

**REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH
ORDINANCES/POLICIES**

**FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF
FAABORG TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP, GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT AND
REZONE; TPM 21056, GPA 07-005, R 07-001, ER 07-09-002**

June 26, 2008

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

While the proposed project and off-site improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any off-site improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.

II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?

YES

NO

NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.

III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

The project will obtain its water supply from the Ramona Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT <input type="checkbox"/>

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located adjacent to any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it adjacent to a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than ten percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection

Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Jarrett Ramaiya on May 14, 2007. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs, and a field survey by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources.

V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO) - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

DPW staff has reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan prepared by Robert Faaborg, project applicant. The document is substantially complete and complies with the San Diego County Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) and Watershed Protection Ordinance (WPO) requirements for a Stormwater Management Plan.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

Even though the proposal could expose people to potentially significant noise levels (i.e., in excess of the County General Plan or Noise Ordinance), the following noise mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the noise impacts to applicable limits:

On the Final Parcel Map, grant to the County of San Diego a perpetual Noise Protection Easement, as shown on Tentative Parcel Map 21056. The easement shall be placed over a strip of land 164 feet from the centerline of Cole Grade Road on Parcel 1 and Parcel 2. The easement is for the mitigation of present and anticipated future excess noise levels on residential uses of the affected Parcels.

Said Noise Protection easement requires that before the issuance of any building or grading permit for any residential use within the noise protection easement located within 164 feet from the Cole Grad Road centerline, the applicant shall:

1. Complete to the satisfaction of the Director of the Department of Planning and Land Use, an acoustical analysis performed by a County certified acoustical engineer, demonstrating that the present and anticipated future noise levels for the interior and exterior of the residential dwelling will not exceed the allowable sound level limit of the Noise Element of the San Diego County General Plan [exterior (60 dB CNEL), interior (45 dB CNEL)]. Future traffic noise level estimates for Pine Street shall use a traffic flow equivalent to a Level of Service "C" traffic flow for a Rural Collector Road that is the designated General Plan Circulation Element buildout roadway classification.
2. Incorporate to the satisfaction of the Director of the Department of Planning and Land Use all of the recommendations or mitigation measures of the acoustical analysis into the project design and building plans.