

Comment Letter I29

From: N & B Hacker <123daisy@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 9:01 AM
To: LUEG, PalomarMP
Subject: Master Plan comments

Why are we extending the airport to accommodate more aircraft in an already busy airport, one in which people to the north of it are having REAL noise issues?

And with no northern noise detection, currently, it seems that the EIR analysis is flawed.

We should not provide for more air traffic when people are suffering from noise pollution to the north and potentially south (with the Master Plan Proposal).

I would favor the Alternative #3 as presented at the January 30 meeting (not Alt. #5 or #6).

I29-1

Sincerely

Nancy Hacker
6047 Paseo Alameda
Carlsbad, CA 92009
760 814-2505

Response to Letter I29**Nancy Hacker**

- I29-1** Noise contours produced for the PEIR were not generated using noise monitors or noise monitoring data at the Airport. Please refer to **Master Response 4 (Noise Monitors and PEIR Calculations)**. Also, as discussed in the PEIR Section 2.4.1, existing noise contours surrounding the Airport were produced using the Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT), the FAA’s required model for evaluating noise impacts in the vicinity of airports. Inputs used to develop existing conditions noise contours included detailed flight information gathered in 2016 (operational data for January 1–December 31, 2016). This included an evaluation of operational data provided by the County’s Airport Noise and Operations Monitoring System and FAA’s Traffic Flow Management System Counts and Air Traffic Activity System. Future year noise contours were also produced using AEDT, accounting for forecasted growth in the number of operations for each scenario analyzed along with other variables such as change in aircraft types operating at the Airport. More details on the noise analysis conducted for the PEIR can be found in Appendix D to the PEIR.