

Comment Letter R-I30

From: Pia Romano <psromano24@ymail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 06, 2018 11:39 AM
To: LUEG, PalomarMP
Cc: Mayor Judy Ritter; Amanda Young Rigby; John Aguilera; John Franklin; Joe Green
Subject: Comments Re: Recirculated portions of Airport master plan update draft PEIR2

Hello Ms. Curtis,

I am a Vista resident and spent some time reviewing the Palomar Airport Master Plan Update PEIR2.

In the last few years, Vista residents (myself included) have been severely impacted by the noise of the airplane overflights as well the environmental impact of airplane fuel. No where in this updated report are any mitigation plans/efforts for the impacts of noise and airplane fuel particulates. The effects of noise and fuel particles have not be measured adequately and need to be in order to determine how to remedy these effects upon Vista Residents, not to mention Carlsbad residents.

R-I30-1

Traffic congestion is also a factor that was not adequately addressed in the EIR. I was looking at traffic maps of Vista between 2014-2017 and the traffic increased 3% each year (without any airport expansion). This EIR and current infrastructure does not account for that much traffic increase year over year. In addition, the airport expansion will increase traffic (likely more than 3% per year) particularly around the Carlsbad/Vista area (ie. Palomar Airport Road/El Camino Real and areas around that intersection).

R-I30-2

Another factor that was not addressed and according to what I understand, Carlsbad residents legally have the right to vote on this proposed Palomar Airport expansion and have not been given the opportunity to vote.

R-I28-3

For these reasons, I am adamantly against the expansion of McClellan Palomar Airport.

Sincerely,

Pia Romano
Vista 92081

Response to Letter R-I30**Pia Romano**

- R-I30-1** The comment does not provide input related to the recirculated Draft PEIR subjects. The topic raised in this comment (i.e., existing noise conditions) were analyzed under the Draft PEIR. Please refer to **Response to Comment Letter I85**. Therefore, no changes to the PEIR have been made, and no further response is required. This comment is included in the Final PEIR for review and consideration by the County Board of Supervisors prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.
- R-I30-2** The comment does not provide input related to the recirculated Draft PEIR subjects. The topic raised in this comment (i.e., transportation) were analyzed under the Draft PEIR. Please refer to **Response to Comment Letter I85**. Therefore, no changes to the PEIR have been made, and no further response is required. This comment is included in the Final PEIR for review and consideration by the County Board of Supervisors prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.
- R-I30-3** The comment does not provide input related to the recirculated Draft PEIR subjects. Please refer to **Master Response 5** and **Response to Comment Letter I85**. Therefore, no changes to the PEIR have been made, and no further response is required. This comment is included in the Final PEIR for review and consideration by the County Board of Supervisors prior to a final decision on the Proposed Project.