

LOCAL BOULEVARD
RESIDENTS GROUP

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014

Planning and
Development Services

OF THE
CERTIFIED MAIL™



7012 1640 0002 301A 2067



1000



92123

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PINE VALLEY, CA
91962
FEB 28 14
AMOUNT
\$6.49
00068091-03

Project Manager - Mark Wardman

SAN DIEGO COUNTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
5510 OVERLAND AVE, STE 310
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014

PDS-DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

9212381233



RECEIVED
MAR 04 2014

(10F1)

TO: COUNTY PLANNER DIRECTOR - MARK WARDLAW
PDS DIRECTORS OFFICE - ROBERT HINGSTEN
RE: PROPOSED SOTEC SOLAR "RUGGED" SECTION BOULEVARD

WE AS LOCAL BOULEVARD AREA RESIDENTS ALSO CONCLUDE THAT THE SOTEC DRAFT EIR DOES NOT COMPLY WITH CEQA. IT FAILS TO ANALYZE ALTERNATIVES SUCH AS PRODUCING THE SAME POWER AMOUNT USING ROOFTOP SOLAR. WE ASK THAT YOU DELAY THIS PROJECT UNTIL AN ADEQUATE EIR THAT COMPLIES WITH CEQA IS PREPARED.

WE AS LOCAL BOULEVARD AREA RESIDENTS AND REAL ESTATE OWNERS RECOMMEND THAT THE COUNTY OF SD SELECT THE "NO PROJECT" ALTERNATIVE FOR THE SOTEC PROPOSAL DUE TO NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO THE GROUNDWATER IN THE JACINBA/BOULEVARD REGION AS WELL AS DOWNSTREAM.

WE AS LOCAL BOULEVARD AREA RESIDENTS OPPOSE THE "FAST TRACKING" OF ^{THIS} ENERGY PROJECT ON PRIVATE AND PUBLIC LANDS.

- HOW MANY POUNDS OF STEEL WILL THIS PROJECT BE ALLOWED TO POUR INTO OUR SOIL/GROUNDWATER SHED ^{AREA} AS VERTICLE METAL MASTS TO HOLD THESE PANELS?
- WHEN THE METAL MAST POLES OXIDIZE INTO THE MCCAIN VALLEY AQUIFER OVER TIME, HOW WILL THE FUTURE WATER QUALITY OF THIS PRECIOUS AQUIFER BE AFFECTED? STUDIES REQUESTED IN DETAIL
- HOW DOES SOTEC INTEND TO MITIGATE THE DEGRADATION OF LOCAL QUALITY OF WATER IN THE PROJECTS ^{NEAR} ADJACENT RESIDENTS RESIDENTIAL WATER WELLS?
- WHY WOULD YOU ALLOW THE "FAST TRACKING" OF THE "RUGGED" PROJECT ON PRIVATE LANDS?

BOULEVARD RESIDENTS GROUP