

**JAMUL DULZURA  
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP  
FINAL MINUTES  
Tuesday, Jan 8, 2013  
Approved Jan 22, 2013  
Oak Grove Middle School Library  
7:30 pm**

1. **Call to Order:** Michael Casinelli called the meeting to order at 7:43 p.m.
  
2. **Roll Call:**  
Judy Bohlen, Janet Mulder, Michael Casinelli, Jean Strouf, Bill Herde, Preston Brown, Dan Kjonegaard, Ray Deitchman, and Joan Kouns.  
  
**Excused:** Steve Wragg, and Randy White.  
**Absent:** Yvonne Purdy-Luxton, Earl Katzer, and Dale Fuller.  
**Seat #1 – vacant – will be posted in The Jamul Shopper and News in February as our article was inadvertently left out of the January issue. Michael will also contact the former applicants and member of sub-committee Richard Marzec. New member will be appointed at one of the February meetings.**
  
3. **Motion to approve the Agenda January 8, 2013, as posted 72 hours before the meeting and the minutes of December 11, 2012. Motion carried unanimously.**
  
4. **Open Forum: Opportunity for members of the public to speak on any item not on the agenda (time limit 3 minutes)**
  - a. **Joan Kouns was introduced and welcomed as a new member. However she had been a member of the JDCPG for several years from 1970s. She has worked with Supervisors George Bailey and Dianne Jacob in Land Use as well as the County.**
  - b. **Michael Casinelli attended the Swearing in ceremony for Dave Roberts, Dianne Jacob and Ron Roberts.**
  - c. **Notice of Preparation for a 2050 Regional Plan on January 10 2013 at noon at SANDAG offices. Michael will contact Dan Neirinckx and see if he would like to represent us as he did on the GP2020.**
  - d. **Certificates of Election** were passed out to Joan Kouns, Ray Deitchman, and will be held for Dale Fuller, Preston Brown, Steve Wragg, Yvonne Purdy-Luxton and Dan Neirinckx, all of whom were elected in the November 2012 election.
  - e. **Michael Casinelli received a call from Don Parent and SDG&E has some new locations for potential sites for the Jamul Sub-station and will bring them to us on January 22.**
  - f. **Ray Deitchman pointed out that the presence of the sheriff at Jefferson and SR94 has made a big difference in the numbers who are present, but many have moved to other locations in our Planning Area.**
  - g. **Judy Bohlen will not be at the next meeting.**
  
5. **PDS 2012-VAR06-014W1 - Kevin Leon, Applicant: Owner Virginia Spartman-Woodhull, 3233 Fair Oaks Lane, Spring Valley - Add onto the residence, modification –Preston Brown**

**running late – we will cover when he arrives.** Preston stated that he met with the owners, and the County Planner, Don Kraft, and he feels that this is just a technicality and it is a minor addition that does not encroach any further into the setback. It is within the same footprint of the house and the neighbors have no complaints. **Preston moved we recommend approval of this variance for PDS201-VAR 06-014W1 as he sees no problem. Motion carried unanimously.**

6. **Hollenbeck Canyon Wildlife Area/Honey Springs Ranch – Randy White was not present and will report at next meeting.**
7. **Jamul Indian Village Update – Subcommittee met before this meeting with members Bill Herde, Ray Deitchman and Janet Mulder in attendance.** We received the notification of the Final JIV EE on Dec. 21 and hard copies were delivered December 28 to Janet and Michael, even though these members of the sub-committee were out of town and unable to receive the copies.

**At the sub-committee meeting an overview document was created which Bill Herde read to the Group as follows: (As a result of the concluding motion, the following is the official position of the JDCPG)**

JDCPG thanks the tribe for making time to meet with us for additional discussion concerning the tribal EE and community concerns regarding off-reservation impacts of the proposed gaming facility. Please understand that the role of JDCPG is to provide a forum for public input and advisory recommendations to county authorities regarding projects within the community proper. To this end, comments forwarded by JDCPG are made with considerable effort to assist developer and state and local authorities meet or enforce all requirements of current law and ordinances within the community.

The JDCPG recognizes the alterations made to the tribal EE in response to community input and while gratefully acknowledging the improvements, must also express disappointment regarding the failure to fully address several major issues of public safety and strong community concern. Many of the comments and concerns you received from the community appear to have been largely dismissed by declaring their impact as less than significant. We feel you are continuing to base these declarations on incorrect data. Many statements of intention continue to be inconsistent with external documentation, and even within the EE itself. It is the opinion of the JDCPG that ignoring these concerns will be counter-productive to the long and short term goals of your proposed project, and will result in increased costs to your project and significantly increased risk to public safety.

The following “Questions and Concerns regarding the Final JIV Tribal Environmental Evaluation” were chosen from a number presented to the JDCPG and made a part of the minutes and this letter to be sent to the JIV. The JDCPG would appreciate feedback from the JIV at their earliest convenience.

•We recognize and appreciate that JIV in the Final EE removed the statement: *“However the responsibility for approval of design and implementation of intersection improvements resides with Caltrans. The impacts would be less than significant if Caltrans approves and allows construction of the necessary improvements prior to opening of the gaming facility. The impacts would be considered significant and unavoidable if Caltrans does not approve the improvement plans and allow construction of the needed improvements prior to opening of the gaming facility.”* Caltrans makes the statement in their comments on traffic that *“mitigation is the sole responsibility of a proposed proponent and further that the JIV must implement mitigation based on when the impacts are triggered by actions associated with its Gaming Development Project.”* And still further, *“if Caltrans does not approve the application with the identified mitigation, the JIV still cannot take actions that create safety concerns on the State Highway System.”* (emphasis ours) **It is important to us to know that JIV does not intend to move any construction trucks in or out of the JIV property until the encroachment permit has been secured from the State of California. Is this true?**

• It is our understanding that nothing can be done until the Traffic Management Plan is approved by Caltrans and it will be prepared to demonstrate to Caltrans the ability of existing SR-94 to safely handle construction traffic in conjunction with existing truck traffic along SR94. In addition, **we question the truck traffic travel operations**

**between 5:30 and 7:00 p.m. as that is when many of our residents are coming home from work making it a peak commuter time for SR94 in the Jamul area.**

• We are glad you recognize that the CEQA **process must be completed by Caltrans before any construction can proceed** and that Caltrans has not yet commenced working on CEQA.

• **SR 94 and Otay Lakes Road** – Response **ACL7-12** indicates there will not be a direct impact at this intersection that would require mitigation measures. . . However you have recognized the need for a right turn lane going west towards Chula Vista. No mitigation measure is recognized going eastbound from Otay Lakes Road turning left onto SR 94 northbound. . . Please explain how those people from Chula Vista are going to get onto SR94 if they don't use Otay Lakes.

• On page 248, Response **ACL7-13** you make reference to “*facility being constructed to its ultimate classification*” regarding the use of Proctor Valley Road” . . . what is the “ultimate classification” you envision for your facility?

• On page 249 Response **ACL7-15** you state that “*these trips were included in the trip generation estimation for the project since the project traffic generation was calculated based on trip generation rates from similar gambling facilities.*” . . . What gambling facilities were used as exemplars?

• **ACL7-27** – You state that “*All parking areas would be well lit*” and again that “*Areas surrounding the gaming facilities would be well lit*” — In ACL7-71 you go to great lengths to show you will employ “*downcast lighting that is consistent with the requirements of San Diego County lighting standards.*” You further state “*Low-pressure sodium lamps as the preferred illuminating source*” and “*no neon lights are proposed*” . . . all together you say “*will result in site lighting that will not negatively impact surrounding properties.*” **How are these statements consistent with each other and the local dark skies ordinance? Difficult to be “well-lit” and “low-pressure downcast lighting” at the same time!**

**In ACL 7-25** – The 1997 and 1999 data you used stated “*more jurisdictions report more decreases than increases in arrests*” yet the newer 2004 data that we presented states “*show an increased level of crime in communities post casino development*”. Why are you using crime statistics from 1997 and 1999 for analysis when there is 2004 data available which shows a more current impact?

**ACL7-25** further states an extension of County Sheriff's authority onto the reservation for law enforcement, yet only cites a **potential agreement** with the County Sheriff's office. How do you feel this will impact emergency services and law enforcement for the rest of the community? Where is this mitigated?

**ACL7-67** proposes adoption of “Record of Decision and Monitoring and Reporting Plan.” . . . To whom are you reporting? . . . And . . . To whom are you accountable?

**Dan Kjonegaard moved the JDCPG adopt the sub-committee's proposed comments and questions as the position of the JDCPG. Further that they be sent to Steve Davis and all of the other concerned and interested parties who previously received correspondence from the JDCPG re JIV proposed gaming facility. Motion passed unanimously.**

## **8. Officers Announcements and Reports:**

**Michael announced that the Nominating Committee would be meeting right after this meeting and we will vote on new officers at our next meeting, Jan 22, 2013.**

### **ADJOURNMENT:**

**Michael Casinelli adjourned the meeting at 8:30 p.m.**

**Respectfully submitted:**

**Janet Mulder, Secretary**

**NEXT PLANNING GROUP MEETING: TUESDAY, January 22, 2012**  
**OAK GROVE MIDDLE SCHOOL LIBRARY**

