

Comment Letter R-I25

From: Tony Kurlovich <kkurly@att.net>
Sent: Saturday, August 04, 2018 1:24 PM
To: LUEG, PalomarMP; michael.schumacher@carlsbadca.gov
Cc: Nancy Sayles Kurlovich
Subject: Fw: Low flying over residential area

This is a serious safety issue. This was the first I was able to report. Earlier, there was a private aircraft that buzzed the RC golf course at less than an estimated 300 ft above grade but I was in shock did not in a position to deal with it.

I have yet to get any response from the FAA. This compounds my concern.

This lack of response constitutes permission for unsafe flying. In the event of a crash due to negligence, Palomar could be seen culpable and may not be able to hide behind the FAA. This would open up the county and city to liability and the wrath of voters.

R-I25-1

On Tuesday, June 20, 2017 3:05 PM, Tony Kurlovich <kkurly@att.net> wrote:

To
jacques.beaver@faa.gov
 CC
Andrew.little@faa.gov

Time: Monday 6/12/17 at about 12:28 PM
 Place: 3534 Don Carlos Dr., Carlsbad, Ca 92010

After hearing it, I saw a single engine plane approaching from the NNW at a very low altitude. It made a very hard bank near Cannon Rd. and returned in roughly the direction from which it came. My estimate of the altitude was significantly less than 800 ft. More worrying was the steep bank angle. Additionally, the direction of its approach would have taken it over hilltop residences that 200 to 300 feet higher than where I saw it.

I called Jessica at Palomar operations (760-966-3273) and after her review of the tracking data she confirmed the initial observations. She identified the tail number as N6870L registered to Barr Air Patrol.

My concern is the Low altitude flight over residences and near schools, combined with the high bank angle, leaves little room for mechanical failure or additional pilot misjudgement.

Tony Kurlovich 858-229-7192

R-I25-2

Response to Letter R-I25**Tony Kurlovich**

- R-I25-1** This comment states the commenter's safety concerns with low flying aircraft at the Airport. The County acknowledges receipt of this comment; however, it does not cite specific environmental issues with the PEIR analysis or proposed mitigation. Please refer to **Master Response 6** discussing existing Airport activity. Furthermore, the comment does not provide input related to the recirculated Draft PEIR subjects (i.e., Biology, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Energy Use and Consumption, RPZs). Therefore, no changes to the PEIR have been made, and no further response is required. This comment is included in the Final PEIR for review and consideration by the County Board of Supervisors prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.
- R-I25-2** This comment includes a copy of an email sent from the commenter to FAA concerning low flying aircraft at the Airport. Please refer to **Response to Comment R-I25-1** above. No changes to the PEIR have been made, and no further response is required.