

LETTER

RESPONSE

Annetta Citino
Richard Coffman
8975-212 Lawrence Welk Dr.
Escondido, CA 92026
760-751-2772
July 11, 2014

RECEIVED
JUL 14 2014
PDS-DIRECTORS OFFICE

Letter I19

Mr. Mark Wardlaw
Director
Planning and Development Services
County of San Diego
5510 Overland Ave., Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123

Re: Lilac Hills Ranch

Dear Mr. Wardlaw:

The draft REIR identifies significant and unavoidable environmental impacts to air quality and traffic. These admitted negative impacts alone should **doom the project**. **An avoidable solution** is to **not** develop!

I19-1

The lives of the many **new** residents and school children would be endangered by pesticides that would be regularly sprayed on remaining citrus and avocado groves that adjoin the project. This is completely unacceptable.

I19-2

Traffic on I-15 is already much too heavy with commuters and many heavy, noisy delivery vehicles traveling in both directions. More development would certainly bring much more traffic.

I19-3

The answer lies in using land as it was designated in the recent County Plan for agriculture of all kinds. Sticking to the plan shows foresight for San Diego County.

I19-4

The changes should be to the use of the City of San Diego more effectively, as do world class cities and NOT have urban sprawl only making living conditions in San Diego County nightmarish. The rural areas of north San Diego County are beautiful and do not need to be ruined by greed.

I19-5

Approval for this proposed project should be denied.

Sincerely,

Annetta Citino
Annetta Citino

Richard Coffman
Richard Coffman

I19-1 The County acknowledges that the project would result in significant and unavoidable impacts to air quality and traffic. Your comment and opposition to the project will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I19-2 The County acknowledges the commenter's concern related to the use of pesticides for the remaining citrus and avocado groves that are adjacent to the proposed project. Refer to the Global Response: Agricultural Resources, Indirect Impacts included in the introduction to these responses to comments for a discussion of potential impacts of pesticide use.

I19-3 The FEIR identifies significant and unavoidable cumulative impacts along segments of I-15 between the Riverside County boundaries to SR-78 (TR-30 – 37). While there are plans to widen I-15 between Riverside County and SR-78 that would mitigate cumulative impacts to I-15, there is no secured funding for the improvement and there is currently no mechanism in place to provide contributions to the improvement. I-15 is under Caltrans jurisdiction and the County cannot require or guarantee improvements or fair-share contributions to a facility under Caltrans jurisdiction. As a result, mitigation is infeasible and cumulative impacts remain significant and unavoidable. This comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I19-4 The County acknowledges the commenter's desire for this area to be developed in accordance with the existing General Plan. See response to comment I5-2 for details related to the amendment of the General Plan by private parties. In addition, refer to Global Response: General Plan Consistency Analysis included in the introduction to these responses to comments. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I19-5 The County acknowledges this comment and the opposition to the project. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding the analysis provided in the FEIR. Changes to the environmental document are not required as a result of this comment. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.