

LETTER

RESPONSE

Letter I53b

**From:** Patty [mailto:kyranlis@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 27, 2014 3:35 PM  
**To:** Slovick, Mark; Blackson, Kristin  
**Subject:** LHR DEIR

Mr. Slovick:

I am a concerned citizen of Valley Center area. As a flower grower, I am definitely aware of the water problems occurring in many places in California and the other parts of the country. To build a massive project like this will require a great deal of water usage for many, many years to come. The false advertising and statements in the application referring this as a "green" community bears a lot more study, definition, and consideration. The term is used rather loosely by these developers in order to make their project sound more desirable. Putting this kind of growth in this area is definitely not in keeping with the principles of "green" as used in today's terminology referring to the environment. It does not comply with the General Plan for this area. It is incompatible with the current environment in the area. Much precious land and habitat will be destroyed by huge earth moving machines in order to accommodate these greedy developers who will never come back to the area or certainly will not nor do they live in this area. The water is going to be a problem for generations until man can figure out a way to provide it endlessly. Just calling yourself a "green community" does not take away the numbers of people, toilets, pools, landscaping needs that will be generated with this kind of urban sprawl. I was not aware that it was within the county's scope of duties to enable developers to fill their coffers at the expense of the population that will be effected by it. Please consider this, and my many other objections when looking at this project. Why was this project ever even considered anyway? It defies all that makes sense as well as official documents.

P. LaChapelle  
 9684 Covey Lane  
 Escondido, Ca 92026

I53b-1

I53b-2

I53b-3

I53b-4

I53b-5

- I53b-1 Pursuant to Senate Bill 610 and Senate Bill 221, a Water Supply Assessment (WSA) was prepared for the project by the Valley Center Municipal Water District (VCMWD) (see Appendix Q of the FEIR). The WSA report evaluates water supply availability during normal, single dry year, and multiple dry years during a 20-year projection to meet existing demands, existing plus projected demands of the project, and future water demands served by the VCMWD. As detailed in the WSA and in subchapter 3.1.7 of the EIR, due to water demand offsets including the use of recycled and existing groundwater, the project's total anticipated imported water demand would be less than the project's site's existing water demand. Based on the VCMWD's water supply reliability analysis contained in the 2010 Urban Water Management Plan, the WSA concludes that the VCMWD would have adequate water supply to meet and exceed expected demands for a 20-year planning horizon, including the water demands of the project.
- I53b-2 The comment expresses the opinions of the commentator only. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project. However, because the comment does not raise an environmental issue, no further response is required.
- I53b-3 Implementation of the project would require an amendment to the General Plan. Chapter 3, subchapter 3.1.4, Land Use Planning of the Draft EIR and Appendix W provide information demonstrating how the project would comply with the General Plan.
- I53b-4 The comment addresses general subject areas, which received extensive analysis in the FEIR. Details of the biological resource impacts that would result from the project and the mitigation measures that would be implemented to reduce impacts to less than significant are provided in subchapter 2.5 and Appendix G of the FEIR. As the comment does not raise a specific issue regarding the analysis, a more specific response cannot be provided and is not required. However, the comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.
- I53b-5 Regarding water availability to serve the project, refer to response I53b-1 above. The remainder of this comment addresses the opinion of the commenter only. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project. However, because the comment does not raise an environmental issue, no further response is required.