

Comment Letter I54

From: areysbergen@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2018 9:42 AM
To: LUEG, PalomarMP
Cc: Curtis, Cynthia
Subject: Benefits of Airfield Alternative 1

5.6.1.2 Benefits of Airfield Alternative 1

- ◆H **Construction of EMAS enhances airfield safety**
- ◆H ***Lower estimated construction cost*** as runway maintains existing configuration
- ◆H Improves areas at Runway End 06, including areas on blast pad that exceed B-II grading design standards
- ◆H Improvements remain on Airport property, minimal adverse impact to landside or off-Airport activity
- ◆H Minimal impact (encroachment) on general aviation/FBO operations
- ◆H ***Satisfies Airport users who have identified that maintaining existing runway width is extremely important***
- ◆H The alternative does not impact existing North Ramp area or aircraft parking in that area
- ◆H No change in the size of runway protection zones
- ◆H **No direct impacts** to immediately adjacent offsite development or **roadways**

I54-1

**as a resident, property owner in Carlsbad and registered VOTER in San Diego County ..
I earnestly request that Airfield Alternative 1 be adopted...
thank you,
alice reysbergen**

Response to Letter I54**Alice Reysbergen**

- I54-1** This comment states support for Alternative 1 of the Master Plan Update; however, it does not raise an issue concerning the analysis or adequacy of the PEIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15088. Therefore, no further response is required. This comment is included in the Final PEIR for review and consideration by the County Board of Supervisors prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.