

- Roll Call**
- The meeting was held via the Zoom platform and came to order at 5:30 p.m. All Board members were present except Susan Youngflesh.
- Public Comments**
- Lynn Owens, Karen Reimus, Mary Best, Yusef Miller, Darwin Fishman, Natalie Neshat, Robin Sales and Tom Packard addressed the Board.
- Minutes Approval**
- The February 8, 2022, meeting minutes and February 22, 2022, Town Hall minutes were approved unanimously.
- Presentation/Training**
- San Diego County Department of General Services Role in San Diego County Detention Facility Camera Maintenance and Repair by CLERB Executive Officer Paul Parker.
 - MaryAnne Pintar, after recalling to EO Parker that during discussion of the State audit, the Sheriff's Department reported their plan for a major overhaul of their camera system, asked was any discussion of the overhaul discussed when speaking with either General Services or the Sheriff's Department. EO Parker responded that the Department of General Services (DGS) identified the fact that a major maintenance project has been requested and initiated by the Sheriff's Department.
 - Ms. Pintar further pointed out that, when she asked about these cameras and the Sheriff's Department responded that it was up to DGS, but according to EO Parker's report, it's really up to the Sheriff's Department to let DGS know when cameras are inoperable and need to be fixed. It really is the sworn staff's responsibility to make sure the cameras are reported and fixed. EO Parker confirmed sworn personnel's responsibility, which *is* within CLERB's jurisdiction.
 - Tim Ware commented from a maintenance standpoint. Disagrees with the Sheriff's Department not knowing. Tim Ware requested clarity on DGS's deciding the urgency of, whether it's an emergency or not, regarding equipment. EO Parker responded from his notes, "when the equipment is older, DGS recommends upgrades to SDSD." Mr. Ware requested clarification on whether DGS decides what's considered an emergency. EO Parker clarified it's the information provided by the Sheriff's Department that leads DGS to determine whether it's an emergency or not.
 - G.I. Wilson wants to know who's in charge and responsible for the maintenance and operation of those cameras, whether they're high quality or old. Feels it's SDSD's responsibility and finds their response inadequate.
 - Buki Domingos asked that the Board make a recommendation to find out who exactly is in charge of the maintenance of those cameras, as she feels they should have a maintenance cycle, such as routine checks..
 - EO Parker clarified that DGS is responsible for the maintenance. Sworn staff will log if the equipment is working at the beginning of each shift and is responsible for contacting DGS, which he will further discuss during his report.
 - G.I. Wilson finds it ironic that we're separating operation and maintenance. If compartmentalized like that, then no one's responsible for the operation and maintenance of this system. Feels responsibility is being diffused.
 - MaryAnne Pintar stressed the importance of the camera's operation. Ask them to report how many are inoperable and in need of repair and how long it took to get them repaired, and the status of the Sheriff Department's request for a new upgraded system.
 - EO Parker gave a "broken car" example to explain the delineation between DGS and SDSD. It's not within CLERB's jurisdiction to have that information. Hopefully a lot of this will go away when the BWC project continues, and the pilot program is expanded.
 - Buki Domingos wanted to add to previous comments regarding the cameras, asking what is the procedure to determine operations? They should spell it out in black and white, in layman's terms. If a camera is broken or we have another in-custody death, and the Sheriff's Department says they don't have footage because it hasn't been working, then we can ask, "*Sheriff's Department, you were responsible for operations, what happened with your duty?*"
 - Shiri Hoffman, appropriate motion to direct EO Parker to have a report on those issues by next meeting.
 - Eileen Delaney would like a time frame from when a camera is reported broken to the time it has been fixed.

- Nadia Kean Ayub read the Sheriff's own P&P on Shift Procedures, stating exactly what are the steps.
- Tim Ware commented from a jurisdiction standpoint. Would love for a member DGS to tell us why, in confidence, while people are dying in custody, this is not in our jurisdiction. Let's address these things face-to-face.
- G.I. Wilson, stated it's common practice in the logistics industry and for OSHA to designate and define who the operator maintenance is. Every piece of equipment that large organizations use, have operator maintenance specified. He doesn't think it's a huge leap to expect an operator to have some grasp of maintenance.
- It was agreed to wait until the EO's Report to address the Policy Recommendation Ms. Ayub quoted.
- EO Parker also mentioned he would ask DGS to appear at the April 12 CLERB meeting to provide an overview and also be available to answer questions regarding camera maintenance.

Executive Officer Report

- Overview of Activities of CLERB Executive Officer (EO) and Staff
- On February 14, 2022, San Diego Sheriff's Department (SDSD) Acting Sheriff Kelly Martinez and Executive Officer Paul Parker, in response to a CLERB policy recommendation, signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that allows CLERB staff to respond to death scenes under CLERB's jurisdiction, to include all in-custody-related deaths and deputy-involved shootings. Mr. Parker will be the only staff member responding for at least the next year so that the process can be ironed out and consistency is maintained. Since signing the MOU, there has been one in-custody death (February 14) and two deputy-involved shooting deaths (February 19 and March 3); Mr. Parker responded to all three scenes and was welcomed and provided information in a collaborative and professional manner.
 - On February 15, 2022, Mr. Parker talked to Department of General Service personnel reference a recent CLERB inquiry re: inventory of non-operable cameras, timeframes cameras would be repaired, and information as to the responsibility for repair.
 - On February 22, 2022, CLERB hosted its first virtual Town Hall, which pertained to the Center for Policing Equity (CPE) Report on the SDSD. The Town Hall was well-attended and informative. Most importantly, it provided community members a forum in which to express their concerns and ideas and enter into some dialogue about this critical topic.
 - On February 24, 2022, Mr. Parker met with a representative of the Los Angeles County Public Defender's Office Law Enforcement Integrity Unit to discuss in-custody deaths.
 - On February 25, 2022, Mr. Parker met with representatives from San Diegans for Justice about the preliminary results of its analysis of CLERB. The final report will be discussed under Item #7.b on tonight's agenda.
 - On February 28, 2022, Mr. Parker attended, as a community member, the San Diego Racial Justice Coalition's Town Hall pertaining to the CPE Report.
 - On March 3, 2022, Mr. Parker attended the SDJ press conference pertaining to its CLERB report.
 - On March 4, 2022, Mr. Parker participated on a community panel at the San Diego Probation Department's Professional Development Academy.
 - On March 4, 2022, Mr. Parker provided information about CLERB's disciplinary recommendation process to the Rochester (New York) Police Accountability Board.
 - On March 7, 2022, Mr. Parker attended the Leon L. Williams Human Relations Commission Public Safety Subcommittee Meeting.
 - Administrative Secretary III Tamicha Husband plans to retire from County service at the end of the month. Mr. Parker is reviewing candidates and will be interviewing for the planned vacancy prior to April's CLERB meeting.
 - All indications are that CLERB will be granted an Administrative Analyst II position at the beginning of the next fiscal year. This position is critical for CLERB, especially now. In

addition to providing advanced administrative services, Mr. Parker envisions this position being responsible for data compilation, analysis, reporting, and publication.

- CLERB's longtime outside counsel, James Sandler, is retiring. He had planned to do so at the end of 2021 and graciously volunteered to provide services until a replacement was selected. Mr. Parker will honor Mr. Sandler at the April meeting.
 - On March 4, 2022, Duane Bennett was selected as CLERB's new outside counsel.
- CLERB Member Vacancy Updates
 - District 1 (D1) personnel are still reviewing applications for its vacancy.
 - Chair Youngflesh's term has expired, and interviews are in process for a new District 2 (D2) CLERB member. Chair Youngflesh will continue to serve until a replacement is appointed. A nomination to the Chief Administrative Officer is expected this month.
 - District 3 (D3) personnel are still conducting interviews for its vacancy.
- Investigative Workload and Classification Report for February 2022 (*Attachment B*)
 - There were 11 new cases (as compared to 14 for February 2021).
 - There are five cases on tonight's agenda.
 - At the end of February there were 75 active cases (four in "lodged" status and 71 open and active).
 - There were 30 open death cases.
 - CLERB had documents for 11 cases and was awaiting documents on the remaining 19. Two death cases are on tonight's agenda.
 - There were four new SDSD death cases in February (two related to the Vista Detention Facility (one poss. natural and one poss. drug-related), one related to San Diego Central Jail (poss. natural), and one patrol-related one in Otay Mesa)).
 - There were nine open uses of force resulting in great bodily injury without a complaint.
 - There were three open discharges of firearm without a complaint.
 - There was one use of force at protest or First Amendment protected events without a complaint.
- Case Progress and Status Reports (*Attachment C*)
 - Mr. Parker discussed the two reports: "CLERB Reports by Due Date" and "CLERB Reports Due by Case Number."
- Executive Officer Correspondence to Full CLERB (*Attachment D*)
 - The following emails were sent to CLERB:
 - Four general media article compilations containing a total of 23 articles.
 - One email announcing the February 14, 2022, MOU between CLERB and the SDSD.
 - One email announcing the SDJ report release.
- Policy Recommendation Pending Responses
 - 20-113 / Alvarez (Death) – SDSD

- 21-060 / Meadows – SDSA
- CLERB Staff Response to Death Scenes – Probation
- Policy Recommendation Response
 - 20-063 / Morton (Death) – SDSA (*Attachment E*)
 - CLERB Staff Response to Death Scenes – SDSA (*Attachment F*)
- Sustained Finding Pending Responses
 - 20-104 / Chon (Death) – SDSA
- Sustained Finding Response
 - 20-113 / Alvarez (Death) – SDSA (*Attachment H*)
 - 21-087 / Grino-Watson – SDSA (*Attachment I*)
 -

EO Report Comments

- Bonnie Kenk commented on the fact that EO Parker has gone over and above for CLERB as far as outreach and getting out in the public and for doing such a wonderful job and is very proud of Mr. Parker.
- MaryAnne Pintar commented, when asking for reports back about the status of these cameras and their repairs, EO Parker is suggesting that he believes the Sheriff’s Department is going to say this is not within their jurisdiction, but the policy itself says it is the responsibility of sworn staff. So she’s not understanding how it’s not within our jurisdiction. EO Parker responded that, at this point, sworn staff is responsible for checking, logging and reporting if there are issues. As far as an over-arching report back on the maintenance of system – cameras not working – but the picture is when there’s an inoperable camera, it’s not the sworn staff’s responsibility to fix it. We will not respond to things not within our jurisdiction with the way we’re currently set up. Ms. Pintar replied every week/month, one of them says, *“the camera wasn’t working...”* Asks that we request the Sheriff’s Department let us know how many cameras, requests made for repairs to security video equipment for each month. That way we can track trends. EO Parker still doesn’t feel that’s within our jurisdiction.
- Ms. Delaney asked if the time frame between repair requests and when it’s fixed is within our jurisdiction.
- Tim Ware feels we can get the highest up person in the Sheriff’s Department as possible to answer these questions for us.
- G.I. Wilson viewed a video of poor quality regarding a death, which is in our jurisdiction. We need to institute some kind of procedure where someone is accountable and responsible for the operation and maintenance of that material. Wants to hear the action plan and find someone at the Sheriff’s Department who wants to help CLERB fix this problem.
- EO Parker commented that it is his job to make sure we maintain our rules and regulations. He is going to as the Department, along with DGS, to join in next month’s meeting.
- Ms. Kenk asked as far as the video footage, besides CLERB reviewing for evidence, etc., who else sees this? If the Sheriff’s Department needs that to prove they’re not negligent, why are they not interested in having a better quality or keeping them maintained?
- Tom Packard asked where we have the authority over sworn staff versus non-sworn staff. Referred to EO Parker’s car example and feels there’s a gap in authority.

Board Chair’s Report

- Eileen Delaney thanked the public for their attendance as well as the Sheriff and Probation liaisons.
- EO Parker also reminded the Board Members that Form 700s are due by April 1.

New Business

- SDSA Report Back: Address Concerns Identified in Center for Policing Equity Report (*Attachment I*)
 - EO Parker reported the Sheriff’s Department responded that they are in receipt of our request and stand by the response they had to the CPE report, which they provided. No one from the Sheriff’s Department will be speaking about this issue moving forward.
- San Diegans for Justice Report: CLERB – Assessment of Strengths and Opportunities (*Attachment J*)
 - EO Parker reported that San Diegans for Justice reached out to him six to eight months ago, introducing themselves to him. They were responsible for placing “measure” B on the ballot which was approved to strengthen the SDPD Civilian Oversight entity. SDF is interested in civilian oversight in San Diego County and wanted to see if there are ways CLERB can be strengthened. They hired a professor, Sharon Fairley, from Chicago, about this topic, who put a report together for SDJ, which identified 70 recommendations/opportunities for improvement. Some discuss expanding CLERB’s jurisdiction and ways to increase their independence, enforcing subpoena power, and increasing resources. After comparing funding and budget from oversight entities, and San Diego County, compared nationwide, is not funded, or staffed in the appropriate fashion in comparison to other

entities. EO Parker asked that we keep in mind some of those entities have different models such as auditor, monitor, review, etc., so SDJ is recommending that CLERB be expanded. Although not in the report, what they're saying for education purposes is they're recommending something more like an auditor, monitor or inspector general type responsibilities. Currently CLERB is based solely about complaints and death. A different model would allow these things to happen. Out of those 70 items, they listed some entities, whether BOS, to consider implementing. Things that SDJ wants the staff to implement. They recommend CLERB or BOS want to do, but we probably want to do a subcommittee to discuss this report to figure out what CLERB is going to do about these recommendations and then allow him and Counsel to work together on the other issues.

- Tim Ware asked EO Parker, if he believes we have the model for San Diego where we're trying to go. EO Parker responded that no, he doesn't think we have the right model. We don't have the ability to be proactive as we like, as evidenced by the camera situation. We could be more thorough with more jurisdiction. We'd have access to things that would make investigations much more independent. The Civilian Oversight model we have is not the best for the community members of San Diego County.
- G.I. Wilson agrees with EO Parker regarding the model and wonders if there's a hybrid that has to evolve. He's in favor of forming an ad hoc committee to take a deep dive into this report and come up with a hybrid model to fit what we hope to accomplish in the County.
- A Motion was moved to create a subcommittee. Ms. Pintar seconded. The committee will be called the San Diegans Justice Report Review Committee. Bonnie Kenk, Ms. Delaney and Ms. Domingos will be on the Committee. Ms. Kenk nominated Ms. Delaney to be the Chair.
- CLERB Town Hall Considerations
 - Ms. Delaney emphasized that CLERB is an independent Board. EO Parker mentioned that this item was included to discuss Town Halls moving forward. He felt the February Town Hall was a major success. He loves the concept, but there's some confusion and concern about the sponsorship. We discussed flyers, etc., which are issues that have come up. From EO Parker's perspective, he's open to the community, but thinks the sponsorship concept is problematic, only because we are independent. We must maintain our independence. As to family members showing up, they can show up, have the time and space to say what they want to say whether it's co-sponsored or not. As far as flyers, as an independent government agency, responsible for civilian oversight, that our agenda and press release and upcoming social media, we can publicize best we can and hopefully the public will show up without a flyer. Ms. Delaney also shared that Chair Youngflesh wanted to remind us that co-sponsors have been brought up and we do not co-sponsor to maintain our impartiality and the appearance of impartiality as well. Members of the public are always welcome to speak, but when we have Town Halls, it is a Special Meeting, and we have to be in compliance with how we run our meetings.
 - Ms. Domingos feels the term "co-sponsor" on a flyer makes it appear it is implied that CLERB loses its independence. She shared that there are other organizations and commissions that have co-sponsors but have maintained their independence. She believes if we use the word "co-host" it means certain family members will be at CLERB meetings, but not be in charge of choosing a day or time. She thinks we could have Mr. Parker, a Board member and a family members or a community organization that has been doing the work, we can have other organizations there. She's hoping we can gravitate towards having a flyer, particularly for community members who are of a certain age, who struggle with technology, referencing a caller who had trouble finding the agenda.
 - Tim Ware thought the Town Hall was great. Feels we gave the public what we and the public want. He doesn't see what we have to gain by having a co-sponsored event.
 - Ms. Pintar feels it's extremely unusual that CLERB, as an independent body, would have a co-sponsor or co-host for a Special Meeting. Does understand other interest groups and organization that are extremely committed, they show up at every meeting and have important things to see. Could see other entities being placed on the agenda to present their report, as with the CPE. She can also see SDJ being a presenter on the actual agenda, presenting their report for people to hear from them directly and to be able to ask questions. She thinks this should strictly be CLERB-hosted events as everyone is welcome.
 - G.I. Wilson agrees with Ms. Pintar and thinks we should maintain our total independence and referenced getting from under the Public Safety Group. That way we can represent everybody in the community and really lean on various organizations like the Sheriff's Department to be transparent and believes the public will appreciate that we're acting independently, and not be nonjudgmental, nonpartisan. What Mr. Wilson wants us to avoid is creating the appearance of

impropriety or the appearance of an association.

- Ms. Kenk asked, *“what if a white supremacist organization wanted to co-sponsor?”* Ms. Domingos commented, if we truly wanted to be independent, we cannot be afraid if a white supremacist wanted to attend. If everybody is included, that means everybody. We’re not the only Board that is governed by a government entity or by laws. If we can look into that and include it in the next Special Meeting. Doesn’t feel a flyer would take away our independence.
- Dr. R. Lee Brown attended last Town Hall. Asked various questions and have not received a response. Decided to review CPE study and there are a couple of things he doesn’t understand, such as how to connect traffic/pedestrian stops with people who are stopped in jail while incarcerated. Will take a look at the data on behalf of the NAACP’s Community Justice Committee and mentioned Emmitt Till Bill was passed this week.
- Darwin Fishman expressed concern about this discussion. First is that the State Auditor’s report, RJC and EJC are really responsible in the same way CPE did a report. There wasn’t going to be a State Auditor’s report until we did a push and convince folks. Feels it’s very critical we be a part of this in terms of a co-sponsor. If you co-host with us, you don’t not lose your independence. Obviously, you’re mentioned in there and you can either claim what they say or refute it. You can independently speak for CLERB. He is also the Juvenile Justice Committee for San Diego and is governed by the same rules and regulations as CLERB and have co-hosted events. He also suggests, there are other models, such as talking to other County commissions and boards.
- Tim Ware believes the format is there to give people the voice.
- Eileen Delaney commented that we’re not going to co-sponsor and clarified for Ms. Domingos that there’s no need for a flyer and will keep what CLERB normally does. Ms. Domingos needed clarification on why CLERB cannot put out a flyer. Ms. Delaney explained that the first Town Hall was successful and feels we should keep what we normally do. She also feels less people will show up for the next Town Hall because of this.
- Ms. Pintar noticed a press release was put out for the regular Town Hall. Was wondering if the press release that was circulated could be a flyer and also, disseminated more broadly. She would be happy to work with the county to make sure more people are aware of it. EO Parker has worked with CCO and they are aware and are willing to work with him on that and are open to work on how to best get the word out.
- EO Parker wanted to include a Comment Public into the record on behalf of Robin Sales, *“I want to appreciate the current CLERB and Paul Parker for changing the direction of this body and for considering the adoption of recommendations of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, the CPE, the Report from Dr. Fairley. All these give specific input for making this Board more relevant in hopefully saving lives. The RJC, EJC and their allied organizations have worked tirelessly for over 15 months to engage members of the community personally impacted by Police Brutality or indifference. We encourage and support them in their quest for justice which begins by holding the San Diego Sheriff’s Department accountable for the disregard for human lives, particularly the black and brown residents of San Diego County. As was the case at your last Town Hall, all the community input came from activists and professionals. We did not hear the voices of family members who are wary of not be heard by elected officials and have to seek solace from current community activists. I implore you to co-hose the Town Hall on March 22 with our organization. Then you will hear from family members directly impacted by your Findings and Recommendations. Thank you and I hope you find a way to make this happen.”* Ms. Delaney commented, for the record, that she does hope family members do attend as we definitely want to hear them. EO Parker commented that we have been reaching out to family members. Since they get hearing and final notices, there is nothing to say, that in a trauma-informed fashion, that we advise them of what we’re doing and if they do want to represent at a Town Hall talking about the deaths in-custody that may have obviously impacted their loved ones, we have that ability to do so. We have folks on staff who have interacted with literally thousands of folks who have lost loved ones in the course of being custody, so if we’re in interaction to advise them of such, we can be a point of contact (?) for respective Town Halls and meetings.
- Tim Ware shared that he is a family member of a loved one who was murdered in our county and appreciates all the professional organizations that supported them. His family, if they feel the need to come to the Town Hall, those organizations encourage them to attend. Appreciate what the organizations are doing, but we need to get our families together.
- Finalize CLERB Town Hall In-Custody Deaths
 - Ms. Delaney shared CLERB commissioned a comprehensive study on deaths in custody that will be review at next month’s meeting and we do have an ad hoc committee for in-custody deaths. EO

Parker commented the whole point in finalizing this CLERB Town Hall for the in-custody deaths, he believes that we have selected and already put out there verbally that the in-custody Town Hall will be March 22. Wants to confirm with CLERB. There is that independent analysis that we have commissioned that will be presented before April 12 meeting, so that is also going to pertain to in-custody deaths. We can move forward and finalize the march 22 Town Hall pertaining to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee Report and for CLERB to consider if they want to do a Town Hall or how they want to incorporate the Analytica Consulting In-Custody Death Report that we commissioned, starting last February. If we want to do two different Town Halls or put them together, the Board should decide moving forward.

- It was decided to continue this discussion on item 8d.

Unfinished Business

- Update: Authority for the Executive Officer to Work with County Staff to Pursue Legislation and/or to Add a Policy to the County Legislative Program in Support of Increased Transparency in Civilian Oversight of Peace Officers and Custodial Officers
 - Mr. Parker responded there has been a bill, Assembly Bill 2557, by Assembly Person Banta in reference this very topic to increase transparency in civilian oversight of Peace Officers and Custodial Officers and specifically talks about overturning the Copley Press Decision that made a lot of what we do confidential. As part of the Legislative Program the County is going to look to that as we move forward for possible supporting based on CLERB's request. If bills come up that look to deal with what we're asking for, he'll be asked by the County whether we should move forward to support that.
- Update: Authority for the Executive Officer to Work with County Staff to Request that the County Board of Supervisors Expand CLERB's Jurisdiction to Include Personnel Involved in Providing Medical Care in County Detention Facilities
 - EO Parker commented that this is gaining some traction now due to the work of Ms. Hoffman and we're hoping to have a more thorough update next month. This request is being actively worked to expand the jurisdiction.
- Update: Racial Disparity and Racial Profiling Subcommittee
 - Shiri Hoffman reminded the Board an ad hoc Committee is intended to be for a limited purpose and duration. If there's a task that seems to have a finite end, then it becomes a Standing Committee, which is important because there are additional Brown Act requirements that apply for a Standing Committee. For instance, if it's a Standing Committee and no ad hoc, it would have to be open to the public.
 - Ms. Domingos referenced the ad hoc committee formation, regarding the issue of racial disparity and racial inequity has been a long-standing issue and would take a long time to resolve. She would like to make a motion to see if we're willing to have these as a Standing Committee. Motion to change the Ad Hoc Committee for Racial Disparities and Inequities to a Standing Committee and make it open to the public.
 - Shiri Hoffman commented that, to establish a Standing Committee you would have to have a resolution. She can work with the ad hoc committee to form that resolution. A resolution drafted ahead of time can be presented on the next agenda.
 - Ms. Delaney added a comment from Chair Youngflesh, "My understanding was that the Racial Disparity Committee's purpose was to offer recommendations and suggestions for how to resolve these issues. Has any work been done?"
 - Ms. Domingos commented, as seen in EO Parker's extensive reports, over the past few weeks, they are talking about the cameras and who's responsible for what. Mr. Parker has sent out various recommendations. She read to at least two and it has been a long-standing issue. It's not what's been asked of the ad hoc committee is not doable in this short time frame that ad hoc committee has. On Wednesday's ad hoc committee, they will decide how to move forward. Ms. Delaney wants to know if the purpose of the committee. EO Parker commented having not being a committee member but being present, the focus of the Committee was to come up with a plan to reduce the likelihood of racial disparity, racial profiling. The Town Hall, the CPE report needed to be discussed and addressed as they are part of some of the things that are going to be put into the plan. Also, the committee is in the process of detailing specific requests that they're going to bring to CLERB with hopes that CLERB will support and forward to the Sheriff's Department.
 - Shiri Hoffman added there's no timeline but needs a logical endpoint. That doesn't make it a Standing Committee.
 - Ms. Domingos felt an intense pressure to come up with solutions to deal with issues that have

existed for years. Referenced the two reports that have magnified what community members have been saying, which is why she made her comments. To take off the intense pressure, she'd rather have this as a Standing Committee.

- Tim Ware added to Ms. Domingos' comment. There is no pressure as our charge was to come up with a plan, not a quick plan so we can do it the right way.
- Update: In-Custody Death Data Review Subcommittee
 - Ms. Delaney wants to know if the Board should have an in-custody death review and then Town Hall with it or wait. EO Parker responded that having dealt with Analytica Consulting, he knows their draft report is about completed and have it to him in time for April 12, agenda.
 - Ms. Domingos. Not quite understanding the message is. Does the ad hoc committee for in-custody deaths, are they the ones spearheading the Town Hall on March 22. Ms. Delaney responded that we won't have the report yet. Discussion is whether we postponed the March 22 Town Hall. Ms. Delaney opened this question up for comment.
 - Ms. Kenk suggested CLERB do one Town Hall on in-custody deaths and thinks we post-pone the 22nd until we've reviewed the Analytica Report be next to the In-custody death report. They should be done at the same Town Hall.
 - Ms. Ware inquired as to whether the In-Custody ad hoc committee going to spearhead the Town Hall. Ms. Kenk agrees that they should spearhead the Town Hall and moves that the In-Custody Death Sub Committee spearhead the Town Hall for the In-Custody Death Report from Analytica and the other Agency. This was not seconded.
 - Ms. Domingos expressed a concern about this Motion discussing how CLERB had no interest in a Town Hall. The main push for the State Audit was community driven so they could have that space and be validated. Doesn't think we should post-pone it because people will have to "Hurry up and wait." She feels we should keep the Town Hall on March 22 whole and move on with the Committee responsible for In-Custody Deaths.
 - Ms. Delaney responded that one is an in-house and the other is a state-report. As far as two committees coming together, we'd still have to wait because report will not be released until April meeting.
 - Shiri Hoffman pointed out the Board will need another special meeting if CLERB wants to continue teleconference because there will be thirty days between each meeting. The March 22 Town Hall can be added to the agenda or there has to be a Special Meeting. Ms. Kenk also decided to withdraw her motion.
 - G.I. Wilson moved CLERB has it's meeting on March 22. And, have the Analytical Town Hall meeting in April as a wrap-up. Motion to have the March 22nd Special Meeting Town Hall and another Town Hall in April for Analytica. This motion was seconded by Ms. Domingos.

Board Member Comments

- There were no Board Member Comments.

Sheriff/Probation Liaison Query

- MaryAnne Pintar thanked the Sheriff's Department for updating the Camera Policy, which is new and important so they can have the technology needed to do their jobs.
- Ms. Delaney also thanked them for BWC's in the Detention facilities.
- Michelle Craig announced that she is retiring from the Department, mentioning the Department is made up of good people, reminding there's no perfect entity or person. The Department takes CLERB's recommendations serious. Thank you for all your hard work on the oversight committee. She added that if you'd like to do a ride-along, please contact Mr. Parker and let him know you're interested. Lt. Ted Greenwald will be taking her place.
- Tim Ware congratulated her and thanked her for the service.
- EO Parker wanted to acknowledge Lt. Craig for her hard work over the last year, which has resulted in a lot of the transparency we've seen.
- Kristin Brayman commented on the good relationship they have with CLERB and look forward to continuing.
- Brian Barnum gave an update. Department has a new chief. March is a popular time to retire. Therefore, they've lost a lot of their upper management. Continue to move forward as the Department goes through its changes.

The Board entered closed session at 8:36 p.m.

Closed Session

- a) Officer Discipline Recommendation - (Govt. Code 54957): Deliberations regarding consideration of subject officer discipline recommendation.
- b) Discussion & Consideration of Complaints & Reports - (Govt. Code 54957): Hearing of citizen complaints brought against Sheriff or Probation peace officers (held in closed session unless the employee requests a public session).

<u>CASE NO.</u>	<u>LAST NAME</u>	<u>CASE NO.</u>	<u>LAST NAME</u>
21-034	Moreno	21-084	Davey
21-089	Rau	21-128	Page
22-003	Rogers		

The meeting was adjourned at 8:56 p.m.

Minutes prepared by Eliza Hugee, Administrative Secretary



PAUL R. PARKER III
Executive Officer



ROBERT SPRIGSS, JR.
Secretary to the Board