

**Comment Letter I13**

**From:** Diane Hemelstrand <dhemelstrand@financeofamerica.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 29, 2018 12:03 PM  
**To:** LUEG, PalomarMP  
**Subject:** Palomar airport

I loved the old airport but realize it was time to update. My question is ...why did you extend the runway several years ago and then after all the money was spent on improvements United no longer used the airport. It seems it was a benefit to the private jets not for the public. I would love to fly out of Carlsbad again. But flying to Vegas is not the answer for me personally.

} I13-1

Secondly, I do not think private jets should be able to shorten their approach to land by flying over our neighborhood in Rancho Carrillo. That is NOT the original plan when we purchased our home 17 years ago.

} I13-2

Thank you

Diane Hemelstrand

**Disclaimer**

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd.**

**Response to Letter I13****Diane Hemelstrand**

- I13-1** The County acknowledges the comments; however, they do not raise an issue concerning the analysis of adequacy of the PEIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088. Therefore, no further response is required. The County will include the comment as part of the Final PEIR for review and consideration by the decision makers prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.
- I13-2** Regarding aircraft in flight, please refer to **Master Response 3 (VNAP)** and **Master Response 7 (FAA Involvement and Oversight)**. No further response is required.