



From: Mark Agee [mark@markvagee.com]
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2013 9:44 AM
To: Gungle, Ashley
Subject: Case P12-004

P1 I oppose the Ocotillo Wells Solar Project! We purchased property and a home just west of the proposed site this is a place we go to get away from things like a solar farm. My concerns are Loss of Property Values, The visual impact on the desert, This is one of the last open space areas where family's can enjoy the adventures of the desert wilderness, The Environmental Impact on the land, water table, and eco system. The project is located on an Alluvial Fan it is pron to major flooding look at split Mountain Fish Creek flooding just last year. I have concerns of right of way. Concerns of abandonment when the panels are rendered no longer efficient or needed due to new title 24 rules (energy conservation) who will clean the mess up? Does the county have a plan in place. Just look at the green energy projects on I-10 that have been abandoned. This is shameful on us as humans can't we leave just one spot on this planet untouched? I have found Indian Ruins on this land along with horned toad lizards, Bobcats, Desert Foxes, coyote's, and have had rare sitings of a Desert Long Horned Sheep on my property. I feel this is not the place for a Solar Farm. Try every Costco , Walmart, Target center Parking lot across the nation no line loss straight to the source it also provides shade for the shoppers.

P2 The title on my grant deed reads AGEE FAMILY TRUST the reason is my wife and I plan on leaving this to our kids to share this beautiful place for generations to come. Please don't let the power and Solar Companies fool you into believing this project is more important than generations to come. Please turn off a light conserve with new LED Technology's see <http://www.soraa.com/>

P3

P4

Please, No Solar!

The Agee Family
 2211 Split Mountain Rd Ocotillo CA 92004

Mark V. Agee Construction
 Rancho Santa Fe
 858-756-3517 ☎ Office
 858-756-3857 ☎ Fax
www.markvagee.com

Responses to Comments on the Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration for Ocotillo Wells Solar, MUP12-004

Responses to Letter P, Mark Agee

P1	<p>The County acknowledges the concerns raised in this comment. Please refer to responses to comments J4, J7, and J10 (visual resources); B7 and K5 (groundwater); A8 and I5 (flooding); and responses to Letter A (biological resources). In addition, the Project will result in minor encroachment into the right-of-way (ROW) of Split Mountain Road during construction and will require County approval of a right-of-way encroachment permit; no other ROW will be affected by the Project.</p>
P2	<p>As identified in the Project Description (March 2013), the Project applicant will be conditioned by the County to prepare a Decommissioning Plan. The anticipated lifespan of the proposed solar facility is estimated to be 30 years or longer. At the end of the useful life of the Project, two alternative scenarios are possible: (1) Re-tool the technology and contract to sell energy to a utility; or, (2) If no other buyer of the energy emerges, the solar facility can be decommissioned and dismantled. A final Decommissioning Plan would be provided prior to issuance of the building permits for the Project and would comply with Section 6952.b.3 (d) of the County of San Diego Zoning Ordinance for removal surety.</p>
P3	<p>Please refer to response to comment E3, E4 and E5. A record search at the SCI was performed in support of the Archaeological Significance Testing of Four Sites Report prepared by ASM Affiliates, Inc. (ASM), dated March 2013 (available under separate cover). Four sites were identified but determined to be not significant. The project will be conditioned with a Grading Monitoring Program to mitigate for the potential of buried resources and will include both a Project Archaeologist and Native American monitor during all ground disturbance activities.</p> <p>The Biological Resources Report prepared by Dudek (January 2013), evaluated the potential impacts of the Project on existing biological resources within the proposed development footprint. Mitigation measures are proposed to reduce Project effects on biological resources to less than significant. Refer also to responses to Letter A, above.</p>
P4	<p>This comment does not raise a significant environmental issue for which a response is required. The information in this comment will be included final documents for consideration by the decision makers.</p>