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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of this report
The purpose of this report is to assess potential visual impacts associated with the proposed Viejas Hotel project, to determine the significance of such impacts, and to propose measures to mitigate any identified significant impacts.

1.2 Key Issues
Key issues addressed include:

- the area from which the proposed hotel may be visible (viewshed);
- the presence of any documented sensitive viewpoints within the viewshed;
- the visibility of the proposed project from such sensitive viewpoints;
- visual character and visual quality of the existing view from sensitive viewpoints from which the hotel would be visible;
- anticipated viewer response to views from which the hotel would be visible;
- anticipated changes in visual character and quality from visible viewpoints;
- anticipated viewer response to visible changes in visual character and quality.

1.3 Principal Viewpoints to be Covered
As described in Chapter 3 of this report, although the area within which the proposed hotel could be viewed is quite large, there are only a few public roads or trails identified as visually sensitive within that area, including Interstate-8 and, possibly, the California Riding and Hiking Trail that follows Viejas Grade Road east from the Reservation toward Descanso. [Pers. communication, Maryanne Vancio, County of San Diego, Nov. 4, 2011]. Specific viewpoints from which the hotel would be visible are identified in Chapter 3.

1.4 Visual Analytic Approach
The approach used in this analysis is consistent with visual concepts outlined in visual analytic guidance from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (1978), the U.S. Forest Service (1979), the Federal Highway Administration (1988), and the California Public Utilities Commission (2004). This report follows topic headings identified by the County of San Diego in their Report Format and Content Requirements: Visual Resources, July 30, 2007.
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Proposed Project

The regional map showing the project location is provided in Figure 1, while Figure 2 shows the project location relative to an aerial photo of Viejas Reservation and vicinity. The proposed hotel would be five stories, or approximately 65 feet in height, or less, comprising approximately 85,000 SF, located immediately adjacent to the existing casino of 325,000 SF. The proposed project site plan and hotel “footprint” is shown in Figure 3. In addition, as shown in Figure 3, the proposed hotel would be part of a larger commercial complex that includes the Viejas Outlet Center south of the Casino, south of Willows Road. Existing square footage of the Outlet Center is approximately 255,000 SF, and the overall complex totals 680,000 SF. As shown in Figure 3, the hotel would be built in the area currently used for a bingo pavilion and adjacent roadways and parking. Approximate grade at the site is 2,323 feet above mean sea level (AMSL), while the building parapet would be at an approximate elevation of 2,388 AMSL. The detailed hotel design is still in preparation. The building surface is expected to be an earth tone consistent with colors of existing casino structures. It would be lit at night, but be consistent with County of San Diego lighting ordinance policies.

2.2 Land Use Designations and Zoning

The proposed hotel location is part of the Viejas Reservation commercial complex adjacent to Willows road. The Reservation, being a sovereign entity, is not subject to County of San Diego land use or zoning designations.

2.3 Regulatory Framework

Given the sovereign nature of the Reservation, most of the regulatory framework documents listed on page 3 of the County’s Visual Resources Report Format and Content Guidelines are not applicable to this project. However, aspects of the Alpine Community Plan, the Scenic Highway Element, and some nearby recreational trails listed in the County’s Community Trails and Pathways plan are applicable to lands outside but near the Reservation. The primary regulatory document applicable to this project is the 2004 Amendment to the Tribal-State Compact between the State of California and the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians, and Exhibit A to that document. The latter exhibit details the environmental topics that must be addressed in a Tribal EIR, or TEIR. Visual topics listed in Exhibit A come from Appendix G of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, and include...

A. “Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?

B. Would the project substantially damage off-reservation scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? And

C. Would the project create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views of historic buildings or views in the area?”
FIGURE 1
Regional Vicinity Map
Viejas Hotel Project

SOURCE: SanGIS and BRG Consulting, Inc., 2011
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Figure 2: Project Location Inside the Viejas Indian Reservation
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FIGURE 3
2.4 Design Policies and Guidance
There are no design policies or guidance applicable to the project.

3.0 VISUAL ENVIRONMENT OF THE PROJECT

3.1 Project Setting
The site for the proposed hotel is within Viejas Valley, immediately adjacent to the existing Casino on the northeast, and across Willows Road from the existing Viejas Outlet Center. The existing Casino/Outlet Center complex contains approximately 680,000 SF of developed space, in structures ranging from 30 to 45 feet in height. The existing buildings at the Casino and Outlet Center are painted a variety of earth-tone hues. Elevation of the proposed hotel site is at 2,323 feet AMSL. Existing surface parking lots and access roadways are adjacent to the proposed site on the north, east and south. As shown in Figure 3, riparian lands adjacent to Viejas Creek border the parking areas for the Casino to the north. Beyond the creek riparian zone are grasslands where cattle and horses owned by Tribal members graze (see Figures 2 and 5). Viejas Grade Road passes through this area, from its intersection with Willows Road a few hundred feet west of the Reservation boundary. After leaving the north edge of the Reservation, Viejas Grade Road proceeds on to Descanso, and to Descanso Junction. The road is maintained by San Diego County as an unpaved road for six miles or more, and then as an asphalt-paved road to Descanso. Beyond Viejas Grade Road, and its tributary Browns Road, there are areas of Tribal member housing. Outside the Reservation, there are a few scattered private homes; many of them are currently owned by Viejas. Finally, beyond the Tribal and other private homes, the topography rises to Viejas Mountain to the northwest, with an elevation of 4,187 ft AMSL; to Poser Mountain to the northeast, elevation 3,917; and Chiquito Peak to the east, elevation 4,127. Most of these more rugged lands are under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Forest Service (Cleveland National Forest).

South and southwest of the proposed hotel site is located the existing Viejas Casino, Willows Road, and the Viejas Outlet Center. To the southeast are private residential properties on 5-10 acre parcels, as well as an existing commercial “Trading Post.” The four-lane Interstate-8 highway passes to the south approximately 1,500 feet from the site, and on the south side of I-8 are low-density residential lands. Farther south are additional lands that are part of Cleveland National Forest. Interstate-8 is not designated as a state scenic highway, but has been identified in the Alpine Community Plan as a “Second-Priority Scenic Route.”

3.2 Project Viewshed
As described in Section 2.1 of this report, the proposed hotel would be less than 60 feet higher than the existing site grade. A visibility analysis of the proposed hotel was prepared, addressing the visibility of a structure that was 60 feet high, and also one that addressed just the top 30 feet of the structure. The analysis was prepared on the BRG GIS system, and utilized a digital elevation model (DEM) of the nearby topography, based on USGS quadrangle maps and topography with an interval of 40 feet. The analysis shows the maximum possible visibility of the proposed hotel, with no screening or blocking of views by existing vegetation or structures. The results are shown in Figure 4.
FIGURE 4
Hotel Visibility Analysis

Note: This draft document is based on preliminary data and should not be considered completely accurate in its current form.
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3.3 Landscape Units

Generalized landscape units within the viewshed are shown in Figure 5, derived from an existing vegetation map provided by SANGIS. The generalized units are overprinted with hatched areas showing the areas within which the proposed hotel would be visible (assuming no blockage by existing vegetation or other structures.

4.0 EXISTING VISUAL RESOURCES AND VIEWER RESPONSE

4.1 Existing Visual Resources

4.1.1 Visual Character

The visual character of the proposed hotel site is that of an existing commercial development, integrated visually by the scale of structures ranging in height from 30 to 45 feet, and painted in a palette of earth-tone colors. The structures themselves are surrounded by extensive surface parking lots for visitors and employees. See Figure 2. The landform at the site is flat, with no rock outcroppings or vegetation patterns other than landscape plantings. The site is south of the existing Viejas Creek, which has been enhanced by additional plantings of riparian plants along its banks.

The visual character of the general site vicinity is that of a grass-covered valley, surrounded by a circle of chaparral-covered hills and mountain slopes, presided over by Viejas Mountain to the northwest, Poser Mountain to the northeast, and Chiquito Peak to the east. See Figure 5. Adjacent to the grasslands are gentle slopes that contain oak woodlands and scattered residential areas for Tribal residents. Non-Indian homes in the vicinity are concentrated along Willows Road west of the Reservation, and a small number of non-Indian homes are located to the northeast of the Reservation, southeast of the Reservation but north of I-8, and south of I-8.

4.1.2 Visual Quality

The visual quality of the project viewshed can be characterized as “representative” of the Lower Californian physiographic province described by Nevin Fenneman in 1946. The original granite uplands, intervening valleys, chaparral-covered hillsides, oak groves and grasslands remain, but there has been scattered human development in the area including Interstate-8 (I-8); various County roadways (Alpine Boulevard, Willows Road, Viejas Grade Road); both Tribal and non-Indian residential development, most of the latter being located near I-8; and the Casino/Outlet Center development. The most distinctive natural visual element within the viewshed is the presence of solitary Viejas Mountain, although the granitic boulders of Chiquito Peak east of the Reservation have their own local distinctiveness. The Viejas Casino and Outlet Center complex is the most distinctive man-made visual element within the viewshed.
Generalized Landscape Units within Hotel Viewshed


FIGURE 5
4.2 Viewer Response

4.2.1 Viewer Sensitivity
The Alpine Community Plan indicates that the visual quality of the landscape is important, especially protection of scenic ridgelines and natural oak groves (Alpine Community Plan, p. 5). The plan also indicates that it is the community intent to keep residential and agricultural areas of Alpine free from industrial and major commercial encroachments. The Resource Conservation appendix of the plan (p. 40) indicates that lands at Viejas Mountain, Viejas Indian Reservation, and Poser Mountain, all in the vicinity of the proposed project, should be conserved in order to protect valuable resources. According to the appendix, Viejas Mountain is valuable as a local “aesthetic landmark”, for its undisturbed chaparral habitats, and three specific rare plants. Poser Mountain has similar resources, although it is not named as an “aesthetic landmark.” Finally, Viejas Indian Reservation is noted for its high concentration of known and other unknown archaeological sites. Viejas Creek, to the west of the Reservation, is identified for conservation of its perennial stream and aquatic ecosystems, adjacent to oak and riparian woodlands.

No state-designated scenic highways are located in the Alpine Community Plan area; but Interstate-8 (I-8), which bisects the Community Plan area, is identified as a “second priority” scenic route per County analysis. The Scenic Highways chapter goes on to identify the following “scenic view corridors” within the boundaries of the Community Plan:

- From I-8 toward El Capitan Reservoir
- East and west views of Viejas Mountain from I-8; and
- From I-8 south along the Sweetwater River.

4.2.2 Viewer Groups
Based on the discussion in Section 4.2.1 above, two groups of potentially-sensitive viewers from public lands or facilities have been identified. These include travelers westbound on I-8 passing the existing Casino, and equestrian riders and hikers westbound along the California Riding and Hiking Trail, which follows Viejas Grade Road within and east of the Viejas Reservation.

Other than the top of Viejas Mountain, there are no other visually-sensitive public park or vista areas within the project viewshed from which viewers could see the proposed hotel. In the case of Viejas Mountain, the access trail approaches the peak from the west, and only from the very top would hikers have a view of the project, from a distance of approximately 1.75 miles. From that distance, although the hotel would be visible, it would be seen only as a part of the existing commercial complex.

It is expected that owners of some private homes near Viejas would be able to see the proposed hotel. However, these views represent private views that are not protected under County procedures, unlike those from public parks or roadways.
4.2.3 Viewer Exposure

Viewer exposure is a composite of the visual factors visibility, viewing distance, viewing angle, extent of visibility, and duration of view. However, the initial key question from sensitive potential viewpoints is “Would the project be visible?” Photos were taken toward the proposed hotel site from sensitive elements I-8 and Viejas Grade Road from the viewpoint locations shown in Figure 6. Viewpoints from which the proposed hotel would not be visible are VPs A, C, D, E, F, and G. Photos taken from these locations are provided below in Figures 7, 8 and 9. Photos taken from locations from which the hotel would be visible are provided in Figure 10 (VP-B, I-8 and VP-J, representative of views from Viejas Grade Road).

The relationships and connections between the various visual considerations addressed in this visual analysis are shown in diagrammatic form in Figure 11, with the analytic flow proceeding from top to bottom.

Once the viewpoints are identified from which the proposed project would be visible, an analysis can be prepared to address anticipated viewer exposure. This analysis is shown below in Table 1, in both qualitative and numerical terms, for views from VP-B and VP-J.

4.2.4 Viewer Awareness

Viewer awareness of the proposed visual changes would vary greatly by the type of viewer, and the degree of the hotel’s visibility. For example, along I-8, from VP-B, many potential viewers would be commuters, travelling the same route for long periods of time. In such a situation, the viewers initially may notice the changes, but that awareness would decrease over the ensuing months and years. Other travelers on I-8 include commercial truckers, with likely little awareness of the project. New travelers along I-8, such as first-time tourists to the area, may be more receptive or interested in the visual changes, but they would have little basis of comparison regarding the prior views that existed. In addition, for all of these viewers, the duration of view is expected to be five seconds or less.

Potential equestrian or hiking viewers on Viejas Grade Road would only see the project if they are travelling west, and then only from a great distance (1.5 to 3 miles away). At this distance, the proposed hotel would tend to merge visually with the larger mass of existing casino and commercial development. In addition, County Parks Department representatives anticipate few recreational users per day in this portion of the trail; the part of the trail near Descanso gets much greater use (Pers. comm., Maryanne Vancio, San Diego Co. Parks Dept., Nov. 4, 2011).
Figure 6: Proposed Hotel Location, Potential Viewpoints (VPs), and Topography
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Figure 7: View from Viewpoints A and C

Source: BRG Consulting, Inc., 2011
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### TABLE 1

**Viewer Exposure Analysis of Proposed Viejas Hotel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Analysis Factors</th>
<th>Description of Visual Factors Regarding Views of the Proposed Hotel from I-8 Viewpoint VP-B</th>
<th>Qualitative Rating</th>
<th>Numerical Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Visibility</strong></td>
<td>High, if viewer is stationary; low if the viewer is in rapid motion (highway speeds).</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project proximity to viewer</strong></td>
<td>The proposed new hotel would be within 1500 feet of I-8; this is typically considered a “foreground” view (&lt; 0.5 mi.).</td>
<td>F (H)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angle of view</strong></td>
<td>The viewer elevation would be approximately 182 feet above the base grade of the proposed hotel, and 126 feet above the hotel parapet. The hotel would thus be back-dropped by other landscape elements behind it, not silhouetted against the sky. This is called a “superior” view (viewed from above). In addition, the view of the hotel would be off to the right side, not immediately in front of vehicle occupants.</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>View open or obscured</strong></td>
<td>The view from I-8 is obscured by topography and trees.</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of view</strong></td>
<td>The hotel would be visible for less than five seconds from vehicles travelling at 65mph on I-8. This is considered a low duration.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Viewer Exposure Summary**

This factor is a composite of the five factors listed above. Based on the numeric scores listed above, the sum of the factors would be 18.5, with an average score of 3.7 (18.5 divided by 5). In qualitative terms, viewer exposure would be characterized as “moderately low.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Visual Analysis Factors</th>
<th>Description of Visual Factors Regarding Views of Proposed Hotel from Viejas Grade Road Viewpoints H, J and K</th>
<th>Qualitative Rating</th>
<th>Numerical Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Visibility</strong></td>
<td>High, if viewer is stationary; low if the viewer is in rapid motion (highway speeds). Viewer would be moving slowly, but because Viejas Grade Road curves a lot, the visibility would be changing.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project proximity to viewer</strong></td>
<td>The proposed new hotel would be from 1.5 to 3 miles from locations along Viejas Grade Road from which the project could be viewed; these distances are typically considered “middle ground” views.</td>
<td>M (M)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angle of view</strong></td>
<td>The viewer elevation would be approximately at these locations would be from 300 to 900 feet above the base grade of the proposed hotel. The hotel would thus be back-dropped by other landscape elements behind it, not silhouetted against the sky. This is called a “superior” view (viewed from above).</td>
<td>ML-M</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>View open or obscured</strong></td>
<td>The view from Viejas Grade Road east of the Reservation is periodically obscured by topography, but is open from 1.5 to 3 miles away.</td>
<td>MH</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of view</strong></td>
<td>The hotel would be visible for periods when the hiker or rider took his or her view away from the curving road and looked out over the valley. This is considered moderate duration.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Viewer Exposure Summary**

This factor is a composite of the five factors listed above. Based on the numeric scores listed above, the sum of the factors would be 18.5, with an average score of 3.1 (15.5 divided by 5). In qualitative terms, viewer exposure would be characterized as “moderate.”

**Notes:** Numerical Scores: Low = 1; ML = 2; Moderate = 3; MH = 4; High = 5

Source: BRG Consulting, Inc., 2011
5.0 VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 Guidelines for Determining Significance
As stated earlier, in Section 2.3, the visual impact significance criteria listed in Exhibit A of the 2004 Amendment to the Tribal-State Compact between the State of California and the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians are as follows:

A. "Would the project have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?"

B. Would the project substantially damage off-reservation scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? And...

C. Would the project create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views of historic buildings or views in the area?"

5.2 Key Views
The “key views” for this analysis are the only visually-sensitive viewpoints from which this proposed hotel would be visible: a short portion of I-8 WB, located at VP-B, with an existing view toward the hotel site shown in the top portion of Figure 10; and several portions of Viejas Grade Road (also designated as the California Riding and Hiking Trail) at VPs H, J and K. The existing view toward the hotel site is shown in the bottom part of Figure 10.

5.3 Assessment of Visual Character and Visual Quality Changes

5.3.1 Visual Character Changes
The proposed new hotel form is more vertical, and of a larger scale than that of the adjacent existing Casino structure. However, the existing Casino is much larger than the hotel in terms of square footage. Viewed from nearby, the hotel structure would be visually dominant; however, it does add diversity to forms existing at the complex. The hotel color would be consistent with those of the existing complex.

5.3.2 Visual Quality Changes
The new hotel would add visual variety to the forms of the existing casino complex. There would be no changes to any other landscape unit in the viewshed.

5.4 Assessment of Viewer Response

5.4.1 View from I-8:
The proposed hotel would be seen by many viewers on westbound I-8, with most of them not very interested. Each would have a short duration of view (less than five seconds). The dominant view elements
at the time of the view (as shown in Figure 10): Viejas Mountain and the Viejas Casino sign. The new hotel would be consistent in color with the existing Casino structures; although it would be larger/taller than many existing structures, it would be a small part of a much-larger commercial complex, and view of it would be partially screened by nearer vegetation. The hotel would not block views toward any visually-important element.

5.4.2 View from Viejas Grade Road:
There would be relatively few viewers of a distant project adjacent to existing structures. The dominant view elements from Viejas Grade Road would be Viejas Mountain to right, the grassland valley in the center (see Figure 10). The New hotel would be consistent in color with existing structures, and, although it would be larger/taller than existing structures, it would not be a dominant visual element to the viewer from that distance.

5.4.3 Visual Sensitivity and the Anticipated Degree of Visual Change
Considerations of visual sensitivity and the degree of visual change as viewed from each of the two key viewpoints are summarized in Tables 2 (I-8) and Table 3 (Viejas Grade Road). The various factors addressed include those depicted in diagrammatic form previously in Figure 11. Each factor is assigned a qualitative rating (high, moderate, low, etc.), and a corresponding numerical score. When the ratings/scores for visual sensitivity and visual change are compiled, the applicable scores are added, and the sum divided by the number of factors, to derive the composite score and rating.

5.5 Determination of Significance
Regarding visual impact significance Criterion B, there is no designated state scenic highway present. The proposed hotel would remove the existing bingo pavilion adjacent to the Viejas Casino, but would have no impact on any scenic resources such as trees, rock outcroppings or historic buildings. None of those resources are located at the proposed project site, which, besides, is within Viejas Indian Reservation. Thus, there would be no project visual impact relative to Criterion B. Regarding Criterion C and potential “glare”, the hotel would have limited amounts of glass for windows. It would not be a “glass box,” but would be similar to other structures in the complex. Hotel windows would be vertical in orientation, and located below the elevation of potential viewers at the key viewpoints VP-B, VP-H, VP-J or VP-K, and thus, any sun-related glare from either the rising or setting sun would reflect at an angle below viewers at those viewpoints. Regarding project lighting that may be visible after dark, it is anticipated that the southern face of the hotel would be illuminated using downward-facing lighting from above. As with other prior Viejas projects, the hotel lighting would comply with the County’s Light Pollution Code, Sec. 59.101 through 59.115. The project site is located approximately 17 miles from Mt. Laguna Observatory, and so is categorized as within “Zone B” of the light pollution code. This code allows for illumination of the hotel building by low-pressure sodium lighting, other lighting of 4050 lumens and below, and/or luminous tube lighting. Per the Code, the Class III (decorative lighting) would need to be turned off between 11:00 p.m. and sunrise (Sec. 59.108 D). Parking lot lighting at the Reservation would continue to comply with the Light Pollution Code provisions. Thus, there would be no significant project visual impact relative to Criterion C.
TABLE 2
Analysis of Visual Sensitivity and Degree of Visual Change, I-8 VP-B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viewer Exposure Summary</th>
<th>From Table 1.</th>
<th>ML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Visual Sensitivity</strong></td>
<td>This factor is a composite of the viewer exposure summary rating from above, plus the four factors listed immediately below; see summary below for the analysis results.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual quality of the setting</strong></td>
<td>The setting is considered representative of the project region, and is not considered “exceptional”, which would rate an H rating or 5 score.</td>
<td>M to MH 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viewer type or sensitivity</strong></td>
<td>Activities associated with highway vehicular views are considered passive. Many of the viewers would be commuters, passing that spot every day for months or years on end, while others would be long-haul truckers. A moderate proportion of the viewers may be tourists, seeing the view for the first time. As a result, the viewer sensitivity has been rated, on a composite basis, as “moderately low.”</td>
<td>ML 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of viewers</strong></td>
<td>According to Caltrans statistics for 2010, the average number of vehicles per day (AADT) was 25,500. This would result in 12,750 vehicles per day being eastbound, with a comparable number westbound. Caltrans 2009 truck statistics indicated approximately 3,000 trucks per day in that segment, or an average of 1,500 in each direction per day.</td>
<td>H 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viewer Visual Expectations</strong></td>
<td>Vehicular viewers from I-8 westbound would have passed little in the way of development from the Imperial County line except for the highway itself, the Golden Acorn Casino, and the windmills nearby. While that environment is not pristine, relatively little of the environment is “man-modified” to that point, and highway viewer expectations would have become accommodated to that.</td>
<td>M-MH 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Visual Sensitivity Summary</strong></td>
<td>This factor is a composite of viewer exposure, plus the four factors listed above. Based on the numeric scores listed above, the sum of the factors would be 16.45, with an average score of 3.29 (16.45 divided by 5). In qualitative terms, this would be characterized as &quot;moderate +.&quot;</td>
<td>M+ 3.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall Degree of Visual Change**
This factor is a composite of the three factors listed below; see summary below for the analysis results.

| Visual contrast | Visual contrast of the proposed hotel structure is considered "moderate" due to its location immediately adjacent to the existing Casino and Outlet Center structures. While it would be taller than most of the other nearby structures, and more massive, in color it would be integrated with the existing structures, and with colors of Viejas Mountain nearby. | M to MH 3.5 |
| Project dominance | The proposed hotel structure would be considered “dominant” if it were located alone, but only “moderate” to “moderately high” near the existing Casino structures. | M to MH 3.5 |
| View or vista blockage | None. The primary elements in the view of vehicular occupants would be the Viejas sign, Viejas Mountain, and Viejas Valley. The proposed hotel would not block these views. | N to L 0.25 |
| Overall Degree of Visual Change | This factor is a composite of the 3 factors listed above. Based on the numeric scores listed above, the sum of the factors would be 7.25, with an average score of 2.83 (7.25 divided by 3). In qualitative terms, this would be described as between “ML” and “moderate.” | ML – M 2.42 |
### Table 3
Analysis of Visual Sensitivity/Degree of Visual Change, Viejas Grade VPs H, J, K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viewer Exposure Summary</th>
<th>From Table 1.</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>3.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Visual Sensitivity</td>
<td>This factor is a composite of the viewer exposure summary rating, plus the four factors listed immediately below; see summary below for the analysis results.</td>
<td>M to MH</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual quality of the setting</td>
<td>The setting is considered representative of the project region, and is not considered “exceptional”, which would rate an H rating or 5 score.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewer type or sensitivity</td>
<td>Activities associated with hiking or riding views are considered active. Thus, the viewers would tend to be moderately to moderately highly sensitive</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of viewers</td>
<td>According to Maryanne Vancio of County Parks Department, the number of hikers/riders in that segment would be low.</td>
<td>M-MH</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viewer Visual Expectations</td>
<td>Hiking or riding viewers on Viejas Grade Road westbound would have passed little in the way of development from the Descanso area. Thus, viewers might have expectations of moderately pristine conditions.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Visual Sensitivity Summary</td>
<td>This factor is a composite of viewer exposure, plus the four factors listed above. Based on the numeric scores listed above, the sum of the factors would be 15.1, with an average score of 3.02 (15.1 divided by 5). In qualitative terms, this would be characterized as &quot;moderate.&quot;</td>
<td>M to MH</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Degree of Visual Change</td>
<td>This factor is a composite of the three factors listed below; see summary below for the analysis results.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual contrast</td>
<td>Visual contrast of the proposed hotel structure is considered &quot;moderate&quot; due to its location immediately adjacent to the existing Casino and Outlet Center structures. While it would be taller than most of the other nearby structures, and more massive, in color it would be integrated with the existing structures, and with colors of Viejas Mountain nearby.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project dominance</td>
<td>The proposed hotel structure would be considered “dominant” if it were viewed from a few hundred feet away, but would not be a dominant element from 1.5 to 3 miles away.</td>
<td>N to L</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View or vista blockage</td>
<td>None. The primary elements in the view of from Viejas Grade Road east of the Reservation would be the Viejas Valley grasslands, and Viejas Mountain off to the right. The proposed hotel would not block these views.</td>
<td>L-ML</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Anticipated future views from sensitive viewpoints from which the proposed hotel would be visible are shown in Figure 12. This figure contains simulations of the planned bulk, height and color of the proposed hotel, located at the proposed site, although architectural details regarding the appearance of windows have not yet been prepared.

The simulations were prepared by first creating a scale, 3-dimensional solid model of the proposed hotel structure on a computer. A camera (Viewpoint) location and line of sight were determined from the photo and modeled using information from topographic and aerial maps. Finally, key objects in the photo were identified and used as ‘Reference points’ to overlay a digital rendering of the structure from that viewpoint, creating the visual simulation.

Analysis of whether the project would adversely affect a designated scenic vista, per Criterion A, involves integration of the degree of visual sensitivity and of anticipated visual change at each key viewpoint. This integration is shown in the following summary Tables 4 and 5, for the each of the two sensitive viewpoints from which the project would be visible (I-8, VP-B and Viejas Grade Road, VP-J).

Table 4 shows that the visual impact significance of the hotel project, when viewed from VP-B on I-8, would be the intersection of the 3.29 value of visual sensitivity (at the left margin) with the 2.42 value of the overall degree of visual change. The derivation of these values was previously shown in Table 2. The descriptor at the cell where the two values intersect is entitled “adverse [impact] but less than significant.”

Table 5 shows that the visual impact significance of the hotel project, when viewed from VP-B on Viejas Grade Road, would be the intersection of the 3.02 value of visual sensitivity (at the left margin) with the 1.58 value of the overall degree of visual change. The derivation of these values for VPs H, J and K was previously shown in Table 3. The descriptor where the two values intersect is entitled “adverse [impact] but less than significant.”

Therefore, based on the foregoing analysis, the proposed hotel project would not have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista.

5.6 Cumulative Impact Analysis

The list of cumulative projects provided to LLG Engineers by San Diego County personnel and listed in Table 8-1 of the Traffic Report, TEIR Appendix B, are all located within the community of Alpine. None of the projects would be visible from within the hotel project viewshed, so there would be no cumulative visual impacts associated with the hotel project and with any of the cumulative projects listed in Table 8-1. It is possible that in the future additional commercial developments will be identified and pursued within Viejas Reservation. Also, additional commercial developments may be implemented in the private lands located between the Reservation and the East Willows Interchange to I-8. However, at this time there are no definitive plans or timetable for such developments, and there are potentially substantive barriers to them, such as questions about water availability and wastewater treatment facilities. Therefore, these potential developments have been treated as “speculative” at this time, and are not addressed in this cumulative impact analysis.
View from Viewpoints B and J, with Photosimulations
### TABLE 4
Determination of Visual Impact Significance
Proposed Viejas Hotel, Viewed from Interstate-8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OVERALL VISUAL SENSITIVITY</th>
<th>LOW</th>
<th>LOW to Moderate</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Moderate to High</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW TO MODERATE</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse and potentially significant</td>
<td>Adverse and potentially significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE TO HIGH</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse and potentially significant</td>
<td>Adverse and potentially significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
<td>Adverse and potentially significant</td>
<td>Adverse and potentially significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: Not Significant – Impacts may or may not be perceptible but are considered minor in the context of existing landscape characteristics and view opportunity.
Adverse but less than significant – Impacts are perceived as negative but do not exceed environmental thresholds.
Adverse and potentially significant – Impacts are perceived as negative and may exceed environmental thresholds depending on project- and site-specific circumstances. Mitigation could reduce impacts to less than significant levels.
Significant – Impacts with feasible mitigation may be reduced to less than significant levels or avoided altogether. Without mitigation or avoidance measures, significant impacts would exceed environmental thresholds.
## TABLE 5

### Determination of Visual Impact Significance

**Proposed Viejas Hotel, Viewed from Viejas Grade Road**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OVERALL VISUAL SENSITIVITY</th>
<th>OVERALL DEGREE OF VISUAL CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to Moderate</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate to High</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Adverse but less than significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Notes: Not Significant – Impacts may or may not be perceptible but are considered minor in the context of existing landscape characteristics and view opportunity.

Adverse but less than significant – Impacts are perceived as negative but do not exceed environmental thresholds.

Adverse and potentially significant – Impacts are perceived as negative and may exceed environmental thresholds depending on project- and site-specific circumstances. Mitigation could reduce impacts to less than significant levels.

Significant – Impacts with feasible mitigation may be reduced to less than significant levels or avoided altogether. Without mitigation or avoidance measures, significant impacts would exceed environmental thresholds.*
5.7 Summary of Project Impacts, Significance and Conclusions

Based on the foregoing analysis, development of the proposed hotel would result in no significant visual impacts.

6.0 VISUAL MITIGATION AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Since no significant visual impacts of the proposed hotel have been identified, no visual impact mitigation is required.
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