8-7:	County of San Diego Code Section 36.410, Maximum Sound Level (Impulsive) Measured At Occupied Property in Decibels	2.8-9
2.8-8:	County of San Diego Code Section 36.410, Maximum Sound Level (Impulsive) Measured At Occupied Property in Decibels for Public Road Projects	2.8-10
2.8-9:	Future Off-Site Noise Levels at Specific Local Receiver Locations	2.8-41
2.8-10:	Guidelines for Determining the Significance of Groundborne Vibration and Noise Impacts	2.8-42
2.8-11:	Guidelines for Determining the Significance of Groundborne Vibration and Noise Impacts for Special Buildings	2.8-43
2.8-12:	Cumulative Off-Site Traffic CNEL at 100 Feet from Centerline	2.8-45
3.1-1:	Global Warming Potentials and Atmospheric Lifetimes (Years) of Common GHGs	3.1.2-2
3.1-2:	Annual Estimated GHG Emissions for Existing Uses	3.1.2-4
3.1-3:	California GHG Emissions by Sector in 1990, 2008 and 2012	3.1.2-17
3.1-4:	<u>2013 Title 24 Standards: Summary of Overall Increases in Energy Efficiency Relative to the 2008 Standards</u>	3.1.2-18
3.1-5:	<u>Comparison of Assessment Methodologies</u>	3.1.2-25
3.1-6:	<u>Annual Estimated GHG Emissions for Existing Uses and Project</u>	3.1.2-28
3.1-7:	<u>Annual Estimated GHG Emissions – County 2015 GHG Guidance</u>	3.1.2-30
3.1-8:	<u>Annual Estimated GHG Emissions – SMAQMD CEQA Guide</u>	3.1.2-30
3.1-9:	Annual Estimated GHG Emissions – 2008 Scoping Plan	3.1.2-31
3.1-10:	<u>Consistency Analysis with the Goals and Policy Objectives of SANDAG'S 2050 RTP/SCS</u>	3.1.2-35
3.1-11:	Runoff Volumes	3.1.3-12
3.1-12:	2005-2011 Response Data for DSFPD	3.1.5-5
3.1-13:	Student Generation Rates for School Districts Serving the Project	3.1.5-8
3.1-14:	Estimated Wastewater Recycled Water Generation	3.1.5-12

TABLES (cont.)

3.1-15:	SDG&E Power Content	3.1.7-2
3.1-16:	Construction Equipment List	3.1.8-6
4-1:	Lilac Hills Ranch CEQA Alternatives	4-231
<u>4-1a:</u>	<u>Alternative Trip Generation Comparison</u>	4-232
4-2:	Comparison of Alternative Impacts to Proposed Project Impacts	4-232
4-3:	Comparison Summary of Impacts – Road Design Alternative and the Proposed Project	4-233
4-4:	Comparison of Summary Alternatives Relative to Project Objectives	4-234
4-5:	Additional Roadway Design Alternative Habitat and Vegetation Community Impacts	4-236
4-6:	Additional Roadway Design Alternative Habitat and Vegetation Community Mitigation	4-236
4-7:	Additional Roadway Design Alternative Jurisdictional Waters Impacts	4-237
4-8:	Additional Roadway Design Alternative Jurisdictional Waters Mitigation	4-237
4-9:	Mountain Ridge Road Existing Access Easements	4-180
4-10:	Comparison of Unmitigated Construction Emissions for the Proposed Project and Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative	4-188
4-11:	Comparison of Mitigated Construction Emissions for the Proposed Project and Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative	4-189
<u>4-12:</u>	<u>Comparison of Unmitigated Operation Emissions for the Proposed Project and Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative</u>	4-190
<u>4-13:</u>	<u>Comparison of Mitigated Operational Emissions for the Proposed Project and Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative</u>	4-190
4-14 <u>42:</u>	Comparison of Construction + Operational Emissions for the Proposed Project and Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative	4-191
4-15 <u>43:</u>	Comparison of Mitigated Construction + Operational Emissions for the Proposed Project and Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative	4-192
4-16 <u>44:</u>	Changes in Off-Site Operational Noise Levels Between the Proposed Project and the Alternative At Build-Out	4-213
4-17 <u>45:</u>	Changes in Cumulative Off-Site Operational Noise Levels Between the Proposed Project and the Alternative Under Cumulative Conditions	4-214
4-18 <u>46:</u>	Changes in Cumulative Operational Noise Level Along Mountain Ridge Road Between the Proposed Project and Alternative	4-215
<u>4-19:</u>	<u>Total Annual Construction and Operational “Unmitigated” and “Mitigated” Alternative GHG Emissions – County 2015 GHG Guidance</u>	4-221
<u>4-20:</u>	<u>Total Annual No Action Taken Condition and Alternative GHG Emissions – SMAQMD CEQA Guide</u>	4-222
<u>4-21:</u>	<u>Total Annual Construction and Operational Business as Usual Condition and Alternative GHG Emissions – 2008 Scoping Plan Method</u>	4-223
4-17:	Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative Annual 2020 GHG Emissions	4-218
4-18:	Total Annual Estimated GHG Emissions: Mountain Ridge Road Fire Station Alternative Compared to the Project	4-218

TECHNICAL APPENDICES

A:	NOP/Initial Study
B:	NOP Comments
C:	Visual Resources Report
C-1:	Sight Distance Analysis
C-2:	Photometric Study
D:	Air Quality Technical Report
E:	Traffic Impact Study
F:	Agricultural Resources Report
G:	Biological Resources Report
H-1:	Cultural Resources Inventory and Assessment
H-2:	Cultural Resources Report Addendum
I:	Hazardous Materials
<u>I-1:</u>	<u>Reconnaissance Memos</u>
J:	Fire Protection Plan
K:	Evacuation Plan
L:	Vector Management Plan
M:	Noise Report
N-1:	Geology Report
N-2:	Supplemental Geology Report
O:	Greenhouse Gas Report
P:	Preliminary Hydrogeologic Assessment
Q:	Water Supply Assessment and Verification Report
R:	Public Facility Availability Forms (Fire, Sewer, and Water)
S:	Wastewater Management Alternatives
T:	Water Service Report
<u>T-1:</u>	<u>Emergency Water Conservation Consistency Analysis</u>
U-1:	Storm Water Management Plan for the Master TM and Implementing TM
U-2:	Preliminary Drainage Study for the Master TM and Implementing TM
U-3:	Hydromodification Management Plan for Implementing TM
V-1:	Mountain Ridge Road Visual Resources
V-2:	Mountain Ridge Road Air Quality Technical Report
V-3:	Mountain Ridge Road Traffic Impact Study
V-4:	Mountain Ridge Road Noise Report
V-5:	Mountain Ridge Road Greenhouse Gas Report
W:	General Plan Consistency Analysis

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK.