

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO



Community Corrections Partnership

July 23, 2013

**CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
(DOJ)**

SB678 UPDATE

SB678 Plan

1. Trained Probation Managers in Evidence Based Practices
 - Academy for AB109 Division now funded by a SMART Probation grant
2. Use of Incentives & Sanctions Continuum
 - Planned Implementation December 2013
3. Provide Enhanced Services to High Risk Probationers
 - Six regional centers across the County and Telecare Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) services for mentally ill probationers

Community Based Services Provided

2 providers (MITE and MHS) at a total of 6 locations

Services

Outpatient Substance Abuse Treatment

Residential Substance Abuse Treatment

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)

Individual Counseling

Group Counseling

Therapy

Vocational Lab/Employment Assistance

Who is targeted:


- ⦿ Probationer assessed as High Risk on the COMPAS
- ⦿ Funding covers roughly 300 offenders at any given time
- ⦿ Program runs 6 to 9 months, sometimes longer if RTP indicated
- ⦿ Goal is to serve roughly 900-1200 per year
- ⦿ 30 mentally ill offenders also receive case management services

Referral Process

- ⦿ Begins in custody
 - Probation Aide involvement

- ⦿ In community
 - Initial meeting with Probation Officer

Enrolled in Services July 2013



N=283

Responses to Non-Compliance

Effective
use of
Authority

Effective
use of
Disapproval

Behavioral
Analysis

Sanctions

Cognitive
Model

Re-Arrest /
Revocation
of
Probation

Problem
Solving



Probationers in EBPS

567 individual probationers have received at least one referral since January 2013

- ⦿ 324 enrolled on first referral
- ⦿ 208 failed to appear; 36 of these enrolled on the second referral
- ⦿ 283 currently receiving services
 - 245 in outpatient treatment
 - 21 currently participating in Telecare
 - 17 enrolled in RTP

SB678 SPENDING PLAN

San Diego County Allocations

Year	Prison Commitments	Funding amount
2006-2008	1606	Baseline
2010	1401	\$2,439,108
2011	1206	\$2,455,991
2012	1446	Pending review

SB678 PROPOSED SPENDING PLAN

	FY 13/14	FY 14/15
1. Salaries and benefits	\$ 685,591	\$ 685,591
2. Treatment Services	\$ 2,800,000	\$ 2,800,000
3. Community Resource Directory (CRD)	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
4. Professional Development	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000
5. Incentives	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 3,595,591	\$ 3,595,591
6. Evaluation of Effectiveness (5%)	\$ 179,780	\$ 179,780
GRAND TOTAL:	\$ 3,775,371	\$ 3,775,371

SANDAG REPORT
SB678

SB 678: Increasing the Success of High-Risk Probationers in San Diego County

Cynthia Burke, Ph.D.

SANDAG

July 23, 2013

Evidence-Based Practice

“Supervision policies, procedures, programs, and practices demonstrated by scientific research to reduce recidivism among individuals under probation, parole, or post-release supervision.”

Implementation Accomplishments

- Probation Leadership Academy
 - In depth training for AFS supervisors & directors
- Enhanced Services to Target Population
 - Regional targeted services
 - Substance Abuse Tx, Cognitive Behavioral, Vocational/Educational

Implementation Accomplishments

- Implementation of Proven Supervision Strategies
 - Assessing risk and need
 - Risk-based supervision
 - Case planning
 - Supervision using motivational interviewing and cognitive behavioral interventions
 - Incentives and sanctions being finalized

Evaluation Overview

- Group 1 – Baseline
 - 1,615 individuals who exited high-risk supervision between July 1, 2010 and June 30, 2011
- Group 2 – Partial Implementation
 - 1,633 individuals who exited high-risk supervision between Aug 1, 2011 and July 31, 2012
- Group 3 – Full Implementation
 - Exited high-risk supervision between Jan 1, 2014 and Aug 31, 2014

Process Evaluation

Research Question Overview	Methods
1. What were characteristics & needs of the offenders?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Probation PCMS Data• COMPAS Assessments<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ARJIS Data• Sheriff's & DA Data<ul style="list-style-type: none">• HHSA Data• Surveys of Probationers• Surveys of Probation Officers• Observations of Probation Officer Contacts
2. How did supervision look for the offenders (e.g., contacts, use of incentives & sanctions, adherence to IBIS training)?	
3. What services did the offenders receive (e.g., type, level, ability to meet assessed need)?	
4. How did Probation staff view the implemented changes?	

Impact Evaluation

Research Question Overview	Methods
1. What was the recidivism rate (e.g., being revoked to jail/prison for a new felony conviction or technical violation) of offenders up to one-year after release from high-risk supervision?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Probation PCMS Data<ul style="list-style-type: none">• ARJIS Data• Sheriff's & DA Data
2. How well did offenders perform under supervision in terms of drug test result data?	
3. What factors or offender characteristics predicted recidivism while under supervision?	
4. Were the changes implemented as part of SB 678 cost-effective?	

Report Highlights

- Since implementation, the number of probationers returned to State and local prison decreased 10 percent from 1,606 at baseline to 1,446 in 2012.
- San Diego County has received over \$8 million in additional funding to enhance intervention efforts for high-risk probationers.
- AFS Directors and Supervisors trained and enhanced supervision of offenders under high-risk supervision in place.

Report Highlights

- Referral to services around the region available through new contracts with providers to address underlying criminogenic needs.
- Full implementation expected with provision of incentives and sanctions by Dec 2013.

Next Steps

- Finalizing data sharing agreement for recidivism data.
- Probationer and probation officer surveys, data from service providers, fidelity measurement, and Group 3 sample selection.
- Second report June 2014 will include Group 2 descriptive information, probationer and PO survey results, HHSA data summaries, and fidelity measurements of EBP.
- Third and final report December 2015.

**PROBATION UPDATE:
AB109/PUBLIC SAFETY
REALIGNMENT**

Probation Department

Released To
San Diego
County for
Supervision

3,579 Offenders Released to San Diego
County Under Post Release Community
Supervision (PRCS)

Under
Supervision

2,576 Offenders Under Supervision
(2,278 PRCS and 298 MS)

6 & 12
Month Early
Discharge

477 Discharged at 6 Months
366 Discharged at 12 Months

Community Transition Center (CTC)

- ⦿ 155 Assessed June 2013 (148 PRCS; 7 MS)
- ⦿ 8% Tested Positive Upon Arrival (June 2013)
- ⦿ Detoxification Services
- ⦿ Transitional Housing
- ⦿ Referrals to Services

Community Transition Center (CTC) Referrals to Services

- Behavioral Health Services 67%
 - Alcohol and Drug 56%
 - Mental Health 17%
 - Co-Occurring 27%

Mandatory Supervision Plan

- In-service Training with Judge Bruce-Lyle and Chief Jenkins with Mandatory Supervision officers
- 156 individuals participated in a pre-release hearing
- 140 status report hearings

Mandatory Supervision Plan

- ⦿ Services referred:
 - Residential Drug Treatment
 - Outpatient Drug Treatment
 - CBT
 - Mental Health
 - Parenting
 - Work Readiness
 - Anti-Theft
 - Anger Management

Next Steps

- ① Housing Resources

- ② CBT

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO



Community Corrections Partnership

July 23, 2013