

**REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH
ORDINANCES/POLICIES**

**FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF
AD08-019, LaChapelle Oversized Barn and Arenas**

October 30, 2008

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any off-site improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.

III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

As identified within Section 67.722B of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance, it has been determined that groundwater resources are adequate to meet the

groundwater demands both of the project and the groundwater basin if the basin were developed to the maximum density and intensity permitted by the General Plan.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The proposed project is not located within a FEMA Flood Area, nor County Flood Plain area and Floodway. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by County Staff on May 29, 2008. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist Laura S. White on July 13 and August 5, 2004, and it has been determined there are three archaeological sites within the project area. Testing and other investigation determined the archaeological/historical sites do not meet the definition of significant site. They do not need to be preserved under the Resource Protection Ordinance. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(g) of the RPO.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE

The proposed project is an Administrative Permit for Oversized Barns and Arenas and it does not required stormwater management review pursuant to Section 67.804(g)(1) of the WPO. Therefore, the WPO is not applicable to the proposed project.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations. Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) 60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport.