

LETTER

RESPONSE

Letter I72

From: Jan Shuttleworth [mailto:jsfiredog@live.com]
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2014 11:49 AM
To: Slovick, Mark
Subject: Lilac Hills Ranch DEIR

Dear. Mr. Slovick,

I know that you are probably getting multiple letters of residents expressing their concern over this project.
I appreciate the fact that you read and will take into consideration the major issues with this project.
I will make this short and sweet as I assume there are many more letters to read.

I72-1

I have lived at 31354 Rodriguez Rd Escondido for over 10 years. I did my share of apartment, condo and tract housing. I am now retired and choose this area because of the calm surreal environment it provides.

I72-2

This project has too many flaws to list. The increase of traffic and congestions on these existing roads should be enough to halt it. Also heard that sewage may have to be trucked out of the area. Are you kidding me????

I72-3

This should have been a red flag that this project is poorly designed from the beginning. I have attended numerous planning committee meetings and neighborhood meetings and I can't think of a single person that lives in the proposed area that supports the size of the development in this area. This developer has somebody in their back pocket otherwise anybody with common sense can see that this is absolutely the wrong area for this project.

I72-4

Allowing this developer to modify the GP2020 for such a poorly planned project in the first place will only benefit the developer, no one else.

Public safety should be the concern of the San Diego Planning group, Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission. It is apparent that it is the least of their concerns. I have a lot of experience with public service and safety. I worked at Deer Springs Fire Protection District in the early 80's as a

I72-5

I72-1 This comment is an introduction to comments that follow. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I72-2 The comment addresses subject area of traffic which was analyzed in the FEIR. Transportation and traffic impacts are addressed in subchapter 2.3 and Appendix E of the FEIR. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding the adequacy of the analysis. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I72-3 As stated in the Project Description (Chapter 1.0), if one of the on-site wastewater treatment scenarios is selected, the project would require temporary trucking of sewage during the initial phases of the project. The comment does not raise an issue related to the adequacy of the environmental document. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I72-4 The comment expresses general opposition to the project and opinions of the commenter but does not raise an issue with the content or adequacy of the FEIR. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

I72-5 The comment provides background information about the commenter and expresses the opinions of the commenter. The County acknowledges the commenter's concerns about the fire risk in the area. With respect to the adequacy of fire and emergency response service, see Global Response: Fire and Medical Services.

LETTER

RESPONSE

Firefighter before it became Cal Fire.
 I retired from San Diego City Fire Department as a Firefighter Paramedic. Combined service of almost 30 years. This area is not meant for the density proposed. As it is now we could use more fire stations. This is a recipe for disaster if a fast moving vegetation fire goes through this area. I guarantee lives will be lost.



172-5
 cont.

On top of everything else current residents will most likely see increases in property taxes, decrease in property value, and an increase in water rates. Again I can't stress enough the valid concerns we have. Please reconsider changing the GP2020. Now is the time to step up and just say no to this deep pocket developer.



172-6

Thank you in advance for consideration in this matter.

Jan Shuttleworth
 31354 Rodriguez Rd
 Escondido, CA 92026
 619-454-6099

172-6 The County acknowledges this comment and the opposition to the project. The comment raises economic issues that do not relate to any physical effect on the environment or the adequacy of the environmental document. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.