# 5-Years Later Low-Threat Petroleum UST Closure Policy

#### Presented by:

Kevin Heaton Geologist, P.G., CHG County of San Diego, Department of Environmental Health, Site Assessment & Mitigation

Sean McClain Geologist, P.G. San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region



## SWRCB Low-Threat Petroleum UST Closure Policy

- Focus on high-priority cases (such as impacted drinking water wells, human health, and sources of free product)
- Provide consistent regulation of the Policy throughout the state
- Path to Case Closure Plans for each open case, including specific milestones and timelines

#### LTC Policy Criteria Difficulties



#### Site Conceptual Model

- Extent of release in both soil and groundwater
- Definition of areas impacted by free product
- Data analysis of dissolved plume stability
- Quantify volume of residual impacted soil remaining
- Maps of dissolved plumes (BTEX and MTBE) and estimated time to reach MCLs

### Free Product Removed to the Maximum Extent Practicable

- Free Product Removal
  - Bailers are not effective
  - Dedicated skimmers or hydrophobic bailers have been effective in sandy soils not silty or clayey soils
- Vapor Extraction and Dual Phase Extraction
  - Effective where free-product is present
- High Vacuum Dual Phase Extraction
  - Effective in tight formations

### Free Product Removed to the Maximum Extent Practicable

- Free product removed to the maximum extent practicable in a manner that minimizes the spread of contamination into previously uncontaminated zones
- Migration shall be the predominant objective in the design of the free product removal system
- To make these evaluations the following methods shall be considered
  - Monitoring the extent of the LNAPL body (usually using the apparent product thickness in monitoring wells) at a certain water level elevation over time
  - Bail-down test
  - Pilot testing (vapor extraction or DPE)

### Free Product Removed to the Maximum Extent Practicable

- Additional removal or active remedial actions shall not be required by regulatory agencies unless
  - Necessary to abate a demonstrated threat
  - Dissolved phase plume is not stable
  - Free product is migrating

#### **UST Cases Closed with Free Product**

- Non-beneficial use aquifers that posed no threat to human health
- Free-product under roads or near freeways that posed no threat to human health or the environment
- Free-product in beneficial use aquifers greater than 30 feet bgs that posed no threat to water supply wells, future groundwater use, human health, or the environment

#### **Questions**