

**REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH  
ORDINANCES/POLICIES**

**FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF  
LANG MINOR RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, TPM 20975RPL<sup>2</sup>**

**February 29, 2008**

**I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE** – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

**II. MSCP/BMO** - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

A minor amendment request has been made to the Wildlife Agencies dated March 6, 2008. MSCP findings have been prepared and the project conforms with the BMO.

**III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Olivenhain Municipal Water District. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

**IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604[a] and [b]) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604[c] and [d]) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604[e])?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604[f]) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604[g]) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

## Discussion:

***Wetland and Wetland Buffers:*** The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydrophytic plants, nor is an ephemeral or perennial stream present.

***Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:*** The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO), nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

***Steep Slopes:*** The average slope for the property is 13.5 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

***Sensitive Habitats:*** Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. The sensitive habitat that supports sensitive plant species will be preserved within an onsite biological open space easement. This easement will be considered avoidance for mitigation purposes and the entire site will be mitigated through the purchase of off-site habitat in a County approved bank or other County approved lands within the MSCP. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

**Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:** The County of San Diego staff archaeologist Gail Wright on January 26, 2006 has inspected the property, analyzed records, and determined there are no archaeological or historical sites within the project area.

**V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)** - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE

The Storm Water Management Plan by Nolte Associates dated March 2007 by is complete and adequate for CEQA purposes.

**VI. NOISE ORDINANCE** – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

ND03-08\0508028-ORDCHKLST;jcr