

LETTER

RESPONSE

3800 12-001 (GPA), 3810 12-001 (SP), 3100 5571 (IM),
3100 5572 (TM), 3600 12-003 (REZ), 3300 12-005 (MUP), 3500 12-018
(STP), HLP XX-XXX, SCH 2012061100
ENVIRONMENTAL LOG NO.: 3910 12-02-003 (ER)
DRAFT REVISED EIR PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
June 12, 2014 through July 28, 2014

Letter 182

DRAFT EIR COMMENT SHEET

Tuesday, June 17, 2014
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123

WRITTEN COMMENT FORM

We do not want the traffic and
congestion from this proposed project.
Also the values of the houses in this
area will significantly drop. Especially,
because people purchased their homes
with a country atmosphere many home
owners and renter will be robbed of the
rolling hillside views and be upset.

182-1

182-2

(Attach additional pages as needed)

AB 7/28/14
Signature Date

Adrienne Bliss-Williams
Print Name

31832 Rocking Horse Road
Address

Escandalo CA 92026
City State Zip Code

760 802-5985
Phone Number

MAIL, FAX or E-MAIL FORMS TO:

Mark Slovick
County of San Diego
Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 310
San Diego, CA 92123
FAX #: (858) 694-3373
e-mail: Mark.Slovick@sdcounty.ca.gov

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 4:00 PM, JULY 28, 2014

182-1 The County acknowledges this comment and the opposition to the project. The comment addresses the subject area of traffic which was analyzed in the FEIR. See subchapter 2.3 and Appendix E for details related to traffic impacts and required improvements. The comment does not raise any specific issue regarding the adequacy of the environmental document. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.

182-2 The comment raises economic issues that do not relate to any physical effect on the environment or the adequacy of the environmental document. The project incorporates various design features that will reduce visual effects along the project perimeter, achieve compatibility with the community, and retain the rural character of the community. These include the use of wider lots, grade separations, or landscape buffers in areas where there are existing home. Additionally, the project's grading guidelines ensure natural topography is adhered to by applying refined grading techniques. As detailed in the project's Specific Plan, project design guidelines for landscaping and architecture contain rural-themed concepts. Through application of these design and grading guidelines the project would be consistent with the goals and policies associated with the rural character of the development as expressed in the community plans. See subchapter 2.3 and Appendix C. The comment will be included as part of the record and made available to the decision makers prior to a final decision on the proposed project.