

**General Plan 2020
Interest Group Meeting
September 7, 2000
Minutes**

Attendees:

Allison Rolfe	Audubon Society
Ann Clark	League of Women Voters
Ann Van Leer	The Nature Conservancy
Anna-Lisa Armanino	Stone Gate Development
Dan Friedlander	Stephenson Worley
Dan Silver	Endangered Habitats League
Diane Coombs	Cit. Coord. For C-3
Eric Bowlby	Sierra Club
Florence Sloane	Sporting Dog Counsel
Gerald Walson	BARC
Janet Anderson	Natural History Museum
Jim Whalen	Alliance for Habitat Conservation
Lisa Haws	Viejas Enterprises
Matt Adams	Building Industry Association
Paul B. Etzel	Mt. Laguna Observatory
Ralph Kingery	Consultant
Ruth Potter	Sierra Club
Scott C. Molloy	Alliance for Habitat Conservation

Visitors/Guests

Bill Taylor (County Counsel)

County:

Rose Blake (DPLU)
LeAnn Carmichael (DPLU)
Debbie Ledesma (DPLU)
Aaron Barling (DPLU)
Gisela Hernández (DPLU)

Meeting commenced at 2:15pm

Introduction- LeAnn Carmichael opened the discussion by briefing the group on the upcoming meetings related to General Plan 2020. Meetings scheduled for the next few months are: Planning Commission 9/29/00, Board of Supervisors 11/1/00, Planning Commission 11/13/00 and 11/27/00, and The Board of Supervisors 1/1/00. Additionally, the agenda was modified so that the Interest Group members address issues that have not been discussed at previous meetings. Bill Taylor from County Counsel will present at 3:30pm.

Wetlands- the group re-introduced the Steering Committees' 100% protection recommendation because they are troubled by the phrase *yield reduction*. Discussion was based on the following issues: appropriate density location, land efficiency, decreased density around sensitive areas, solid statement of intent of the back country, community involvement, improved educational effort, downsizing, holes in plans, private property rights and Steering Committee

recommendation. A vote was called for villages/greenbelts and 100% yield reduction. The group decided that they want to see the Goals and Policy followed by greenbelts and villages. The group did not reach consensus on supporting the 100% yield reduction S.C. recommendation.

Group consensus was that they need to voice their opinion to the Steering Committee and to the Planning Commission. The group discussed the possibilities of having a representative from the Planning Commission attend an Interest Group meeting. The Interest Group wishes to directly present GP2020 issues and concerns that are not being addressed by the Steering Committee.

Glossary of Planning Terms- Interest Group members had the following comments:

Page#	Term	Change (<u>add</u> / remove), <i>Recommendations or Questions</i>
1	Acres, Gross	rights-of-ways_ and major power transmission easements.
1	Carrying Capacity	... with <u>availability of water</u> or planned provisions of utilities...
1	Clustering	... rather than distributed evenly throughout the project site, without increasing the overall allowed by the General Plan or zoning. <i>(strikethrough if wish pursue density transfer).</i>
1	Country Town	Existing, small historically established retail/residential areas serving a low density rural areas or functioning as resorts. They are designated for generally one dwelling unit per acre residential densities or greater and are clearly removed geographically from existing or projected urban areas. <i>(remove entirely because it does not facilitate the possibility of future country towns).</i>
3	Public Park	<i>How does this definition apply to dedicated and/or designated park land?</i>
3	Right-of-Way	...sewers, <u>trails</u> , or other similar uses) or private interests (electric transmission <u>and distribution</u> lines and other utilities...
3	Rural Character	e) a limited expectation of urban <u>services and</u> infrastructure such as sewer, water, urban roads, curbs and sidewalks.

Additional recommendation: to add a definition for URBAN, would like to address the densely settled area.

Discussion- Some members of the group suggested having a Planning Moratorium if the County of San Diego gets an application rush once the Draft Community Preference Map gets out. They group also had questions on Specific Plans (SPA). Will the final GP2020 map include SPA densities on it? Some group members feel that having the words *SPA* on the maps is not sufficient to analyze a density map.

County Counsel Presentation- Bill Taylor from County Counsel discussed how his department is preparing for the General Plan update.

Next Meeting- Scheduled for October 2, 2000. 2:00pm-4:00pm in Suite Q (General Service Training Room).

Meeting adjourned at 4:10 pm