

**REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH  
ORDINANCES/POLICIES**

**FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF  
"F" Street Major Subdivision (10 Lots), Tentative Map, TM 5537**

**January 22, 2009**

COMMENT TO STAFF: The Project Manager must ensure that all applicable environmental ordinances are complied with to the extent that these ordinances apply to the project.

**I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE** – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES                      NO                      NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  
                                           

Discussion:

While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any off-site improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

**II. MSCP/BMO** - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES                      NO                      NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  
                                           

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.

**III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES                      NO                      NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT  
                                           

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Ramona Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

**IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

Discussion:

***Wetland and Wetland Buffers:***

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

***Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:***

The project is not located near any floodway/floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it located near any watercourse which is plotted on any official County floodway/floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

***Steep Slopes:***

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

***Sensitive Habitats:***

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Mark Slovick on May 7, 2007. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

***Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:***

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright on August 17, 2007, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any significant historic or archaeological resources.

**V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)** - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES                      NO                      NOT APPLICABLE  
                                           

Discussion:

DPW staff has reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP), Preliminary Drainage Study, and Preliminary Grading Plan prepared by Snipes-Dye Associates. Previous comments have been addressed. The document is substantially complete and complies with the San Diego County Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) and Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO) requirements for a SWMP.

**VI. NOISE ORDINANCE** – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES                      NO                      NOT APPLICABLE  
                                           

Discussion:

Even though the proposal could expose people to potentially significant noise levels (i.e., in excess of the County General Plan or Noise Ordinance), the following noise mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the noise impacts to applicable limits:

Project consists of the subdivision of a 2.06 acre lot into 10 individual lots. Based on the noise report, the location of the 60 dBA CNEL contour includes Lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10. Staff recommends a Noise Protection Easement over a strip of land 183-feet from the centerline of Third Street encompassing the noise affected lots. Outdoor noise sensitive areas require a 4-foot high sound barrier on the portion of the project site adjacent to Third Street. Future traffic unmitigated noise impacts will be as high as 60 dBA CNEL at Lot 7 exceeding Ramona’s Community Plan Noise Guidelines requirement of 55 dBA CNEL. Sound barrier mitigation will reduce noise levels to 53 dBA CNEL at this lot. The 4 foot high sound barrier will be approximately 330 feet long and shall run along the proposed top of slope of the northeastern end of the proposed

pad. For sound wall details and location refer to Figure 7 and Section: Future Traffic Noise Impacts in the Noise Study report prepared by ISE. Implementation of the recommended mitigation sound barrier and the noise protection easement will ensure the proposed 10 lot subdivision will comply with Ramona Community Plan Noise Guidelines, County of San Diego Noise Element, 4b and County Noise Ordinance.