

**Comment Letter I32**

---

**From:** areysbergen@juno.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 06, 2018 10:57 AM  
**To:** LUEG, PalomarMP  
**Subject:** McClellan-Palomar Airport Master Plan Draft PEIR

Reference:  
 S.5.3 D-III Full Compliance Alternative

"This alternative plans for a future 800 ft runway extension and the installation of a 350-foot-long EMAS installed at both runway ends.....  
 A 900-foot extension to the east would require the *relocation of El Camino Real....*"

could you CLARIFY what the 'relocation of El Camino Real' entails ....

} I32-1

thank you,  
 alice reysbergen  
 property owner, resident and registered **San Diego County Voter**

**Response to Letter I32****Alice Reysbergen**

- I32-1** PEIR Chapter 4 describes the various alternatives to the Proposed Project, including the D-III Full Compliance Alternative which would include the relocation of El Camino Real. The intent was to identify a potential future conflict with El Camino Real; however, this scenario has not been funded or designed. At this time, it would be too speculative to identify the ramifications and details of relocating El Camino Real to accommodate the D-III Full Compliance Alternative. No changes have been made to the PEIR in response to this comment.