

LETTER

RESPONSE

Letter I34

**From:** Caylin F [mailto:erisednin@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 25, 2014 12:19 AM  
**To:** Slovick, Mark  
**Subject:** Lilac Hills Project

Regarding the proposed development of 1,700 houses in the Valley Center region. I am completely opposed to it. I have lived in Valley Center for my entire life and continue to do so because it is rural and a small town. I don't want to live in a city or a suburban neighborhood. Those are the biggest reasons people move to Valley Center and stay here. If there are 1,700 new track homes built, they are literally removing all of the small town reasons we love Valley Center.

I34-1

Assign from emotional reasons to keep one of San Diego's small towns as a small town there are the logistics of the plan. The increase in traffic, lack of water, and other resources are not sufficiently addressed in the proposal. IF there were to be a large housing development to be built in Valley Center, it should be done wisely and should address and solve each potential problem. Without that foresight any houses built would not improve Valley Center, but add to it's problems. How many people would want to buy a house where there are water shortages, where traffic is a daily nightmare, and where they could get trapped if there is a wildfire? The houses would either not be sold or be sold for less than is worth the building of them. Especially when you add to the cost of what it would do to the rest of Valley Center.

I34-2

I have experienced the fire storms in the past decades and my biggest fear is being trapped from evacuating because of the limited number of roads out of Valley Center. Add to that the new population this housing project would bring in and the problem would only get worse. Especially since the builders are requesting exemptions to regulations on the road development. It is beyond ridiculous that anyone would actually want to put a track home neighborhood in Valley Center, and then go on to build it in a way that would result in people being trapped by fires.

I34-3

This entire enterprise is just one more reason why the people in Valley Center see Bill Horn as corrupt and resent his ability to continually be re-elected. Although considering who pays for his campaigns, it's not surprising that he supports this plan.

I34-4

I truly hope this plan is not accepted and that we are allowed to keep Valley Center as a small rural town.

Sincerely Caylin Frinchaboy a resident of Valley Center for 30 years.

I34-1 The comment provides background information, expresses opinion and opposition to the project, but does not raise an environmental issue related to the adequacy of the environmental document. Subchapter 3.1.4 of the FEIR analyzes the existing General Plan and community plan policies and concludes that the project is consistent with General Plan and Community Plan policies that address community character. For details related to community character, refer to response to comment I5-3. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I34-2 The comment addresses general subject areas of traffic, water supply, and emergency evacuation which have been analyzed in the FEIR. Refer to subchapters 2.3 (Transportation and Traffic), 3.1.7.2 (Water Supply), 2.7.2.3 (Emergency Response and Evacuation), and Appendices E (Traffic), Q (Water Supply), K (Evacuation Plan) for details related to the subject areas identified as concerns by the commenter. The comment does not raise a specific issue regarding the adequacy of the environmental analysis. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I34-3 The County acknowledges the commenter's opposition to the project and concerns about the fire risk in the area. The adequacy of fire and emergency response service is evaluated in Chapter 2, subchapter 2.7.2.4 of the FEIR and Appendices J (Fire Protection Plan) and K (Evacuation Plan). The project's Evacuation Plan outlines measures intended to create an orderly and safe evacuation of the project site in time of emergency. Specific project design features included in the Fire Protection Plan and Evacuation Plan are referenced in subchapter 2.7.2.4 of the FEIR. Refer to response to comment I26-1 for details related to the specific Fire Protection Plan and Evacuation Plan measures. These measures would ensure potential hazards associated with wildfire and evacuations are less than significant. In addition, none of the proposed road exceptions would adversely affect fire safety because all roads proposed for use during an evacuation would be constructed to Consolidated Fire Code standards which allow for emergency equipment to utilize the roads simultaneously with evacuating residents.

I34-4 The comment expresses the opinions of the commentator and general opposition to the project. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

LETTER

RESPONSE