

Problem Resolution Report

Peraton

CoSD Contract No. 568996 Revision to Service Level 36 – Data Network Availability Peraton/CoSD – 154

Date: July 14, 2025

<u>Title:</u> Revision to Service Level 36 – Data Network Availability

PRR Number: 154

Summary:

In accordance with the provisions of the IT and Telecommunications Service Agreement by and between the County of San Diego ("County") and Peraton Enterprise Solutions LLC ("Contractor" and hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Parties") with the effective date November 15, 2016 agreement ("the Agreement") is reached on the effective date shown below.

Issue or Problem:

Since all sites' T1 copper connections have been decommissioned, the Parties intend to amend the Service Level 36 - Data Network Availability, to address removal of the copper references and to add the Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellite service as a method of transport.

Resolution:

- 1. Section 9.4 (Data Network Availability) of Schedule 4.8 Service Levels is amended, as per Attachment 1 to this PRR.
- 2. Section 14 (Network Credit Table Examples) of Schedule 4.8 Service Levels is amended, as per Attachment 2 to this PRR.
- 3. Section 5 Service Level Summarization and Weighting Factors table is amended to reflect the following for Service Level ID 36:

Service Level Weighting Table				
Service Level ID	Framework	Service Level	Weighting	
36	Network	Data Network Availability		
		36a – Average Availability		
		36b – Individual Site via Fiber	3%	
		36c - Individual site via Low Earth	3 70	
		Orbit (LEO) satellite		

• •	110 (150 a 512 o 51 an 60 o 110 o 11

4 Revised SL36 shall be effective July 1 2025

The resolution of the issue or problem as described in this Problem Resolution Report shall govern the Parties' actions under the Agreement until a formal amendment of the Agreement is implemented



Problem Resolution Report

Peraton

CoSD Contract No. 568996 Revision to Service Level 36 – Data Network Availability Peraton/CoSD – 154

in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, at which time this Problem Resolution Report shall be deemed superseded and shall be null and void.

All other terms and conditions of the Agreement remain unchanged, and the Parties agree that such terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement shall continue to apply. Unless otherwise indicated, the terms used herein shall have the same meaning as those given in the Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The Parties hereto, intending to be legally bound, have executed by their authorized representatives and delivered this Problem Resolution Report as of the date first written above.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

ALLEN R. HUNSBERGER, Director Department of Purchasing and Contracting

By: Allen Hunsberger (Jul 24, 2025 10:17:57 PDT)

Name: Allen Hunsberger

Title: Assistant Director, Purchasing and Contracting

Date: Jul 24, 2025

PERATON ENTERPRISE SOLUTIONS LLC

Name: Max Pinna

Title: Contracts Manager

Email: max.pinna@peraton.com

Date: Jul 23, 2025

By electronically signing this document, all parties accept the use of electronic signatures.

Adobe Acrobat Sign Transaction Number: CBJCHBCAABAA7yxIZMGXAo5FlLU8QOAVhKaTDcFkKLSE

9.4 Data Network Availability

Service Level	The percentage of time that the data network is available.			
Service Level ID	36a, 36b, 36c			
Definition	The time during which the network is fully functioning and normal business operations can be carried out with no data loss, downtime, or performance degradation during available site hours.			
Service Measure	Performance Target	SL Performance (%)		
Availability	 36a: D1 = average availability of all network circuits and active components 36b: D2 = Individual site connected via fiber with the lowest availability 36c: D3 = Individual site connected via Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellite with the lowest availability 	$D1 \ge 99.95\%$ aggregate availability $D2 \ge 99.5\%$ per fiber Site availability $D3 \ge 99\%$ per LEO Site availability		
Formula	Components include all circuits and active data network components Component Availability (%) = 100% - Component Unavailability (%) Component Unavailability = Component outage duration / Available Component Hours Component outage duration does not include scheduled outages 36A = if Average Component Availability of All Active Components >= 99.95%, then Pass; otherwise, Fail. 36B & 36C Site availability (%) = 100% - Site Unavailability Site Unavailability = Total Outages at Site / Site Available Hours Outages do not include scheduled outages 36B= If Site Availability for all Sites linked via fiber >= 99.5%, then Pass; otherwise, see credit table 4.8.14. 36C = If Site Availability for all Sites linked via LEO >= 99%, then Pass; otherwise, see credit table 4.8.14.			

14 NETWORK CREDIT TABLE EXAMPLES

SL36b and 36c shall compute SL credits based on the following:

Network Availability: Allow 1 failure for availability target every 100 sites (rounded up)

0% of allocated weight if # of failures is less than or equal to allowable failures

25% of allocated weight if # of failures exceeds allowable failures

50% of allocated weight if # of failures exceeds 2x allowable failures

100% of allocated weight if # of failures exceeds 3x allowable failures

For clarity, if the number of sites is 1 to 100, the allowable failure count is 1; if the number of sites is 101 to 200, the allowable failure count is 2; if the number of sites is 201 to 300, the allowable failure count is 3, etc.

Examples based on 10 Low Earth Orbit (LEO) sites and 224 Fiber sites (1 allowable failure for LEO, 3 allowable failures for Fiber):

Example #1 - One "Fiber" location does not meet its individual availability target; no SL credit is due to the County

Example #2 - Three "Fiber" locations do not meet their individual availability targets; an SL credit of 25% of the allocated weight is due to the County

Example #3 - Three "Fiber" locations do not meet their individual availability targets and three LEO locations do not meet their individual availability targets; an SL credit of 75% of the allocated weight is due to the County (25% + 50%)

Example #4 - Three "Fiber" locations do not meet their individual availability targets; six LEO locations do not meet their individual availability targets; an SL credit of 100% of the allocated weight is due to the County (25% + 100%; however, the maximum credit due is capped at 100% of the assigned weight)