



ANNUAL REPORT 2015

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO PROBATION DEPARTMENT



Table of Contents

Vision and Mission	2
Leadership	3
Message from the Chief	4
Administrative Services	5
Adult Field Services	7
Juvenile Field Services	17
Institutional Services	27
Awards	33
Partnerships	34
2015 Annual Statistics	37



VISION

Enhancing the quality of life for San Diego County residents by creating safer communities

MISSION

Protect community safety, reduce crime and assist victims, through offender accountability and rehabilitation

VALUES

Integrity, Excellence, Respect, Diversity, Stewardship, Transparency, and Dedication

STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

By focusing our priorities through Strategic Initiatives we will continue to advance the Department's Vision of creating safer communities

HEALTHY FAMILIES: Provide a continuum of services to youth and adults

- Develop trauma informed coordinated services that provide individuals with appropriate interventions and resources
- Utilize community-based alternative sentencing options to achieve better outcomes
- Assist families in achieving and maintaining self-sufficiency through offender assessment and effective case planning

SAFE COMMUNITIES: Provide effective probation supervision and services to prevent crime and make neighborhoods safe for residents to live, work and play

- Increase public safety through holding offenders accountable
- Engage offenders in evidence based treatment services to facilitate behavior change and reduce recidivism
- Partner with local, state and federal law enforcement agencies to monitor high risk offenders
- Assist victims and protect their rights through education and restitution collection

SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENTS: Support environments that foster viable, livable communities while bolstering economic growth.

- Reduce environmental risk through the increased use of technology and information services
- Provide rehabilitative services to offenders to help them become contributing members of their community
- Increase community engagement

OPERATIONAL EXCELLENCE: Provide modern infrastructure, innovative technology and appropriate resources to ensure superior service delivery to our customers

- Pursue information technology solutions to maximize efficiencies
- Align services to available resources to maintain fiscal stability
- Utilize data driven decision making

COUNTY GOVERNMENT



Greg Cox
District 1



Dianne Jacob
District 2
Vice Chair



Dave Roberts
District 3



Ron Roberts
District 4
Chair



Bill Horn
District 5



Helen Robbins-Meyer
Chief Administrative Officer



Donald F. Steuer
Assistant CAO/
Chief Operating Officer



Ron Lane
Deputy Chief Administrative Officer
Public Safety Group

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION



Adolfo Gonzales
Chief Probation Officer



Yvette Klepin
Assistant Chief Probation Officer

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF

Dear Friends and Colleagues,

I am proud to present the San Diego County Probation Department's Annual Report. Calendar year 2015 was very exciting and brought many accomplishments and changes throughout the organization. We created new partnerships with community organizations and collaborated extensively with local law enforcement agencies.

The Probation Department is committed to implementing evidence-based practices to reduce the number of youth in facilities and clients on formal supervision. As we closed 2015, the 397 youth in our institutions was near a record low and our ability to help clients successfully complete probation was among the best in the State of California. This success would not be possible without the ongoing support from our Board of Supervisors and local judges. San Diego's collaborative approach has promoted community safety while also rehabilitating our clients.

One of the biggest changes in 2015 was the announced retirement of our long-time Chief Probation Officer, Mack Jenkins. Chief Jenkins was committed to evidence-based programming and diligently implemented system changes to Adult Field Services when Public Safety Realignment was implemented in 2011. We are grateful to Chief Jenkins for his commitment to the Probation Department and wish him the best in retirement.

During 2016, the Probation Department will continue its efforts to collaborate with partners to divert as many youth as possible from the juvenile justice system and further improve the outcomes of all clients on community supervision. We will also be assessing Probation facilities and embrace opportunities to integrate services with other County of San Diego departments and community providers.

Respectfully,



Adolfo Gonzales, Chief

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Probation Administrative Services is dedicated to providing the Department with support in the areas of fiscal management, human resources, information technology, treatment and clinical services, and research and business intelligence.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

The Information Technology Unit works closely with the program services to ensure the needs of the department are met by incorporating current technologies in support of the agency's mission.



In 2015, the Probation Utility Mobile Application, or PUMA, was developed for use by probation officers. With PUMA, probation officers have the ability to use their smartphone to search for offenders and enter field contacts in the department's case management system. Probation officers have the ability to utilize the voice-to-text feature to quickly enter contacts while in the field. Probation officers also use PUMA and other

smart phone technologies to review their case files, conduct follow-up office visits, map their daily routes, take photos, and have access to email, calendar, contacts and texting. The use of the PUMA mobile application has resulted in a more than 50% improvement in completing field contacts and an 87% improvement in accessing probationer information.

TREATMENT AND CLINICAL SERVICES

The Probation Treatment Unit, under the direction of Geoff Twitchell, PhD, is responsible for the procurement of evidence-based treatments, training of staff on clinical issues, and outreach and consultation with community partners and stakeholders. Continuing implementation of Evidence-Based Practices (EBP) in 2015 included the adoption of the Correctional Program Checklist (CPC), a validated quality assurance tool. Eight staff became certified in the administration of CPC after successfully completing a four-day training conducted by the developers of CPC. The training included a site visit, observation of treatment sessions, and interviews with staff and clients. The Treatment Unit evaluated five different treatment programs in 2015. Three of the programs served adults and two of the programs served children. These early evaluations identified a gap in our treatment community regarding the unique treatment needs of an offender population. This key finding led to community outreach and training development to help fill this gap. Thirty-three community providers were trained in the specifics of addressing criminogenic needs of justice-involved youth and the adult offender populations.

HUMAN RESOURCES

Human Resources staff supports the mission of the Probation Department by facilitating hiring, promotions, payroll administration and assisting managers with performance management. A key accomplishment in 2015 was the implementation of new performance standards and appraisals for all sworn officers that specifically incorporates evidence-based principles and practices in support of the department’s commitment to utilizing EBP.

PROBATION STAFF POSITIONS

Adult Field Services	463
Institutional Services	415
Juvenile Field Services	295
Department Administration	86
Total	1,259

Learning Management System (LMS) training for all Probation employees. LMS training provided an overview of the County’s mission regarding customer service and the steps to be taken to achieve our goal that every customer has a positive experience when interacting with Probation on any level. Probation is pleased to report that 100% of all active employees have completed this interactive training.

CUSTOMER SERVICE COMMITMENT


- Helpfulness:** Going out of our way to find answers.
- Expertise:** Being knowledgeable
- Attentiveness:** Being ready to meet your needs.
- Respect:** Treating you with dignity and courtesy.
- Timeliness:** Being efficient with your time.

H.E.A.R.T.

One of the goals included in San Diego County’s mission is a commitment to provide exceptional customer service to our internal and external clientele. In 2015, Probation has taken this charge head-on with the implementation of the HEART initiative.

The HEART initiative outlines how we as a department will engage with our internal clientele, our probationers and their families, stakeholders, and other law enforcement agencies; all of whom play a vital role in our continued mission to sustain safe communities. One of the major steps taken to ensure that the department was ready to take on this customer-service initiative was to create and provide

Fiscal Year 2015-16 Budget - \$223,261,016




Debbie Patag
Chief of Administrative Services



Veronica Allen
Finance Officer



Sean Behan
Manager
Contracts and Procurement



Dr. Geoff Twitchell
Treatment Director



Elainerose Wingo
Manager
Information Technology



Denise Huffhines
Division Chief
Professional Standards Division



Denise Rubin
Manager
Human Resources



Jennifer Effie
Manager
Business Intelligence



Lucy Tatoy
Probation Operations Support
Manager

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

In our efforts to protect community safety, our work with adult offenders begins at Intake and Investigations, with probation officers providing mandatory pre-sentence investigations and other supplementary reports to the courts in all regions. In addition to interviewing offenders and providing sentencing recommendations to the courts, probation officers maintain a collaborative working relationship with our judicial partners in each region, and work to assist victims of crime. In the furtherance of the department mission to protect community safety, probation officers assigned to supervision units in all regions ensure offenders under probation supervision are appropriately supervised and assisted to become law-abiding individuals. The supervision may be intensive for offenders whose behavior poses a continuous threat to public safety, or moderate for those whose offenses pose less of a risk to the public.

LIVING CASE PLAN

Adult Investigations officers engage offenders using motivational interviewing skills and, using the Criminal Offender Management Profile for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) empirical assessment tool, assess each offender in order to create a preliminary case plan and determine probation supervision level. This “living case plan,” tailored to fit the offender’s assessed needs, is later used by the supervision officer and helps to guide and inform case plan decisions made during probation supervision, as well as referrals to appropriate services, resources, and treatment interventions for each offender. By using an evidence-based assessment tool and addressing individual offender needs, outcomes reflect that recidivism rates are positively impacted, and offenders are better positioned to successfully reenter their communities.

In 2015, Adult Investigators submitted a total of 9,505 reports across the regions. The average number of reports completed each month was 792.

SAFE COMMUNITIES INITIATIVE

In late 2015, the Hall of Justice Investigations Division initiated a small collaborative work group in support of the county’s Safe Communities strategic initiative and the Probation Department mission to assist victims. The Enhancing Victims Services Collaborative Work Group, comprised of Probation, the District Attorney’s Office, the San Diego



Sheriff, and the San Diego Superior Court, was convened to address the need to enhance services to victims, with a plan to include other judicial partners in the future. The purpose of the Enhancing Victims Services Collaborative Work Group is to identify opportunities to enhance services to victims provided by our respective agencies, reestablish individual department responsibilities, identify and address any gaps in service, and leverage our collective resources for a common goal of improving services to victims.

INCENTIVES & SANCTIONS CONTINUUM

After a successful pilot program in 2014, a new Incentives and Sanctions Continuum (ISC) program was adopted by the department in 2015. The goal of ISC is to hold offenders accountable by responding to all positive and

negative/non-compliant behavior with swiftness, certainty, and consistency, by identifying and changing thinking and behaviors considered anti-social or problematic, and by identifying and promoting pro-social behavior, as well as formally tracking the use of incentives and sanctions. Using ISC, officers work to create interest and motivation for offenders to address specific criminogenic need areas based on assessment results. In 2015, over 200 officers were successfully trained to utilize incentives and sanctions, with a plan to have all remaining AFS (Adult Field Services) officers trained by mid-2016.



MENTALLY ILL OFFENDER UNIT

In 2015, high-risk Mentally Ill Offender Unit officers supervised over 200 offenders with severe mental illness whose crimes were serious, violent, or high profile. The unit's low/medium risk officer supervised an additional 100 offenders. The goal of officers in supervising offenders with mental illness is multifaceted, offering opportunities to engage in community resources and achieve stabilization on medications, thereby enhancing future opportunities of successfully remaining in the community and engaging in ongoing services once Probation supervision ends.

unique mental health conditions stemming from their military service. Per California Penal Code 1170.9, the criminal court considers treatment, rather than incarceration, when sentencing a defendant who has served in the military and shows symptoms of one of more of the following: Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), Military Sexual Trauma (MST), depression, anxiety, or other mental health conditions.

VETERANS TREATMENT COURT

Veterans Treatment Court (VTC) is a collaborative justice court that hears cases involving PC1170.9 probationers who are veterans or active duty service members with

The treatment team works in collaboration with the United States Department of Veterans of Affairs (VA), correctional counselors, VA social workers, Sheriff's sworn staff, Sheriff's medical staff, District Attorney's office, and the Probation Department. Input from every agency is encouraged to assist the veteran in their success upon their release into the community.



Cesar Escuro
Deputy Chief Probation Officer
Adult Field Services



Christina Nyikes
Division Chief, Intake and
Investigations, Hall of Justice



Gonzalo Mendez
Division Chief, Supervision North
County



David Joralemon
Division Chief
Post Release Community Supervision



Scott Huizar
Division Chief
Post Release Mandatory Supervision



Ken Mosey
Division Chief, Supervision Ohio
Street



Jason Druxman
Division Chief, Supervision South
Bay, El Cajon



Ana Delgadillo
Probation Operations Support
Manager

One of VTC's 2015 graduates, a former high ranking officer in the United States military, was discharged due to his felonies, and lost his retirement as a result. When he began VTC, he was angry, isolated, heavily drinking alcohol, and felt a sense of hopelessness about his future. At his graduation, he thanked the VTC team for all of its support over the past year. He smiled throughout the hearing, spoke about how much his treatment had helped him. His grants of formal probation were converted to summary probation, with reductions to misdemeanors occurring at his next hearing. He is eligible to petition to not only get a better military discharge, but to get his retirement back. He also plans to go back to school and to eventually teach college classes. It was clear that VTC had helped him get to a place in his life where he was excited about his future.

The VTC team realizes just how important its mission is to not only hold offenders accountable, but to provide an individualized treatment plan designed to restore the participants to the place they were at in their lives before their military service. The team works hard to provide hope, restore families, and save lives. The positive changes witnessed in the lives of the offenders in Veterans Court, along with the tremendous amount of teamwork between members of the VTC team, are unparalleled. Most participants understand that VTC is a "hand up" and not a "handout."

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH COURT

Behavioral Health Court (BHC) is a collaborative court designed to provide treatment and supervision of mentally ill offenders who have received services and have failed to stabilize on their medications or continue to exhibit criminal behavior. The BHC program provides 360 degree services, including housing, probation supervision and case management, and customized rehabilitative services.



The BHC team went out of their way to engage an offender who was convicted of a serious assault on an officer responding to a report that the offender threatened to kill his sister. The BHC team matched him with services to fit his individual needs. He struggled at first, but eventually completed the program. The offender not only managed to find stability in his mental illness, but sought to impart his experiences and knowledge onto others struggling with mental illness. He attended numerous events held by the National Alliance for Mental Illness (NAMI), including the NAMI National Convention in which he participated in workshops. At these workshops he learned about new medical treatments, technologies, and therapies available to people suffering from mental illness. He also spoke at a NAMI Young Adult Speak Out to inform others of his experiences in dealing with his own struggles with mental illness. He connected with NAMI's Young Adult Leaders Network and reported interest in starting a "NAMI on Campus" club at the college he began attending. He connected with a depression and bipolar support group and became an appointed librarian at a distinguished library while attending school full-time. He graduated from the BHC program, and became a role model for other participants.

4TH WAIVER SEARCHES

On 09/17/15, high-risk officers from the Ohio Street Division went to an offender's residence. The offender's case was assigned to the High-Risk Supervision Unit. During a 4th waiver search of the offender's room, Officers Nicholas O'Neal and Ernesto Zetino discovered several hypodermic needles, a digital scale, a loaded hypodermic needle containing a brown liquid (heroin), sheets of tabs similar to LSD tabs, and a potato chip container with a false bottom that contained large solid pieces of a white powdery substance. The probationer's cell phone contained incriminating text messages related to drug sales. They also rescued an infant girl born without medical care and later tested positive for meth. The officers ensured her safety and medical care.

Through unannounced 4th waiver compliance searches, we can ensure that individuals such as this are truly adhering to their conditions of probation and remaining law-abiding. In this instance, officers were responsible for taking a street-level narcotics dealer out of the community.

Deputy Probation Officers O'Neal and Zetino were honored at the 2015 Hero Awards where they received an award for their heroic actions by the North San Diego Business Chamber.

MEDIUM RISK

Deputy Probation Officer Adrian Rubio and Probation Aide Gabriella Sainz implemented the issuance of a certificate to those offenders who successfully complete probation, obtain their GED, or complete Residential Drug Treatment. The Medium Risk Unit was pleasantly surprised to hear from many offenders that this was their first time being acknowledged for doing something positive. The smiles on their faces are priceless, and many have been moved to tears of joy. As a unit, we have satisfaction in knowing that our attention to detail and our positive feedback has greatly contributed to their success. The accomplishments, as well as their "before and after" pictures, are prominently displayed on

the wall of unit's office to inspire other offenders to be successful. Many have asked how they too can get onto the wall.

WATCH

The Women And Their Children (WATCH) caseload is designed to supervise pregnant offenders with a substance abuse nexus. Offenders are supervised for the duration of their pregnancy and for several months after the birth of their children. This is to ensure toxicology-free babies and that offenders remain sober after the birth of their children, when they are most likely to experience mental health issues such as post-partum depression.

“*Officer Martinez, your dedication to the WATCH program helped me become a better woman and mother. Thank you for being the probation officer that we appreciate and respect.*”

In 2015, WATCH had a success rate of 100% substance free babies born to the women under WATCH supervision.

DUI UNIT

The primary goal of the DUI unit is to reduce the number of victims resulting from impaired driving through the close monitoring of high-risk DUI offenders, and to provide offenders assistance with the rehabilitation process. Increased funding in 2015 has afforded the DUI Unit the opportunity to engage in more proactive activities such as the Teen Alcohol Awareness Program (T.A.A.P). Geared towards newly licensed teen drivers, T.A.A.P. educates teens on impaired driving, the impact on vehicle passengers, and the consequences of a DUI arrest and probation placement. TAAP also provides teens with in-person experiences, such as an active DUI checkpoint, arrest procedures and a tour of prisoner processing facilities.

An offender with a series of sustained convictions and a history of drug abuse was pregnant with her third child when she came to the WATCH program. With the encouragement and guidance of the WATCH officer, she began to engage in treatment and other community based opportunities, and became determined that she was not going to die and leave her children. She took back control of her life and now attends college courses. She also serves as a volunteer in the treatment program she completed, to give back to new residents.

“For the first time since I'd been on probation, you made me feel like you really cared if I was sober and that made a big difference to me. Also, you intervening that last time to take me to custody potentially saved my life and whoever else's I might ended up hurting in my disease. Thank you for everything and I'll forever be grateful for the role you served in helping me turn my life around.”



WORK FURLOUGH RESIDENTIAL RE-ENTRY

The Work Furlough (WF) and the Residential Re-Entry Center (RRC) programs are designed to assist offenders in their transition into the community by providing employment development, intervention and other self-improvement services. The WF inmates maintain employment while serving their custodial sanctions at the facility and the RRC inmates are required to participate in employment readiness classes while they seek employment. RRC houses up to 165 inmates and WF houses up to 170 inmates.

COMMUNITY TRANSITION CENTER

The Community Transition Center (CTC) is the site of a multi-disciplinary team designed to fully support AB109 offenders returning to the community from incarceration. Assembly Bill (AB) 109, or Public Safety Realignment, was enacted in October 2011. This law changed the landscape of the California criminal justice system by shifting specific responsibilities from the state to counties. Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS) offenders are felons released from prison with a committing offense that was non-violent, non-serious, or non-sex related. Mandatory Supervision (MS) offenders are felons that have been sentenced after AB 109 pursuant to PC 1170(h) for a non-violent, non-serious, and non-sex related offense.

Individuals who receive a “split” sentence are required to spend a portion of their sentence in jail and another portion under probation supervision. Individuals on Mandatory Supervision are considered to be “incarcerated in the community”. In 2015, a total of 1,305 PRCS offenders were transported directly from state prison to the CTC where they were assessed and linked to services to address their identified criminogenic needs. Prior to AB 109, these individuals were supervised by state parole upon release from prison. The CTC also services MS offenders, as well as other AB109

One of the many successes of the WF/RRC facility was a woman who was granted formal probation after smuggling methamphetamine into the United States. With her lack of a previous criminal record, she was eligible for the WF/RRC program. When she first arrived, she was lacking motivation and did not seem concerned about her future. She was slow to start programming and job searching. WF/RRC officers had to speak with her on at least one occasion to remind her of her obligations not only to herself and the program, but also to her family. As the months passed, positive changes began to take place. She eventually adjusted to the program and diligently sought employment. She never received any rule violations while at the facility and completed numerous classes while housed at the RRC program. She is now employed part-time and continues to seek a better job. She sees her children and is working to save money to get a place of her own so that her children can live with her again. She is grateful that she had the opportunity to complete her time at the WF/RRC program instead of jail and is doing everything she can to stay positive.

One of the many success stories of the CTC includes an offender with a criminal history spanning 10 years, with 5 prior court cases including multiple burglaries, vandalism, receiving stolen property, possessing a firearm, loitering and narcotics offenses. During his intake at the CTC, the offender reported a history of “hourly” methamphetamine use and had been through four different residential treatment programs since 2007. He said, “I can’t hold a job, I have no roof over my head, and I stress on not doing the right things,” indicating his awareness of the positives and negatives of positive behavior change and intention to start healthy behavior. The offender remained at the CTC while awaiting placement at a residential treatment facility. During this time, probation officers, treatment personnel and Lighthouse staff worked collaboratively with him while encouraging his growth towards positive behavior change. He maintained his positive momentum throughout his entire treatment and was described as “doing exceptionally well in the program.” After three months at the residential treatment facility, he began an apprenticeship to become a drug and alcohol counselor. In late 2015, he successfully completed his treatment program and was working full-time as a counselor at the very program he had graduated from.

offenders who have been in the community but are now in need of assistance (primarily detox and/or transitional housing while awaiting placement into residential drug treatment). In 2015, while using the “balanced approach,” the CTC was able to save the county an estimated 903 days of jail beds due to their ability to place AB109 offenders directly into their onsite

detox facility, rather than imposing a custodial sanction. Of all 1,956 offenders who entered the CTC in 2015, approximately 39% (758) utilized transitional housing.

The CTC implemented a program to celebrate AB109 offenders who have made positive changes in their lives. The “Wall of Change” is



posted in the front office at the CTC so incoming offenders can view photos and read the stories of their peers, in the hope that they too will be inspired to make positive choices in their own lives. Each of our Wall of Change participants gives their story in their own words highlighting past struggles, what inspired them to change, and who or what helped them make the transformation, along with a description of how their life once was compared to how it is today. Newcomers to the CTC are encouraged to strive towards one day making it on the Wall of Change.

AFFORDABLE CARE ACT (ACA)

Through collaborative efforts with the San Diego County Health & Human Services Agency (HHSA) and the Sheriff's Department, Probation continues to facilitate Medi-Cal enrollment for the justice-involved population. In addition, the Probation Department incorporates the continuum of care to include the discussion of healthcare/Medi-Cal benefits during regular compliance appointments, connecting offenders to healthcare services, and advocating for the criminal justice-involved population to stay engaged in overall wellness. The Probation Department continues to reinforce communications between our department and healthcare entities in order to sustain ongoing relationships.

TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

The Post Release Offender (PRO) Division, which provides case management for AB 109 offenders, currently contracts with five housing providers, including 24 separate locations throughout the county. For calendar year 2015, a total of 43,895 "bed-days" were utilized by offenders under the Post Release Offender Division.

ADDRESSING OFFENDER NEEDS: LINKING INDIVIDUALS TO SERVICES

In demonstrating our commitment to provide evidence-based intervention services, and in support of the department's mission to hold offenders accountable and facilitate their

rehabilitation, the San Diego County Probation Department created the Web-based Community Resource Directory (CRD). The CRD works as an electronic referral system and resource catalog. Community-based agencies apply to become an approved CRD provider and then are vetted to ensure they meet the requirements of the CRD.

MANDATORY SUPERVISION COURT

San Diego County justice partners developed the Mandatory Supervision Court in 2013 as the primary element in managing the MS offender population. Probation prepares a MS pre-release plan which includes a COMPAS assessment and a case plan based on the offender's identified risks and needs. Once sentenced, the offender participates in prescribed programming based on the assessments while in custody, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), vocational programming, anger management, life skills development and substance abuse treatment. With correctional counselors and on-site probation officers, MS offenders work to complete the goals as identified in "the living case plan". In 2015, MS Court received a National Association of Counties (NACo) Achievement Award.

REGIONAL REALIGNMENT RESPONSE GROUP (R3G)

The Regional Realignment Response Group (R3G) is a collaborative program funded through allocations from the San Diego Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) and implemented in January of 2013. Under the leadership of the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, the primary goal of R3G is to ensure public safety by developing and implementing a targeted, proactive, and intelligence-based approach to control and counteract the risks associated with realigned offenders. Three regional sub-groups (R3G-North, R3G-Central and R3G-South) were created to encompass the entire County of San Diego. Each regional sub-group consists of law enforcement agencies and corresponding Probation Post Release Offender supervision

The Probation Department's combined population of AB109 and Formal Probationers that have Medi-Cal has increased nearly 17% from July 2014 to December 2015. The AB109 population alone has increased 29% from July 2014.

3,852 realigned offenders were referred to at least one treatment or intervention service through the CRD.

52% of PRCS offenders have been referred to at least one treatment or intervention service through the CRD.

84% of PRCS offenders have been referred to at least one treatment or intervention service through the CRD.

91% of MS offenders have been referred to at least one treatment or intervention service through the CRD.

units within each of the designated regions. With this regionalized structure and coordinated effort, law enforcement agencies and Probation work collaboratively to enhance intelligence information-sharing and increase the coordinated law enforcement presence in each of the R3G regions during monthly joint operations, with a focus on realigned offenders in the community. These regional monthly operations are directly supported by the San Diego County Law Enforcement Coordination Center (SDLECC), which provides operational, analytical and intelligence-based support to ensure the highest-risk realigned offenders are identified and contacted.

CONSTANT CONTACT COLLABORATION

The Constant Contact Collaboration (C3) program is a grant funded collaborative enforcement program between the San Diego Police Department (SDPD) and the San Diego County Probation Department. C3 program goals include: improving public safety in City of San Diego communities; efficient utilization of resources; timely sharing of information; ensuring offender compliance with court orders, conditions and rehabilitation programs; and recidivism reduction (which will contribute to public safety, offender compliance, and therefore a reduction in victimization). Probation officers in the PRO

Division were designated as C3 liaisons for each of the San Diego Police Department's eight substations, and single sex offender registrant unit. The identified officers work collaboratively with their SDPD C3 liaison counterpart to identify AB109 offenders residing in the targeted jurisdictions. Based on offender population and density within each jurisdiction, SDPD and Probation C3 liaisons coordinate regarding the frequency and number of offenders being provided residential compliance checks. Under this program, attempted contacts are made on an average of five to ten AB109 offenders per week, per SDPD substation.

OPERATION TIP THE SCALE


Supported by the San Diego County Methamphetamine Task Force, the operation was conducted twice in 2015 (February 2015 and June 2015). The operation is a collaborative effort between the Sheriff's Department, Probation Department, HHSA and the Drug-Endangered Children program/Child Welfare Services. The goal of Tip the Scale is to reduce drug-fueled crime while steering drug offenders toward drug treatment resources instead of incarceration.

Both operations in 2015 were hosted by the South Bay probation office.




ADULTS UNDER FORMAL PROBATION SUPERVISION

This map depicts the count of all adult probationers that were under Formal Probation Supervision from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 by ZIP code.



ADULTS UNDER POST RELEASE COMMUNITY OR MANDATORY SUPERVISION


This map depicts the count of all adult offenders that were under Post Release Community or Mandatory Supervision from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 by ZIP code.



JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

The range of juvenile services includes prevention and diversion programs, intake and investigations, supervision to include specialized programs, and placement. As the juvenile probation population numbers have continued to trend downward, risk levels and presenting needs of youth under supervision has increased, specifically around mental health, truancy and placement issues. Juvenile justice services that include successful programs have contributed to this downward population trend. The Board of Supervisors has continued to support funding for various juvenile-crime prevention and diversion programs.

NUMBER OF WARDS BY RISK LEVEL (2010—2015)



52% decline in the last 5 years (2010 to 2015) based upon snapshot counts at the calendar year end.

Department priorities included Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) Practices training, increased diversion efforts, mental health initiatives, educational transition planning, and positive youth development. Keeping youth out of custody is a clear priority for Probation and our justice partners, as is meeting the complex needs of the youth who are in custody and on probation. The various prevention, diversion and supervision programs focus on youth getting intensive and tailored services to help them turn their lives around.

BREAKING CYCLES DIVISION

The Breaking Cycles Division is comprised of Teen WATCh / Community Assessment Teams (CAT), Working to Insure and Nurture Girls' Success (WINGS) / Juvenile Forensic Assistance for Stabilization and Treatment (JFAST), the Youth Day Center (YDC) Central / Positive Youth Justice Initiative (PYJI), Reflections Central, Youth Day Center (YDC) / Reflections North, and the Community Unit.

YDC/REFLECTIONS NORTH

YDC/Reflections North program had several accomplishments and successes this year. In addition to graduating 44 students from the program, they also had successes in getting youth and their parents involved in classes and programs focused on rehabilitation. Youth earned certificates in the following courses: anti-graffiti (15 youth), anti-theft (12 youth), decision making skills (13 youth), anger management (10 youth), aggression replacement training (12 youth), and Trauma-Informed Care/gang awareness (10 youth). Additionally, 28 youth attended the Passport to Life event and 39 parents completed the Spanish-Speaking Parent Support Group.

In addition to the YDC/Reflections North on-site accomplishments, the program has been active in the community as well. On April 3rd, 2015, the entire site went to Palomar College and received tours of the campus. This was a great time for the students to walk the campus and see several opportunities that the college provides. The highlights of the tour were seeing the auto shop, graphic arts, and glass blowing.

On April 9th, the site participated in their monthly team-building exercise together. The

students and staff took on the challenge of fishing at the Oceanside pier. Most of the students, and several staff, had never fished before. Their new experience was met with several challenges, including applying bait to the fishing hooks, casting, and having the patience for a fish to eat the bait. The students demonstrated the importance of patience while their fishing line was submerged in the ocean. Although there were times of frustration, particularly when the fish were not biting, the students maintained their patience and remained calm in hope of getting a bite. Suddenly, a strong pull came from the end of the fishing line for one of the students. Nervously and excitedly, she caught the fish and succeeded in bringing it to the top of the pier. Being her first time fishing, she did not know what to do with the fish once she had caught it. Other students came to her aid, assisting her in taking the fish off the hook and eventually throwing it back in the ocean. The students demonstrated their capacity to make collaborative team effort.

On July 30th, 2015, six students participated in a field trip to Cabrillo National Park. This was the first time any of the students had visited the park. They were able to explore the rocky intertidal zone and coastal Mediterranean ecotype, working together by helping each other navigate through the rocky trails down to the tidal pools. They also learned about conservation efforts from a volunteer park conservationist and were able hold a whales tooth and vertebrae, in addition to various crustacean shells and geological samples native

to that area. The trip ended by eating lunch at a view point that overlooked the ocean.

POSITIVE YOUTH JUSTICE INITIATIVE

San Diego County's Positive Youth Justice Initiative (PYJI) program is a pilot project of wraparound support services for youth and their families. The program focuses on specialty caseloads, targeting delinquent youth with child welfare histories. Initially, the pilot focused on neighborhoods within Southeast San Diego, limited to the two zip codes with the highest number of youth involved in the juvenile justice and child welfare systems. This year the PYJI pilot program was expanded to serve a total of 8 zip codes. Positive Youth Development (PYD) training was provided to 23 staff. In addition, staff continued to facilitate Family Involvement Team (FIT) meetings with the youth and families participating in the pilot program, continued to attend Southeast Collaborative Meetings, and conducted PYJI presentations at the Breaking Cycles Program Manager's Meeting and the California Mental Health Council. Youth were awarded a certificate of achievement upon completion of the program, which also included mock interviews, resume-building, sobriety, anti-theft, and English/Spanish parenting classes.

YOUTH DAY CENTER CENTRAL (YDCC)

The Youth Day Center is a day treatment facility that functions as an alternative to custody with a focus on family-centered services. The following classes are offered to



Lorraine Fernandez
Deputy Chief Probation Officer
Juvenile Field Services



Timothy Hancock
Division Chief
Supervision, Breaking Cycles, Reflections, Youth Day Center, CAT



Renee Corrao
Division Chief (Acting)
Intake and Investigations, TIP, TSP




Lisa Sawin
Division Chief
Placement/Extended Foster Care



Dan DeLeon
Division Chief
Special Operations, Gang Suppression, YOU, Transportation



Nikki Horton
Probation Operations Support Manager



On January 10th, five students from the Reflections running club competed in the Resolution Run on Fiesta Island. Three students completed a 5K, one student completed a 15K. One Reflections graduate met the team there and also did a 5K. On March 8th, three Reflections students participated in the San Diego Half Marathon. In April, Six students participated in the Cinco de Mayo Trail Run. On August 16th, the running club participated in the America's Finest City Marathon. One student runner completed the marathon. On September 26th, the running club participated in the Wild Willow Farms 5K/10K. Three student runners completed the race.

JUVENILE FORENSIC ASSISTANCE FOR STABILIZATION AND TREATMENT (JFAST)

JFAST is a rehabilitation program for mentally ill youth that provides access to individualized treatment and strengthens family stability. JFAST is a collaborative, engaged and informed court that uses a multidisciplinary approach to the behavioral health care, treatment, supervision and rehabilitation of probation youth within the Juvenile Justice system. Team members include the Court, Behavioral Health, Probation, the DA, and Public Defender. Additional partners include North County Lifeline, Fred Finch, and San Diego Youth Services. During the year, two additional youth and family counselors were added to the team through our collaboration with North County Lifeline to reduce counselor caseload size and improve access to treatment services for youth in the program. Throughout the year, Probation has been an integral part of the assessment process of youth entering the program, as well as maintaining supervision of the youth once they are accepted. The two assigned probations officers, as well as the program's senior probation officer, attended court on a weekly basis. Additionally, program probation officers attended 21 wraparound meetings and five Individual Education Plans (IEP's) in support of their youth.

On December 3rd, YDCC students took a trip to the Museum of Tolerance in Los Angeles and were given a tour to learn about the Holocaust. This also gave students an opportunity to learn about current problems involving racism and how it can be prevented.

the youth or parents at YDC: anger management, risk reduction, drug education/relapse prevention, financial literacy, individual counseling/substance abuse, and parenting groups (in Spanish and/or English). YDC students have also participated in physical training at Symmetrics Training Center. This has been a great way to promote a healthy and active lifestyle for the students. YDC had 32 program completions throughout the year. Additionally, they administered 1,970 drug tests with only 46 positive results.

REFLECTIONS CENTRAL

Reflections Central is a day treatment program, which focuses on addressing mental health and family issues. The program is Medi-Cal certified and functions as an alternative to custody or out-of-home placement in a residential treatment facility. Reflections Central is run in partnership between the San Diego County Probation Department, SAY San Diego, and the San Diego County Office of Education. The program also collaborates with additional community partners to serve the unique needs of youth enrolled in the program.

Deputy Probation Officer Mike Rolan continues with our pilot program of CrossFit classes to integrate mental and physical health. Reflections Central has a running club that has amassed several accomplishments this year.

In July, one Reflections family was in serious need. SAY San Diego was able to pay an almost \$1,000 balance on their water bill to have the water turned back on, and provided them with over \$200 in food and water.

TEEN WATCH/CAT / WINGS

The Teen WATCH / CAT / WINGS programs are community-based and family-oriented programs. Both CAT and WINGS utilize multi-disciplinary teams to provide case management to youth. The CAT program in particular represents a collaboration between Probation Social Advocates for Youth, South Bay Community Services, North County Lifeline, Inc., Mental Health Systems, Inc., and San Diego Youth Services. Youth participating in the CAT / WINGS program have completed approximately 2,100 hours of community service.


The program has been active in the community as well. On July 14th, 2015, WINGS youth participated in a backpack and supplies giveaway. A fraternity based out of UCSD donated hundreds of backpacks and supplies for youth in our communities to access. WINGS youth were able to give back to the community by volunteering during the event. On September 20th, 2015, needy youth and families from the CAT, WINGS, Alternatives To Detention (ATD) and Breaking Cycles programs participated in the annual shoe and sock giveaway coordinated by the Chula Vista Police Department. School resource officers provide a free pair of shoes and packet of socks to each member of a receiving family. The event is sponsored by the CVPD School Resource and Sock Giveaway with the South Bay Community Services Youth Prevention & Intervention (YPI) Team. Teen WATCH has also had success with the birth of 11 drug-free babies in 2015.

THE PLACEMENT DIVISION

The Juvenile Field Services Placement Division is responsible for the placement and supervision of all Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) 602 youth who are ordered by the juvenile delinquency court to be placed in a suitable and Title IV-E approved out-of-home placement such as a group home, residential treatment facility, or a relative/non-relative placement. On average, the Placement Division is responsible for the supervision of

approximately 120 youth per month. These youth may be placed in-county, out-of-county and out-of-state, depending on their needs.

In addition to this population of youth, in March 2015, Probation began to provide case management and extended foster care services to former WIC 602 youth who were the subject of an out-of-home placement order at the time of their 18th birthday, pursuant to AB12. Prior to this date, these services were previously provided by the Health and Human Services Agency Child Welfare Services division. Extended Foster Care is a voluntary program that provides financial assistance and case management services to youth between the ages of 18 and 21, so they may achieve their educational and/or vocational goals as they transition to independence. In 2015, Probation provided extended foster care services to approximately 23 non-minor dependent youth. The Placement Division continues to partner with Child Welfare Services to provide collaborative case management and supervision to dual jurisdiction youth (youth who are under the jurisdiction of both the dependency and delinquency courts as a WIC 300 and WIC 602 youth), via the Crossover Youth Practice Model (CYPM). This collaborative model strives to address the unique issues faced by youth who are active to both the dependency and delinquency systems and to improve their outcomes. In 2015, Probation and Child



Welfare Services have provided collaborative case management services to approximately 60 youth.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS

The Special Operations Division is comprised of armed specialty units which include the Juvenile and Adult Gang Suppression Units, the Community Response Team, the Youthful Offender Unit, Home Supervision, and the Narcotics Detection Canine Officer and Transportation Unit.

GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT (ADULT GSU & JUVENILE GSU)

Juvenile GSU Deputy Probation Officer Michael Wiener partnered with officers from the San Diego Police Department to conduct a compliance check and Fourth Amendment waiver residential search, ultimately resulting in the seizure of over \$19,000 in cash, a kilo of cocaine, and a vehicle which was seized by San Diego Police Department. The search prevented the probationer's distribution of

drugs into the community. Juvenile GSU and Community Response Team (CRT) officers performed a separate Fourth Amendment waiver residential search which yielded numerous items of stolen property and approximately \$5,000 in cash. A Fourth Amendment waiver search was also conducted on two (2) separate vehicles at this residence, yielding marijuana, packaging materials and methamphetamines. It is believed this search will solve approximately 20 residential burglaries.

Adult Gang Suppression Unit (AGSU)


In 2015, the seven probation officers in AGSU conducted 3,591 office visits, 964 residential searches, and 838 field contacts, averaging about 375 cases a month.

G.R.E.A.T. (GANG RESISTANCE EDUCATION AND TRAINING) CURRICULUM INSTRUCTORS

The Juvenile GSU has three officers who have been G.R.E.A.T. certified and have continued

Special Operations also includes the following multi-agency task forces: the San Diego Regional Fugitive Task Force, the San Diego Violent Crimes Task Force, the Narcotics Task Force, the East County Regional Gang Task Force, the Jurisdictions Unified for Drug & Gang Enforcement (JUDGE) Task Force, the Regional Auto Theft Task (RATT) Force, and the Computer and Technology Crimes Hi-Tech (CATCH) Task Force.





to instruct both 4th and 5th grade students at various elementary schools in the City of Vista. The G.R.E.A.T. program is an evidence-based prevention curriculum whose mission is to prevent youth crime, violence, and gang involvement through positive interaction in the classroom between students and officers. The 4th grade curriculum is 6 weeks long and the 5th grade curriculum is 13 weeks long.

COMMUNITY WRAPAROUND PROGRAM

The Community Wraparound mentorship project is a collaborative effort between Pastor Jesus Sandoval, Probation, the San Diego Police Department, the faith-based community, Fred Finch, and other community members to target gang-affiliated youth. Many of the youth participants are assigned to Juvenile GSU caseloads. Meetings occur weekly at Pastor Sandoval's church in Mid-City, with the number of youth participants continuing to increase. A total of 15 participants were present at the last meeting, held in December 2015.

TASK FORCE OFFICERS

Several officers in the Special Operations Division partner with state, local and federal law enforcement on the following multi-agency task forces: the San Diego Regional Fugitive Task Force, the San Diego Violent

Crimes Task Force, the Narcotics Task Force, the East County Regional Gang Task Force, the Regional Auto Theft Task (RATT) Force, and the Computer and Technology Crimes Hi-Tech (CATCH) Task Force. These collaborations improved community safety through coordinated monitoring of offenders and a teamwork approach to sophisticated criminal investigations.

Fugitive Task Force

In 2015, the probation officer assigned to the Fugitive Task Force worked with partners to apprehend 98 felons wanted for charges including drug-dealing, assault, and murder.

Computer and Technology Crimes Hi-Tech Task Force

The CATCH task force probation officer is one of the most highly trained cell phone forensic investigators in the state. In 2015, this officer conducted 23 residential probation searches, including over 100 forensic searches, providing vital information and testimony in numerous court cases that helped to ensure felony convictions in state and federal court.

Narcotic Task Force

The Narcotics Task Force probation officer took part in 32 arrests of sophisticated drug dealers in 2015, helping to seize 60 pounds of methamphetamine, 13 pounds of cocaine, 19 pounds of heroin and 22 pounds of marijuana.

The RATT task force assisted in 25 arrests for automobile theft-related crimes in 2015. In addition to these arrests, they recovered several stolen vehicles, seized six illegally-possessioned firearms, 164 grams of methamphetamine, and 24 grams of heroin.

VCTF Seizures
7 federal arrests
3 outstanding fugitives
4 state arrests for possession
of methamphetamine
2 pounds of
methamphetamine seized
15 weapons, including
handguns, assault rifles, a
grenade launcher and 5
drum-style magazines
capable of holding more
than 50 rounds of
ammunition
5,000 rounds of ammunition
and a bullet-proof vest
Approximately \$17,000 in
cash seized

Violent Crimes Task Force (VCTF)

In October 2015, agents and officers from federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies simultaneously executed 10 federal search/arrest warrants throughout the County.

East County Regional Gang Task Force

Operation South Bay Connection was a two-year investigation by the East County Regional Gang Task Force targeting 7 criminal street gangs operating in South San Diego County. The investigation targeted low to mid-level gang members who were methamphetamine distributors. The operation resulted in 24 state arrest warrants.

Community Response Team

The Community Response Team (CRT) supports field operations such as curfew, truancy and warrant sweeps, and acts as inter-agency liaison to collaborate with local law enforcement on delinquency issues.

In 2015, CRT officers conducted nearly 600 juvenile residential searches and also partnered with state, local and federal law enforcement to

participate in 36 special operations. In addition, CRT responded to requests from fellow probation officers and families to safely bring over 200 wards in violation of probation back under supervision, providing swift and certain consequences. This work helped to hold offenders accountable and protect the community.

On May 22, 2015, CRT officers organized and participated in the Probation Department's first Fit Day Event at Dingemen Elementary School with over 700 student participants. The event was designed to stimulate interest in fitness as well as careers in public safety.

NARCOTICS DETECTION CANINES

Deputy Probation Officer Shaun Rex and his canine partner Beny are one of two narcotic detection teams assigned to the Probation Department. In 2015, DPO Rex and Beny conducted 30 narcotics searches, including searches at two detention facilities. Through their efforts, the team has taken dangerous drugs out of the community, ultimately aiding in the recovery process for offenders who relapse into drug use.

YOUTHFUL OFFENDER UNIT & COMMUNITY TRANSITION UNIT

The Youthful Offender Unit continues to supervise the highest risk youth at the local

Since CRT's inception, the number of AWOL youth has been 25% lower than in the past.



YOU Senior Probation Officer Jeff Webdell received the Blue Knight award from the San Diego Crime Commission. This was the result of an off duty incident in which he recovered a loaded firearm off the person of an agitated gang member while assisting with security detail at his church.





level. According to the most recent report for fiscal year 2015-16, the first quarter success rates were as follows: 96% did not recidivate six months post-termination from the program, 92% did not recidivate after 12 months, and 93% did not after 18 months. The Second Chance Program provides counseling to youth, most recently implementing a position to assist youth with job development.

HOME SUPERVISION

Home Supervision is an alternative to custody for youth who would otherwise be detained in Juvenile Hall. The youth are permitted to remain at home pending a court hearing, upon release from a juvenile institution, or as a graduated sanction from a probation program. Home Supervision officers conduct random unannounced compliance checks with the youth at locations authorized by the court or Probation. Contact may occur at, but is not limited to, home, school, employment, or in court ordered programs. Home Supervision officers supervised over 3,000 youth and made nearly 40,000 contacts during the year.

TRANSPORTATION UNIT

In 2015, the Transportation Unit increased the number of Adult Field Services prisoners they transported. This includes the transport of adult probationers released from local jails to treatment facilities or transitional housing, and those prisoners being released from the Segregated Housing Unit (SHU) of state prisons to the Community Resource Center. The Transportation Unit completed 1,237 transports, moving 3,718 individuals during 2015, which also includes juvenile transports to placements, court hearings and appointments.

PASSPORT TO LIFE

For the seventh year, the Passport to Life (PTL) was held in August 2015 on the campus of San Diego City College. PTL exposes youth to various workshops to assist in their success in the community and provides an opportunity to experience the college lifestyle. The targeted group of attendees consisted of juveniles and young adults on probation, with an overall attendance of over 800 probation-involved youth. Probation officers were there to assist with probation questions and issues that may arise, as well as to ensure a safe learning environment for all youth in attendance.

This annual event is put on through the collaborative efforts of the Juvenile Superior Court, the San Diego Probation Department, Juvenile Court, community schools, and City College.

ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION

ATD services include risk assessment; case advocacy; home detention; reporting centers; non-secure shelter; intensive case management; family services; disproportionate minority contact reduction.

Alternative to Detention (ATD) is a community based program that provides detention alternatives and case management services to low-risk juvenile offenders who do not require secure detention. Eligible youth include those who have committed a non-violent offense and/or are currently on Juvenile Probation and do not require detention in Juvenile Hall but cannot remain at home due to other reasons. Referrals can come from police, probation, and the juvenile court.

The ATD program was initially a three year grant-funded pilot program led by South Bay Community Services (SBCS) and SAY San Diego that provided services to youth in the south and central regions of San Diego County. In February 2015, Probation was awarded the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) which allowed the ATD program to continue providing services to youth in the south and central regions of San Diego while expanding services countywide under the direction of SBCS.

Between March 2015-December 2015, ATD served 202 youth, 19 of which included cool bed placements, and had a 96% success rate.

COMMUNITY YOUTH COURT

In April 2015, the San Diego Community Youth Court (CYC) program began. It is a community-based diversion program for youth participants referred by the San Diego Police Department and San Diego County Probation Department. If the youth participant meets all the necessary requirements, he or she will appear before a panel of volunteer community representatives who will serve as a liaison for intervention between the youth and the juvenile court system. The program will hold the youth accountable for their actions and through the educational component it is hoped they will have a better understanding of the impact of their choices. Furthermore, the youth are provided a second chance with no formal interaction with the Juvenile Court.

This program is a collaboration between the District Attorney's office, San Diego Police Department, San Diego Unified School District, police and community members from Southeast San Diego.

There have been 27 participants thus far and currently 12 have completed the program successfully.

TRUANCY SUPERVISION PROGRAM

The San Diego County Truancy Supervision Program (TSP) is a collaborative effort with the Juvenile Court, the District Attorney's Office, the Defense Attorney's Office, the Probation

Department, and the County Office of Education to address problems associated with school truancy. TSP provides intensive supervision and case management services for youth who were made wards of the court pursuant to WIC 601 due to non-criminal, truancy and out of control behavior. As part of TSP, Probation Officers provide intensive probation supervision, make referrals for truancy prevention and academic enhancement services, and assist in monitoring the juvenile's attendance through direct contact with the truant juvenile and his or her family. In addition, TSP Officers conduct in-service training, provide crisis intervention, work closely with collaborative partners, and provide alternatives to confinement. TSP Officers are mobile which allows them the flexibility to make home and school visits as needed. TSP Probation Officers meet weekly with the Truancy Diversion Court Judge, the District Attorney, the assigned Defense Attorney, and school authorities to present youth cases. Officers have referred youth to local community-based organizations to access programming that can assist them with substance abuse, family problems, teen pregnancy, and gang involvement.

The Truancy Supervision Program supervised 371 youth during the fiscal year. Remarkably, 93% of these youth had no new arrests and 98% of the youth did not sustain a new petition.

TRUANCY INTERVENTION PROGRAM

TIP Officers are assigned to schools within a specified school district who work closely with minors, their families and school officials to monitor regular school attendance as well as truancy prevention. They meet regularly with the students and review goals as well as objectives to assist them in being successful. Probation Officers, in collaboration with school authorities, provide assistance and counseling to encourage improvement in academic performance and a greater commitment to education.

This year TIP officers were expanded to La Mesa-Spring Valley, Lakeside, and Cajon Valley School Districts.

INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES

Institutional Services operates four juvenile facilities with a total operational bed capacity of 500. The Kearny Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility is the primary intake facility for juveniles in San Diego County and houses both male and female youth. The East Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility houses male youth and is the site of the Youthful Offender Unit (YOU). The Girls' Rehabilitation Facility is a female-only urban camp, and Camp Barrett is San Diego's only rural youth camp facility.

KEARNY MESA JUVENILE DETENTION FACILITY (KMJDF)

KMJDF is a secure facility which serves both male and female youth. Its primary function is to provide a safe and secure environment for youth up to the age of 21, pending court hearings for charged offenses or violations of probation.

On October 24th, nearly 150 students at San Diego SOAR Academy in the Kearny Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility released more than just energy. The Any Body Can Youth Foundation brought in three punching bags to the detention facility and gave students one minute to hit the bags as many times as they could. The effort was part of the 40,000 Punches from the Heart fundraiser organized

by the foundation, which provides after-school boxing programs for students in poor areas. The students were able to punch the bags more than 40,000 times. "I got all of my anger out on the bag," said a 17-year-old student. "I feel relaxed." "We're looking for them to get energy out in a positive way," said Supervising Probation Officer Matt McKnight. The foundation and its president, Billy Moore, have been working with students at the detention facility for six months, connecting with them through motivational speeches. Likewise, the students have been receptive to the program. Moore said he'd like to see their work replicated nationwide. "This is something that I truly believe can go across the whole country."

GIRLS' REHABILITATION FACILITY (GRF)

GRF is a medium-security, rehabilitative female juvenile camp that houses youth from 13 to 18 years of age. The primary objectives are the education and rehabilitation of youth by nurturing coping skills, increasing self-sufficiency, and incubating vocational and life skills.

GRF youth have participated in a wide variety of excursions and educational outings. The San Diego Office of Education/Juvenile Court and Community School's (JCCS) executive director recognized the youth and Probation staff for their participation at their All Hands Meeting. To a group of over 300 participants, the youth and staff shared about the ability of the GRF students to be deep thinkers, problem solvers, curious and proud learners, and effective leaders. Evident to everyone in the room was the officers' unwavering belief in our students to be successful, regardless of the decisions or circumstances that have brought them to



Dear Senior Probation Officer Kowalski,

I wanted to take a minute to tell you I have not forgotten you and the rest of the staff at GRF. I continue to appreciate the fruits of your labor in my daughter's successes, and the tremendously improved family dynamics I enjoy.


The good news is that my daughter is now a full time employee, (which is an accomplishment). She is doing really well - thanks in no small part to the influence you and a few other people had on her and our family.

I hope this note finds you well, and reminds you how worthwhile your work is, and that you have literally changed lives through your work.

Probation and JCCS. The following day, keynote speakers from the conference were taken to the Girls Rehabilitation Facility for a tour, where staff reiterated their beliefs about GRF students. Not only are their voices appreciated on behalf of our students, but the collective and on-going partnerships they help build are vital in providing opportunities for our adjudicated students.

The Growing Opportunities Garden has continued to flourish over the last year and now serves as a rich outdoor learning environment. The Growing Opportunities Garden is a 2,400 square foot organic fruit, vegetable, and flower garden. It serves as a rich outdoor learning environment for science

projects, gardening education, and horticultural therapy, as well as a place of visit and solace. The garden was established through a collaborative effort among community partners and was built by GRF youth, along with Probation staff and Master Gardener volunteers. This year the Growing Opportunities Garden received the National Association of Counties (NACO) Achievement Award in the category of Children and Youth, and the founding Master Gardner was given the Chief's Community Partner Award.



CAMP BARRETT

Camp Barrett operates in the rural East County community of Alpine, housing a maximum bed capacity of 135 youth. The three living dorms at Camp Barrett house 45 youth each. The camp manages four different commitment programs: The Breaking Cycles (BC) 56 Day Behavior Modification Program, The 28 Day Drug Court (DC) rehabilitation program, the BC/DC Phoenix House 84-day program and the Barrett 365 day program.

 **Billy Duke**
Deputy Chief Probation Officer
Institutional Services

 **Margie DeLeon**
Division Chief
East Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility

 **Alex Zermeno**
Division Chief
Kearny Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility

 **Chrystal Sweet**
Division Chief
Camp Barrett



The Breaking Cycles and Drug Court programs house youth 13 to 18 years of age who have been committed by the Juvenile Court to address delinquent behaviors and issues which led to court intervention. Youths are afforded the opportunity to address their substance abuse issues through a partnership with Phoenix House, a nationally acclaimed provider that operates a modified therapeutic community model. Youths receive educational

and programming services to address their individual needs.

The Steps to Success Program is based on points earned for positive behavior and accomplishments. There are five phases in the program. The youth must pass the five phases (Assessment, Change, Commitment, Accomplishment, and Transition) and complete

“My fishing trip experience was a great time. I’ve never gone fishing or been on a boat. When we got on the boat the view was beautiful. All my problems didn’t exist. I was at peace with myself. I realized little things like being on a boat could brighten a person’s life. It makes them a better person. Now I want to own a boat.”

For the past three years The San Diego County Office of Education (SDCOE) and Probation have partnered to offer youth an opportunity few have experienced “to catch the big one!” Every October, for the past three years, teacher Mark Leon has organized fishing events for the youth of Camp Barrett to attend. It is an incentive based event, rewarding youth who have worked hard in the Camp Barrett program. Youth who display active participation in programming and school, as well as have good behavior, are selected to set sail on a fishing excursion. Transdisciplinary collaboration by Probation and SDCOE is key for effectively influencing positive behavioral changes with the youth. Both probation and education staff escort the youth on these fishing trips, engaging with them throughout the experience and building positive relationships in the process.

Exposing youth to positive pro-social experiences allows them to experience other opportunities available to them in life. Programs such as this at Camp Barrett encourage the involvement in prosocial peer groups and build individual skills that encourage living a productive prosocial lifestyle.

task assignments to successfully complete the Camp Barrett Program and transition home and into the community. Through partnership with the San Diego County Office of Education and Viejas Fire Department, Camp Barrett operates to prepare these youth for employment and internship opportunities in the community upon release. All the youth who participate in the program gain knowledge about workforce industries such as construction, graphic arts, building and maintenance, horticulture, Culinary Arts, Automotive and Fire Science. The youth participate in performing physical labor, substance abuse treatment, school and a curriculum of courses designed to address rehabilitation, treatment and life-skill issues.

EAST MESA JUVENILE DETENTION FACILITY (EMJDF)

EMJDF is a secure facility which serves male youth. Its primary function is to provide a safe and secure environment for youth up to the age of 21. EMJDF offers specific programming tailored to meet individual needs such as anger management, chemical dependency, teen relationship violence, education, literacy, personal responsibility and art therapy.

Family engagement plays a critical role in assisting with the youth's success and resiliency. In its first full year, Skype has continued to show promising results as it enables youth to maintain positive relationships with their loved ones despite their situational challenges.

“ I love the Skype program and really appreciate it! I am a disabled veteran, and being able to see each other on Skype in addition to the regular phone calls really helps us to stay connected.

As the program continues, the benefits of family bonding continue to manifest. Some of the youth utilizing Skype have parents or guardians who live outside the county, state, or



even the country. In a very real way, this program helps the young men in a custodial setting see beyond the walls of the institution and allows them to see a different future apart from the facility.

The East Mesa Juvenile Detention Facility has a robust horticultural program, which consists of a lesson plan to include xeriscaping (landscaping and gardening that reduces or eliminates the need for supplemental water from irrigation), water conservation, general landscaping principles, healthy eating, irrigation and climate as it relates to gardening and harvesting different plants and plant groups. Classes include formal lecture, work with text books, and assigned tasks such as





installing and maintaining sprinkler systems, maintaining gardening equipment and harvesting vegetables and fruit including corn, tomatoes, lettuce, chili peppers, herbs, lemons, apples and carrots. The horticulture program is an intensive course which imparts career building.

“ It’s a cool program. It gets us out of the unit for a while. I like the salads. We learn how to conserve water and things we can do in our own gardens at home to conserve water. ”

PRISON RAPE ELIMINATION ACT

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) is a federal law that prohibits sexual misconduct in correctional settings such as prisons, jails, lockups, juvenile facilities, and Immigration Services/ICE detention facilities.

PREA standards require that agencies train all their employees who may have contact with residents on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment, on fulfilling their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, and on detection, reporting and response policies and procedures.



Correctional Deputy Probation Officer II Rita Garrity plays an integral role in her work with the PREA project. She developed a PREA interactive E-Blast training that covers all the PREA standards in 12 short training modules. Her E-Blast training was shared with the National PREA Group and PREA Coordinators from across the U.S. and is now

being recognized and used by correctional and community correction agencies nationwide. For our agency, she also developed a 2-hour PREA course that was combined with the necessary Basic Safety and Security training that all collaborative staff must attend before they are allowed to enter our juvenile facilities. As a result, on September 30, 2015, Rita Garrity received the Juvenile Justice Training Individual Achievement Award at a Conference in Pittsburg hosted by the National Council on Crime & Delinquency.



DEPARTMENT AWARDS

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES (NACo) ACHIEVEMENTS AWARDS

Mandatory Supervision Court

Regional Realignment Response Group (R3G)

EMPLOYEE AWARDS

CHIEF'S AWARDS OF EXCELLENCE

2014: Karyn Milligan, Lisa Sawin

2015: Charles Boright, Jennifer Effie, Karna Lau

EMPLOYEES OF THE YEAR

Administrative Services

2014: Lilibeth Lumbreras, Tralynn Riego de Dios

2015: Shermaine Abad, Kelsey Figge

Adult Field Services

2014: Sara Dowda, Cynthia Gamboa

2015: Nina Larson, Jennifer McIntyre

Institutional Services

2014: Vicky Antoine, Sultan Salih

2015: Barry Calabrese, Rodrigo "Roy" Sadiarin

Juvenile Field Services

2014: Chandra Evans, Monica Everett

2015: Casey Ryan, Ying Hong "Tiffany" Wang

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS MEMBER OF THE YEAR

Asian Islander Probation Association

2014: Vanna Tran

2015: Elena Lepule

San Diego County Probation Officers Association

2014: Cynthia Gamboa

2015: Jeff Webdell

Volunteers in Probation

2014: Anna Laudner

2015: Terry Griffin, Yvette Klepin

COMMUNITY AWARDS

San Diego Crime Commission—Blue Knight Award (2015)

Jeff Webdell

North San Diego Business Chamber—Hero Award (2015)

Nicholas O'Neal

Ernesto Zetino

NATIONAL AWARDS

National Council on Crime & Delinquency—Juvenile Justice Training Individual Achievement Award (2015)

Rita Garrity

CHIEF'S COMMUNITY PARTNER AWARDS (2015)

Alpha Project – Casa Raphael Treatment Center

McAlister Institute – Drug Addiction Treatment Centers

Training Center Ephesians 4 11-16 – Dennis Martinez & Michael Lazarus

PARTNERSHIPS

COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS

Cajon Valley School District	Phoenix House of San Diego
Center for Disease Control	Poway Unified School District
Children's Initiative	San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)
Correctional Alternatives, Inc.	San Diego State University Research Foundation
County Office of Education	San Diego Unified School District
Escondido Union School District	San Diego Youth Services
Grossmont Union School District	Second Chance/Strive
Health and Human Services Agency	Social Advocates for Youth
California Forensic Medical Group	South Bay Community Services
La Mesa/Spring Valley School District	Spectrum
McAlister Institute	STAR/PAL
Mental Health Systems, Inc.	United Through Reading
National Conflict Resolution Center	University of California, San Diego
North County Lifeline, Inc.	Vista Hill Foundation

THE DEPARTMENT PARTICIPATES IN MULTI-AGENCY OPERATIONS WITH:

Border Patrol
 San Diego Cities Police Departments
 San Diego District Attorney's Office
 Drug Enforcement Agency
 Federal Bureau of Investigation
 California Highway Patrol
 Homeland Security
 Immigration and Customs Enforcement
 Metropolitan Transit Authority
 California National Guard
 San Diego County Sheriff's Department
 California State Parole Department
 U.S. Coast Guard
 U.S. Department of Justice
 U.S. Marshal
 U.S. Navy
 U.S. Marines

2016 Probation Executive Leadership



Adolfo Gonzales
Chief Probation Officer



Yvette Klepin
Assistant Chief Probation Officer



Cesar Escuro
Executive Deputy Chief Probation Officer/
Adult Field Services



Debbie Patag
Chief of Administrative Services



Lorraine Fernandez
Deputy Chief Probation Officer
Juvenile Field Services



Dan Deleon
Deputy Chief Probation Officer
Institutional Services

This report was prepared by Business
Intelligence Analyst Shermaine Abad in
collaboration with:

Jennifer Effie
Lilibeth Lumbreras
Louie Musa
Sebastian Razo

Contributions from Administrative Services,
Adult Field Services, Juvenile Field Services
and Institutional Services

For 2015 department statistics, please
refer to the next section of this report

2015

ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

SAN DIEGO COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

ADOLFO GONZALES, CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER



This report was produced by the Research, Policy & Science Division of the San Diego County Probation Department. The data was provided by Business Intelligence Analyst Lilibeth Lumbreras and compiled by Research Analyst Lynn Carr. If you require information that is not included here, please contact Research, Policy and Science at PSG_Probation_RPS_Inquiries@sdcounty.ca.gov.

STATISTICAL DATA

FORMAL PROBATIONERS P. 43

PROBATIONERS BY GENDER
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF YEAR (2010-2015)
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)
 PROBATION POPULTION ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER (2015)
 PROBATIONERS BY SUPERVISION LEVEL
 PROBATIONERS BY AGE
 PROBATIONERS BY ETHNICITY
 PROBATIONERS BY REGION
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
 PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
 PROBATIONERS BY CRIME TYPE
 REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS BY STATIC 99 RISK LEVEL
 DUI OFFENDERS BY REGION AS OF 12/31/2015
 PROBATIONERS UNDER SUPERVISION—ENDING STATUS
 PROBATIONERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15
 PROBATIONER RECIDIVISM RATE FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15
 PROBATIONERS WHO TERMINATED PROBATION FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15

ADULT GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT P. 50

GSU PROBATIONERS BY GENDER
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF YEAR (2010-2015)
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)
 GSU PROBATIONERS BY AGE GROUP
 GSU PROBATIONERS BY ETHNICITY
 GSU PROBATIONERS BY REGION
 GSU PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
 GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
 GSU PROBATIONERS BY CRIME TYPE
 GANG-INVOLVED PROBATIONERS UNDER SUPERVISION BY REGION

STATISTICAL DATA

POST RELEASE OFFENDER (PRO) DIVISION P. 55

PRO OFFENDERS BY GENDER
PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2010-2015)
PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)
PRO OFFENDERS ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER (2015)
PRO OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY
PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION
PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
PRO OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE
PRO OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 2014-15
PRO OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 2014-15

PRO DIVISION-POST RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION (PRCS) OFFENDERS P. 60

PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY GENDER
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY REGION
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
PRCS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
PRCS OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE
PRCS OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 2014-15
PRCS OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 2014-15

PRO DIVISION-MANDATORY SUPERVISION (MS) OFFENDERS P. 64

MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY GENDER
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY REGION
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
MS OFFENDERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
MS OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE
MS OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 2014-15
MS OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 2014-15

STATISTICAL DATA

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES P. 68

WARDS AND NON-WARDS BY GENDER
 WARD POPULATION ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER 2010-2015
 YOUTH SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2010-15)
 YOUTH SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-15)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY GENDER (WARDS/ NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY REGION (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY AGE (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER (WARDS/NON-WARDS)
 NUMBER OF WARDS WHO LEFT PROBATION FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15
 NUMBER OF WARDS WHO RECIDATED FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15
 WARD RECIDIVISM RATE FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15
 JUVENILE REFERRALS BY MONTH
 JUVENILES REFERRED TO PROBATION BY ETHNICITY
 JUVENILES REFERRED TO PROBATION BY REGION
 JUVENILES REFERRED TO PROBATION BY CRIME TYPE
 TOP 10 AGENCIES REFERRING JUVENILES TO PROBATION
 OUTCOME OF REFERRALS
 JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED 2007-2015
 JUVENILE PETITIONS BY ETHNICITY
 JUVENILE PETITIONS BY REGION
 JUVENILE PETITIONS BY CRIME
 PETITIONS FOUND TRUE BY CRIME
 DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED

JUVENILE SPECIAL OPERATIONS P. 77

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY GENDER
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF YEAR (2010-2015)
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY AGE
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY REGION
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY SPECIALIZED PROGRAM
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS GANG STATISTICS
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER
 SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND SPECIALIZED PROGRAM

STATISTICAL DATA

INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES P. 82

INSTITUTIONAL POPULATION BY GENDER

AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ADA) BY MONTH (2015)

MAXIMUM AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

AVERAGE AGE BY FACILITY

YOUTH GENDER BY FACILITY

12/31/15 DETAINEES: ETHNICITY BY FACILITY

12/31/15 DETAINEES: HOME REGION BY FACILITY

12/31/15 DETAINEES: MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE BY FACILITY

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

FORMAL PROBATIONERS

Number of probationers supervised on December 13, 2015:

9,064

Number of probationers supervised in CY 2015:

15,672


Average age of all probationers:

35


Gender	Number of Probationers Supervised in 2015	Percent
Males 	12,033	77%
Females 	3,639	23%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – FORMAL PROBATION


PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2010-2015)



PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)



PROBATION POPULATION ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER (2015)



Starting in 2014, individuals were counted under their highest supervision type according to the following hierarchy: MS > PRCS > Formal Probation. This count represents a distinct individual count. Prior years' counts may have included counts of individuals with multiple grants of supervision.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – FORMAL PROBATION

PROBATIONERS BY SUPERVISION LEVEL

SUPERVISION LEVEL	GRAND TOTAL	
High	5,234	33%
Medium	3,224	21%
Low	6,478	41%
Prop 36	736	5%
Grand Total	15,672	100%

PROBATIONERS BY AGE

AGE GROUP	GRAND TOTAL	
18-24 Years	3,640	23%
25-34 Years	5,320	34%
35-44 Years	3,141	20%
Over 45 Years	3,571	23%
Grand Total	15,672	100%

PROBATIONERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	2,510	16%
Asian	567	4%
White	6,676	43%
Hispanic	5,399	34%
Other	520	3%
Grand Total	15,672	100%

PROBATIONERS BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	4,190	34%
East	2,188	18%
South	2,042	17%
North	3,823	31%
Grand Total	12,243	100%

*Out of County and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – FORMAL PROBATION

PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
African-American	1,007	24%	357	16%	227	11%	255	7%	1,846	15%
Asian	199	5%	45	2%	100	5%	141	4%	485	4%
White	1,643	39%	1,228	56%	448	22%	1,948	51%	5,267	43%
Hispanic	1,244	30%	462	21%	1,214	59%	1,303	34%	4,223	35%
Other	97	2%	96	4%	53	3%	176	5%	422	3%
Grand Total	4,190	100%	2,188	100%	2,042	100%	3,823	100%	12,243	100%

PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Central	1,205	37%	732	34%	1,999	33%	254	32%	4,190	34%
East	630	19%	391	18%	1,007	17%	160	20%	2,188	18%
South	490	15%	352	16%	1,056	18%	144	18%	2,042	17%
North	945	29%	662	31%	1,968	33%	248	31%	3,823	31%
Grand Total	3,270	100%	2,137	100%	6,030	100%	806	100%	12,243	100%

PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
18-24 Years	1,442	32%	900	33%	1,140	15%	158	16%	3,640	23%
25-34 Years	1,634	36%	914	34%	2,450	33%	322	32%	5,320	34%
35-44 Years	758	17%	446	17%	1,695	23%	242	24%	3,141	20%
Over 45 Years	719	16%	432	16%	2,126	29%	294	29%	3,571	23%
Grand Total	4,553	100%	2,692	100%	7,411	100%	1,016	100%	15,672	100%

*Out of County and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – FORMAL PROBATION

PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
African-American	1,052	23%	453	17%	875	12%	130	13%	2,510	16%
Asian	106	2%	99	4%	323	4%	39	4%	567	4%
White	1,695	37%	1,075	40%	3,400	46%	506	50%	6,676	43%
Hispanic	1,557	34%	981	36%	2,552	34%	309	30%	5,399	34%
Other	143	3%	84	3%	261	4%	32	3%	520	3%
Grand Total	4,553	100%	2,692	100%	7,411	100%	1,016	100%	15,672	100%

PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Male	3,679	81%	2,021	75%	5,612	76%	721	71%	12,033	77%
Female	874	19%	671	25%	1,799	24%	295	29%	3,639	23%
Grand Total	4,553	100%	2,692	100%	7,411	100%	1,016	100%	15,672	100%

PROBATIONERS BY CRIME TYPE


CRIME TYPE	GRAND TOTAL*	%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	5,013	36%
Crime Against Property	4,386	32%
Crime Against Person	3,852	28%
Other	574	4%
Weapons Offense	89	<1%
Grand Total	13,914	100%

*Data is not available for 1,758 probationers.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – FORMAL PROBATION

REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS BY STATIC 99 RISK LEVEL

STATIC 99 RISK LEVEL	GRAND TOTAL	
High	38	7%
Medium	121	22%
Low	388	71%
Grand Total	547	100%




DUI OFFENDERS BY REGION AS OF 12/31/2015

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	167	24%
East	96	14%
North	279	40%
South	107	15%
Transient/OOC	44	6%
Grand Total	693	100%


PROBATIONERS UNDER SUPERVISION — ENDING STATUS

ENDING STATUS	GRAND TOTAL	
Prop 47 Reduction	2,221	29%
Grant Expiration	2,085	27%
Grant Terminated	1,636	22%
Revoked to Prison	598	8%
Revoked to Summary Probation	527	7%
Revoked to Local Prison	492	6%
Deceased	64	1%
Grand Total	7,623	100%




ADULT FIELD SERVICES – FORMAL PROBATION


PROBATIONERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15



PROBATIONER RECIDIVISM RATE FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15



PROBATIONERS WHO TERMINATED PROBATION FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15



ADULT FIELD SERVICES

GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT

Number of GSU offenders supervised on December 13, 2015:

370

Number of GSU offenders supervised in CY 2015:

620


Average age of all GSU offenders:

26


Gender	Number of GSU Probationers Supervised in 2015	Percent
Males 	582	94%
Females 	38	6%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT

GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2010-2015)



GSU PROBATIONERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)



GSU PROBATIONERS BY AGE GROUP

AGE GROUP	GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage
17-23 years	89	18%
24-30 years	19	4%
31-37 years	339	69%
38-44 years	13	3%
Over 45 years	34	7%
Grand Total	494	100%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT

GSU PROBATIONERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	132	21%
Asian	20	3%
White	38	6%
Hispanic	416	67%
Other	14	2%
Grand Total	620	100%

GSU PROBATIONERS BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	178	36%
East	63	13%
South	87	17%
North	166	34%
Grand Total	494	100%

GSU PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL

RISK LEVEL	GRAND TOTAL	
High	415	69%
Medium	111	18%
Low	79	13%
Grand Total	605	100%

*Out of County and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT

GSU PROBATIONERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
African-American	55	31%	17	27%	13	15%	4	2%	89	18%
Asian	11	6%	0	0%	1	1%	7	4%	19	4%
White	7	4%	15	24%	5	6%	7	4%	34	7%
Hispanic	103	58%	29	46%	66	76%	141	85%	339	69%
Other	2	1%	2	3%	2	2%	7	4%	13	3%
Grand Total	178	100%	63	100%	87	100%	166	100%	494	100%

GSU PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Central	116	36%	35	38%	23	35%	4	29%	178	36%
East	44	14%	8	9%	8	12%	3	21%	63	13%
South	60	19%	13	14%	11	17%	3	21%	87	18%
North	103	32%	35	38%	24	36%	4	29%	166	34%
Grand Total	323	100%	91	100%	66	100%	14	100%	494	100%

GSU PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE GROUP

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
17-23 years	198	48%	63	57%	23	29%	5	33%	289	47%
24-30 years	128	31%	34	31%	40	51%	10	67%	212	34%
31-37 years	53	13%	9	8%	11	14%	0	0%	73	12%
38-44 years	29	7%	4	4%	4	5%	0	0%	37	6%
Over 45 years	7	2%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	9	1%
Grand Total	415	100%	111	100%	79	100%	15	100%	620	100%

*Out of County and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – GANG SUPPRESSION UNIT

GSU PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
African-American	86	21%	22	20%	22	28%	2	13%	132	21%
Asian	8	2%	7	6%	4	5%	1	7%	20	3%
White	23	6%	6	5%	9	11%	0	0%	38	6%
Hispanic	291	70%	72	65%	41	52%	12	80%	416	67%
Other	7	2%	4	4%	3	4%	0	0%	14	2%
Grand Total	415	100%	111	100%	79	100%	15	100%	620	100%

GSU PROBATIONERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Female	19	5%	8	7%	11	14%	0	0%	38	6%
Male	396	95%	103	93%	68	86%	15	100%	582	94%
Grand Total	415	100%	111	100%	79	100%	15	100%	620	100%

GSU PROBATIONERS BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME CATEGORY	GRAND TOTAL	Percentage
Crime Against Person	255	41%
Crime Against Property	156	25%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	74	12%
Other	125	20%
Weapons Offense	10	2%
Grand Total	620	100%

GANG-INVOLVED PROBATIONERS UNDER SUPERVISION BY REGION*

REGION	Supervised by Gang Unit	With Gang Registration Condition	Identified as Gang Member or Associate
Central	154	41	121
East	57	14	36
South	75	22	57
North	122	35	86
Grand Total	408	112	300

*Out of County and transient probationers not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST RELEASE OFFENDERS (PRO)

PRCS AND MS COMBINED

Number of PRO offenders supervised on December 13, 2015:

2,257

Number of PRO offenders supervised in CY 2015:

4,681


Average age of all PRO offenders:

39


Gender	Number of PRO Offenders Supervised in 2015	Percent
Males 	4,102	88%
Females 	579	12%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION


PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED ON LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2011-2015)



PRO OFFENDERS SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2011-2015)



PRO OFFENDERS ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER (2015)



The County of San Diego Probation Department began supervising PRO offenders in October 2011.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION

PRO OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	1,232	26%
Asian	135	3%
White	1,663	36%
Hispanic	1,514	32%
Other	137	3%
Grand Total	4,681	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	1,359	38%
East	667	19%
South	482	13%
North	1,078	30%
Grand Total	3,586	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	480	35%	178	27%	89	18%	145	13%	892	25%
Asian	57	4%	13	2%	13	3%	21	2%	104	3%
White	391	29%	318	48%	90	19%	478	44%	1,277	35%
Hispanic	406	30%	133	20%	282	59%	384	36%	1,205	34%
Other	25	2%	25	4%	8	2%	50	5%	108	3%
Grand Total	1,359	100%	667	100%	482	100%	1,078	100%	3,586	100%

*Out of County and transient offenders not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION

PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Central	925	38%	175	38%	223	39%	36	34%	1,359	38%
East	447	18%	87	19%	116	20%	17	16%	667	19%
South	311	13%	72	16%	87	15%	12	11%	482	13%
North	765	31%	124	27%	148	26%	41	39%	1,078	30%
Grand Total	2,448	100%	458	100%	574	100%	106	100%	3,586	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE

AGE	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
18-24 Years	296	9%	42	8%	53	8%	10	5%	401	9%
25-34 Years	1,108	34%	168	30%	162	24%	57	29%	1,495	32%
35-44 Years	875	27%	168	30%	188	28%	60	31%	1,291	28%
Over 45 Years	982	30%	181	32%	263	39%	68	35%	1,494	32%
Grand Total	3,261	100%	559	100%	666	100%	195	100%	4,681	100%

PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	939	29%	132	24%	115	17%	46	24%	1,232	26%
Asian	75	2%	16	3%	34	5%	10	5%	135	3%
White	1,104	34%	226	40%	270	41%	63	32%	1,663	36%
Hispanic	1,061	33%	162	29%	224	34%	67	34%	1,514	32%
Other	82	3%	23	4%	23	3%	9	5%	137	3%
Grand Total	3,261	100%	559	100%	666	100%	195	100%	4,681	100%

*Out of County and transient offenders not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION


PRO OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Male	2,924	90%	473	85%	530	80%	175	90%	4,102	88%
Female	337	10%	86	15%	136	20%	20	10%	579	12%
Grand Total	3,261	100%	559	100%	666	100%	195	100%	4,681	100%


PRO OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME TYPE	GRAND TOTAL	%
Crime Against Person	660	14%
Crime Against Property	1,245	27%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	1,482	32%
Weapons Offense	127	3%
Other	249	5%
Missing	918	20%
Grand Total	4,681	100%

PRO OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 2014-15



PRO OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 2014-15



ADULT FIELD SERVICES

POST RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION OFFENDERS (PRCS)

Number of PRCS offenders supervised on December 13, 2015:

1,723

Number of PRCS offenders supervised in CY 2015:

3,628

Average age of all PRCS offenders:

39

Gender	Number of PRCS Offenders Supervised in 2015	Percent
Males 	3,296	91%
Females 	332	9%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION (PRCS)

PRCS OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	1,016	28%
Asian	101	3%
White	1,229	34%
Hispanic	1,174	32%
Other	108	3%
Grand Total	3,628	100%

PRCS OFFENDERS BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	1,043	38%
East	535	20%
South	350	13%
North	782	29%
Grand Total	2,710	100%

PRCS OFFENDERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	395	38%	152	28%	65	19%	101	13%	713	26%
Asian	43	4%	10	2%	8	2%	14	2%	75	3%
White	286	27%	253	47%	59	17%	328	42%	926	34%
Hispanic	302	29%	103	19%	211	60%	297	38%	913	34%
Other	17	2%	17	3%	7	2%	42	5%	83	3%
Grand Total	1,043	100%	535	100%	350	100%	782	100%	2,710	100%

PRCS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Central	751	39%	122	39%	135	38%	35	36%	1,043	38%
East	373	19%	62	20%	85	24%	15	15%	535	20%
South	248	13%	46	15%	45	13%	11	11%	350	13%
North	571	29%	83	27%	92	26%	36	37%	782	29%
Grand Total	1,943	100%	313	100%	357	100%	97	100%	2,710	100%

*Out of County and transient offenders not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION (PRCS)

PRCS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
18-24 Years	240	9%	27	7%	23	6%	10	5%	300	8%
25-34 Years	895	34%	120	32%	100	24%	52	28%	1,167	32%
35-44 Years	717	27%	117	31%	126	30%	57	31%	1,017	28%
Over 45 Years	799	30%	115	30%	165	40%	65	35%	1,144	32%
Grand Total	2,651	100%	379	100%	414	100%	184	100%	3,628	100%

PRCS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
African-American	778	29%	100	26%	93	22%	45	24%	1,016	28%
Asian	61	2%	10	3%	21	5%	9	5%	101	3%
White	867	33%	142	37%	160	39%	60	33%	1,229	34%
Hispanic	877	33%	111	29%	124	30%	62	34%	1,174	32%
Other	68	3%	16	4%	16	4%	8	4%	108	3%
Grand Total	2,651	100%	379	100%	414	100%	184	100%	3,628	100%

PRCS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Male	2,448	92%	336	89%	346	84%	166	90%	3,296	91%
Female	203	8%	43	11%	68	16%	18	10%	332	9%
Grand Total	2,651	100%	379	100%	414	100%	184	100%	3,628	100%


ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION (PRCS)

PRCS OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE


CRIME TYPE	GRAND TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	628	17%
Crime Against Property	892	25%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	924	25%
Weapons Offense	121	3%
Other	242	7%
Missing	821	23%
Grand Total	3,628	100%



PRCS OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 2014-15



PRCS OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 2014-15



ADULT FIELD SERVICES

MANDATORY SUPERVISION OFFENDERS (MS)

Number of MS offenders supervised on December 13, 2015:

504

Number of MS offenders supervised in CY 2015:

1,053

Average age of all MS offenders:

39

Gender	Number of MS Offenders Supervised in 2015	Percent
Males 	806	77%
Females 	247	23%

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION (MS)

MS OFFENDERS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	216	21%
Asian	34	3%
White	434	41%
Hispanic	340	32%
Other	29	3%
Grand Total	1,053	100%

MS OFFENDERS BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	316	36%
East	132	15%
South	132	15%
North	296	34%
Grand Total	876	100%

MS OFFENDERS BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	85	27%	26	20%	24	18%	44	15%	179	20%
Asian	14	4%	3	2%	5	4%	7	2%	29	3%
White	105	33%	65	49%	31	23%	150	51%	351	40%
Hispanic	104	33%	30	23%	71	54%	87	29%	292	33%
Other	8	3%	8	6%	1	1%	8	3%	25	3%
Grand Total	316	100%	132	100%	132	100%	296	100%	876	100%

MS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Central	174	34%	53	37%	88	41%	1	11%	316	36%
East	74	15%	25	17%	31	14%	2	22%	132	15%
South	63	12%	26	18%	42	19%	1	11%	132	15%
North	194	38%	41	28%	56	26%	5	56%	296	34%
Grand Total	505	100%	145	100%	217	100%	9	100%	876	100%

*Out of County and transient offenders not associated with a specific region are not included.

ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION (MS)

MS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
18-24 Years	56	9%	15	8%	30	12%	0	0%	101	10%
25-34 Years	213	35%	48	27%	62	25%	5	45%	328	31%
35-44 Years	158	26%	51	28%	62	25%	3	27%	274	26%
Over 45 Years	183	30%	66	37%	98	39%	3	27%	350	33%
Grand Total	610	100%	180	100%	252	100%	11	100%	1,053	100%

MS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Male	476	78%	137	76%	184	73%	9	82%	806	77%
Female	134	22%	43	24%	68	27%	2	18%	247	23%
Grand Total	610	100%	180	100%	252	100%	11	100%	1,053	100%


MS OFFENDERS BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
African-American	161	26%	32	18%	22	9%	1	9%	216	21%
Asian	14	2%	6	3%	13	5%	1	9%	34	3%
White	237	39%	84	47%	110	44%	3	27%	434	41%
Hispanic	184	30%	51	28%	100	40%	5	45%	340	32%
Other	14	2%	7	4%	7	3%	1	9%	29	3%
Grand Total	610	100%	180	100%	252	100%	11	100%	1,053	100%


ADULT FIELD SERVICES – PRO DIVISION (MS)

MS OFFENDERS BY CRIME TYPE


CRIME TYPE	GRAND TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	32	3%
Crime Against Property	353	34%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	558	53%
Weapons Offense	6	1%
Other	7	1%
Missing	97	9%
Grand Total	1,053	100%



MS OFFENDERS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 2014-15



MS OFFENDERS RECIDIVISM RATE FY 2014-15



JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

Number of Wards supervised on December 13, 2015:

1,891

Number of Wards supervised in CY 2015:

3,293

Number of Non-Wards supervised on December 13, 2015:

294


Number of Non-Wards supervised in CY 2015:

836


Gender	Number of Wards and Non-Wards Supervised in 2015	Percent
Males 	3,135	76%
Females 	994	24%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SUPERVISION


WARD POPULATION ON THE LAST DAY OF EACH QUARTER (2010-2015)



YOUTH SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2010-2015)



YOUTH SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)



JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY GENDER (WARDS)

GENDER	GRAND TOTAL
Male	2,585 78%
Female	708 22%
Grand Total	3,293 100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY GENDER (NON-WARDS)

GENDER	GRAND TOTAL
Male	550 66%
Female	286 34%
Grand Total	836 100%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SUPERVISION

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY REGION (WARDS)*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	934	31%
East	535	18%
South	453	15%
North	1,057	36%
Grand Total	2,979	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY REGION (NON-WARDS)*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	162	21%
East	163	21%
South	168	21%
North	291	37%
Grand Total	784	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY(WARDS)

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	606	19%
Asian	78	2%
White	636	19%
Hispanic	1,854	56%
Other	119	4%
Grand Total	3,293	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY(NON-WARDS)

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	86	10%
Asian	25	3%
White	275	33%
Hispanic	409	49%
Other	41	5%
Grand Total	836	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY AGE (WARDS)

AGE GROUP	GRAND TOTAL	
Under 15 years	156	5%
15-16 years	835	25%
17-18 years	1,701	52%
Over 18 years	601	18%
Grand Total	3,293	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY AGE (NON-WARDS)

AGE GROUP	GRAND TOTAL	
Under 15 years	90	11%
15-16 years	298	36%
17-18 years	352	42%
Over 18 years	96	11%
Grand Total	836	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL (WARDS)

ASSESSED RISK LEVEL	GRAND TOTAL	
High	2,385	72%
Medium	730	22%
Low	147	5%
Not Assessed	31	1%
Grand Total	3,293	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL (NON-WARDS)

ASSESSED RISK LEVEL	GRAND TOTAL	
High	123	15%
Medium	125	15%
Low	11	1%
Not Assessed	577	69%
Grand Total	836	100%

*Out of County and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SUPERVISION

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY – WARDS*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
African-American	255	27%	131	24%	68	15%	78	7%	532	18%
Asian	21	2%	4	1%	21	5%	22	2%	68	2%
White	142	15%	165	31%	43	9%	229	22%	579	19%
Hispanic	496	53%	203	38%	308	68%	692	65%	1,699	57%
Other	20	2%	32	6%	13	3%	36	3%	101	3%
Grand Total	934	100%	535	100%	453	100%	1,057	100%	2,979	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY – NON-WARDS*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
African-American	27	17%	24	15%	15	9%	14	5%	80	10%
Asian	5	3%	5	3%	5	3%	9	3%	24	3%
White	37	23%	66	40%	3	20%	113	39%	249	32%
Hispanic	86	53%	60	37%	110	65%	137	47%	393	50%
Other	7	4%	8	5%	5	3%	18	6%	38	5%
Grand Total	162	100%	163	100%	168	100%	291	100%	784	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION – WARDS*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Central	684	32%	211	31%	32	24%	7	32%	934	31%
East	380	18%	132	19%	19	14%	4	18%	535	18%
South	328	15%	95	14%	25	18%	5	23%	453	15%
North	751	35%	241	36%	59	44%	6	27%	1,057	36%
Grand Total	2,143	100%	679	100%	135	100%	22	100%	2,979	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION – NON-WARDS*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Central	14	11%	17	14%	4	37%	127	24%	162	21%
East	34	29%	32	26%	3	27%	94	18%	163	21%
South	34	29%	36	30%	2	18%	96	18%	168	21%
North	37	31%	36	30%	2	18%	216	40%	291	37%
Grand Total	119	100%	121	100%	11	100%	533	100%	784	100%

*Out of County and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SUPERVISION

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE – WARDS

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Under 15 years	87	4%	53	7%	15	10%	1	3%	156	5%
15-16 years	592	25%	179	25%	54	37%	10	32%	835	25%
17-18 years	1,237	52%	392	54%	62	42%	10	32%	1,701	52%
Over 18 years	469	20%	106	15%	16	11%	10	32%	601	18%
Grand Total	2,385	100%	730	100%	147	100%	31	100%	3,293	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE – NON-WARDS

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Under 15 years	19	15%	19	15%	1	9%	51	9%	90	11%
15-16 years	64	52%	60	48%	7	64%	167	29%	298	36%
17-18 years	36	29%	38	30%	3	27%	275	48%	352	42%
Over 18 years	4	3%	8	6%	0	0%	84	15%	96	11%
Grand Total	123	100%	125	100%	11	100%	577	100%	836	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY – WARDS

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	453	19%	122	17%	26	18%	5	16%	606	18%
Asian	53	2%	19	3%	6	4%	0	0%	78	2%
White	390	16%	192	26%	42	29%	12	39%	636	19%
Hispanic	1,404	59%	371	51%	69	47%	10	32%	1,854	56%
Other	85	4%	26	4%	4	3%	4	13%	119	4%
Grand Total	2,385	100%	730	100%	147	100%	31	100%	3,293	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY – NON-WARDS

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	12	10%	12	10%	3	27%	59	10%	86	10%
Asian	2	2%	2	2%	1	9%	20	3%	25	3%
White	32	26%	23	18%	2	18%	218	38%	275	33%
Hispanic	72	59%	84	67%	5	45%	248	43%	409	49%
Other	5	4%	4	3%	0	0%	32	6%	41	5%
Grand Total	123	100%	125	100%	11	100%	577	100%	836	100%

JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER – WARDS


GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Male	1,892	79%	554	76%	115	78%	24	77%	2,585	78%
Female	493	21%	176	24%	32	22%	7	23%	708	22%
Grand Total	2,385	100%	730	100%	147	100%	31	100%	3,293	100%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SUPERVISION


JUVENILES SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER – NON-WARDS

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
Male	77	63%	69	55%	4	36%	400	69%	550	66%
Female	46	37%	56	45%	7	64%	177	31%	286	34%
Grand Total	123	100%	125	100%	11	100%	577	100%	836	100%


NUMBER OF WARDS WHO LEFT PROBATION FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15



NUMBER OF WARDS WHO RECIDIVATED FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15



WARD RECIDIVISM RATE FY 10-11 TO FY 14-15



JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – REFERRALS

JUVENILE REFERRALS BY MONTH (2015)



JUVENILES REFERRED TO PROBATION BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	607	15%
Asian	106	3%
White	981	24%
Hispanic	1,964	49%
Other	350	9%
Grand Total	4,008	100%

JUVENILES REFERRED TO PROBATION BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	1,050	29%
East	630	18%
South	584	16%
North	1,312	37%
Grand Total	3,576	100%

JUVENILES REFERRED TO PROBATION BY CRIME TYPE

CRIME CATEGORY	GRAND TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	1,212	30%
Weapons Offense	35	1%
Crime Against Property	886	22%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	363	9%
Status Offense	663	17%
Other	846	21%
Grand Total	4,005	100%

*Out of County and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – REFERRALS

TOP 10 AGENCIES REFERRING JUVENILES TO PROBATION

REFERRAL SOURCE	GRAND TOTAL
San Diego Police Department	1,519
San Diego Sheriff's Department	1,350
Escondido Police Department	321
Oceanside Police Department	294
Chula Vista Police Department	283
San Diego Unified School District Police Department	243
School Attendance Review Board	217
El Cajon Police Department	179
Carlsbad Police Department	119
National City Police Department	109


OUTCOME OF REFERRALS

OUTCOME	GRAND TOTAL	
Counsel/Close	1,307	28%
DA/Court Action	3,013	64%
Dismissed	39	1%
Diverted	1	<1%
Other	345	7%
Grand Total	4,705	100%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – PETITIONS

PETITIONS FILED IN 2015: 2,052 INDIVIDUALS SUBJECT OF PETITION IN 2015: 2,141

JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED 2007 - 2015



JUVENILE PETITIONS BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
African-American	364	17%
Asian	44	2%
White	400	19%
Hispanic	1,069	50%
Other	264	12%
Grand Total	2,141	100%

JUVENILE PETITIONS BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
Central	550	31%
East	306	18%
South	288	16%
North	613	35%
Grand Total	1,757	100%

JUVENILE PETITIONS BY CRIME

CRIME CATEGORY	GRAND TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	1,016	50%
Weapons Offense	41	2%
Crime Against Property	583	28%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	318	16%
Status Offense	50	2%
Other	44	2%
Grand Total	2,052	100%

PETITIONS FOUND TRUE BY CRIME

CRIME CATEGORY	GRAND TOTAL	
Crime Against Person	649	51%
Weapons Offense	28	2%
Crime Against Property	361	29%
Drug/Alcohol Offense	178	14%
Status Offense	24	2%
Other	28	2%
Grand Total	1,268	100%

DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE PETITIONS FILED

DISPOSITION	GRAND TOTAL	
Admitted/Found True	1,268	65%
Dismissed	670	35%
Grand Total	1,938	100%

*Out of County and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES

JUVENILE SPECIAL OPERATIONS

Special Operations Wards supervised on December 13, 2015:

322

Special Operations Wards supervised in CY 2015:

538

Average age of Wards supervised by Special Operations:

17


Gender	Number of Youth Supervised by Special Operations in 2015	Percent
Males 	495	92%
Females 	43	8%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SPECIAL OPERATIONS

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED THROUGHOUT THE YEAR (2010-2015)



SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED ON THE LAST DAY (12/31) OF THE YEAR (2010-2015)



SPECIAL OPERATIONS WARDS SUPERVISED BY ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage
AFRICAN-AMERICAN	107	20%
ASIAN	23	4%
WHITE	24	5%
HISPANIC	372	69%
OTHER	12	2%
GRAND TOTAL	538	100%

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SPECIAL OPERATIONS

SPECIAL OPERATIONS WARDS SUPERVISED BY AGE

AGE GROUP	GRAND TOTAL	
UNDER 15 YEARS	45	8%
15-16 YEARS	183	34%
17-18 YEARS	255	47%
OVER 18 YEARS	55	10%
GRAND TOTAL	538	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS WARDS SUPERVISED BY REGION*

REGION	GRAND TOTAL	
CENTRAL	137	28%
EAST	65	13%
SOUTH	59	12%
NORTH	232	47%
GRAND TOTAL	493	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS WARDS SUPERVISED BY SPECIALIZED PROGRAM

UNIT	GRAND TOTAL	
CTU	11	4%
GANG	236	73%
YOU	75	23%
GRAND TOTAL	322	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS GANG STATISTICS

REGION	SUPERVISED BY GANG	GANG REGISTRATION	GANG AFFILIATION
CENTRAL	59	16	34
EAST	20	7	13
SOUTH	28	12	16
NORTH	117	55	59
GRAND TOTAL	224	90	122

*Out of County and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SPECIAL OPERATIONS

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY REGION AND ETHNICITY*

ETHNICITY	CENTRAL		EAST		SOUTH		NORTH		GRAND TOTAL	
AFRICAN-AMERICAN	49	36%	18	28%	10	17%	11	5%	88	18%
ASIAN	4	3%	2	3%	2	3%	13	6%	21	4%
WHITE	1	<1%	8	12%	3	5%	11	5%	23	5%
HISPANIC	82	60%	31	48%	44	75%	193	83%	350	71%
OTHER	1	<1%	6	9%	0	0%	4	1%	11	2%
GRAND TOTAL	137	100%	65	100%	59	100%	232	100%	493	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND REGION*

REGION	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
CENTRAL	133	29%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	137	28%
EAST	59	13%	4	15%	0	0%	2	33%	65	13%
SOUTH	50	11%	6	23%	1	100%	2	33%	59	12%
NORTH	218	47%	12	47%	0	0%	2	34%	232	47%
GRAND TOTAL	460	100%	26	100%	1	100%	6	100%	493	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND AGE

AGE GROUP	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
UNDER 15 YEARS	40	8%	4	15%	0	0%	1	13%	45	8%
15-16 YEARS	174	35%	7	26%	1	100%	1	13%	183	34%
17-18 YEARS	238	47%	14	52%	0	0%	3	38%	255	47%
OVER 18 YEARS	50	10%	2	7%	0	0%	3	38%	55	10%
GRAND TOTAL	502	100%	27	100%	1	100%	8	100%	538	100%

*Out of County and transient youth not associated with a specific region are not included.

JUVENILE FIELD SERVICES – SPECIAL OPERATIONS

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND ETHNICITY

ETHNICITY	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
AFRICAN-AMERICAN	98	20%	7	26%	0	0%	2	25%	107	20%
ASIAN	20	4%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	23	4%
WHITE	15	3%	4	15%	1	100%	4	50%	24	4%
HISPANIC	357	71%	13	48%	0	0%	2	25%	372	69%
OTHER	12	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	2%
GRAND TOTAL	502	100%	27	100%	1	100%	8	100%	538	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND GENDER

GENDER	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
MALE	465	93%	21	78%	1	100%	8	100%	495	92%
FEMALE	37	7%	6	22%	0	0%	0	0%	43	8%
GRAND TOTAL	502	100%	27	100%	1	100%	8	100%	538	100%

SPECIAL OPERATIONS YOUTH SUPERVISED BY RISK LEVEL AND SPECIALIZED PROGRAM

PROGRAM	HIGH		MEDIUM		LOW		NOT ASSESSED		GRAND TOTAL	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
CTU	8	3%	0	0%	0	0	3	75%	11	3%
GANG	219	73%	16	89%	0	0	1	25%	236	73%
YOU	73	24%	2	11%	0	0	0	0%	75	23%
GRAND TOTAL	300	100%	18	100%	0	0	4	100%	322	100%

JUVENILE INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES

Number of bookings throughout 2015:

3,695

Number of youth in an institution on 12/31/2015:

397

Average Length of Stay (KMJDF):

16 days

Detainees booked and released within 72 hours:

516

Gender	Number of Youth on 12/31/15	Percent
Males 	335	84%
Females 	62	16%

JUVENILE INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES

AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE (ADA) BY MONTH (2015)

MONTH	KMJDF	EMJDF	JRF*	CB	GRF
JANUARY	153	134	46	61	25
FEBRUARY	174	165	36	61	25
MARCH	172	159	37	61	20
APRIL	159	152	38	59	24
MAY	158	140	44	60	25
JUNE	144	136	43	61	24
JULY	152	138	39	61	25
AUGUST	165	154	28	83	24
SEPTEMBER	148	148	N/A	97	24
OCTOBER	155	144	N/A	110	24
NOVEMBER	153	174	N/A	87	24
DECEMBER	154	173	N/A	80	23
ADA YEAR	157	152	39	73	24

MAXIMUM AND AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

FACILITY	MAXIMUM LENGTH OF STAY	AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY
EMJDF	612	39
KMJDF	759	16
CB	283	105
GRF	119	48
JRF	106	47

AVERAGE AGE BY FACILITY

FACILITY	AVERAGE AGE
KMJDF	16
EMJDF	16
GRF	15
CB	16

*The Juvenile Ranch Facility (JRF) was closed in August 2015.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO PROBATION DEPARTMENT

PROBATION ADMINISTRATION CENTER

9444 BALBOA AVE SUITE 500

SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

PHONE: (858) 514-3148

FAX: (858) 514-3232

sandiegocounty.gov/probation