



OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

August 16, 2016

Mary Casillas Salas

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Presiding Department San Diego Superior Court 220 W. Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Response to 2015/2016 Report on Citizen Oversight Boards of Police Behavior

Dear Judge Barton:

On May 25, 2016 the San Diego County Grand Jury filed a report on citizen oversight boards of police behavior with the Clerk of the Court. The Grand Jury's report included two recommendations directed to the Mayors and City Councils of seven cities in San Diego County.

The following response pursuant to California Penal Code §933(c) and §933.05 is on behalf of the City of Chula Vista by Mayor Mary Casillas Salas and the City Council of Chula Vista.

Following are the Grand Jury's recommendations with the City's responses:

Recommendation 16-30: Establish independent citizen commissions for oversight of police behavior.

The City of Chula Vista has determined that this recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted, based on the following:

1. The Police Department's Community Advisory Committee, rather than a citizen oversight board, is best for Chula Vista.

According to the Grand Jury's report, page 7, the Grand Jury received an unspecified number and type of complaints on police conduct from citizens in unspecified cities "who felt there was inadequate resolution of their grievances, suggesting the current process needs improvement." Accordingly, the Grand Jury recommended that seven cities in the county with their own police departments each establish a citizen oversight board, or form Joint Powers Agreements to set up regional review boards.

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Two August 16, 2016

The City of Chula Vista already thoroughly considered whether an oversight board is needed and concluded, based on the recommendation of a citizens task force, there is no demonstrated need. Instead, the City Council in 2001 adopted the "strong recommendation" of the citizen task force to establish the Police Department's Community Advisory Committee (CAC), which is noted in the Grand Jury's report, page 7. The very thoughtful and thorough report of the 2001 Citizen Task Force (CTF) is attached as Exhibit A.

The CAC currently has 10 community members from a variety of backgrounds and with a variety of viewpoints. They are volunteers and serve without pay. In addition to the topics noted below, the CAC recently has discussed the Department's use of Body Wom Cameras and a new "early warning" software system to track citizen complaints.

The City Council mostly recently accepted a report on the CAC on March 5, 2013, which provided the following summary:

"History An ad hoc citizens task force recommended that the Police Department form a community advisory committee on police practices and procedures. The task force, authorized by the City Council in May 2001, was charged with studying and making findings on whether the Department needed a civilian review board to evaluate complaints of police misconduct. The seven-member Citizen Task Force (CTF) was formed by City Manager David R. Rowlands, Jr., who, with a consultant's help, solicited more than 20 community groups to nominate members to serve on the CTF.

The CTF met eight times from August through November 2001. Over that four-month period, CTF members invested an estimated 656 hours on their mission. They reviewed police policies and procedures on investigating and resolving citizen complaints; materials on civilian review boards in other jurisdictions; SANDAG's 2000 Citizen Opinion Survey; and police academy and in-service training on ethics and police conduct. They reviewed claims filed against the Police Department and fourteen (14) formal citizen complaints filed with the Police Department within the preceding 12-month period. They also participated in police ride-alongs and the Department's Citizen Academy; interviewed community members, members of police groups, and participants in civilian review boards. They took public testimony at a three-hour public meeting, which drew about 50 community members. The CTF summarized its work and recommendations in a twenty-page report, which it presented to the City Council on December 17, 2001.

The CTF found no demonstrated need for a civilian review board. Instead, the CTF 'strongly recommend(ed)' an advisory citizen board on police practices and procedures. According to the CTF's report, 'The purpose of an advisory

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Three
August 16, 2016

board would be to work in partnership with the Chief of Police on matters related to public safety and community-related issues. An advisory board would advise and consult with the Chief of Police concerning police department policies and procedures that involve the department's interaction with the public. The advisory board would meet regularly with the Chief of Police to discuss relevant public safety and community-related issues.'

The City Council unanimously accepted the CTF's report and approved its recommendations in Resolution 2001-455. The Police Department's Community Advisory Committee (CAC) held its first meeting on October 21, 2002.

Composition The CAC meets up to quarterly at the Police Department...The CAC operates in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act, Government Code Section 54950 et seq. Accordingly, CAC meetings are open to the public and provide an opportunity for public comment. Agendas are posted the week before the meeting in various places in Chula Vista, including the Civic Branch Library bulletin board, the Police Department, and Civic Center Kiosk. Notice of the meeting is included on the City's website calendar and is mailed to local media. The meeting is staffed by members of the Police Chief's executive team...

The CAC has no required number of members. It currently has 10 community members...Members are volunteers and serve without pay. They are appointed by the Chief of Police, serve terms of two to three years, and may extend with the Chief's permission. Members are encouraged to complete the Department's Citizen Academy, which is offered annually, before joining the CAC to have a basic understanding of the Department's functions and operations. Members are also encouraged to take advantage of training opportunities offered by the Department. Those interested in serving on the CAC may contact the Chief's Office at 619-691-5150 for more information.

Focus The CAC provides a mechanism for two-way communication between the Department and community members on police issues and practices. CAC members may give informed opinions and reactions to the Police Department and gain understanding on public safety issues of concem. Members are urged to share what they have learned with their peers and neighbors. Similarly, the Police Department may receive valuable input and advice from the members to help implement problem-solving measures or improve performance. Although the CAC is advisory only, the exchange of information and opinions strengthen the communication and relationship between the community and the Police Department.

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Four August 16, 2016

The Department and the CAC may jointly develop the meeting agenda. Standing topics include budget, crime reports, and summary data on police complaints. Topics the CAC has considered over the years have included DUI check points, recruiting, traffic stops, use of force, a resident opinion survey, geographic policing, verified response to security alarms, peace officer education standards, and the Apartment Safety Project...."

The CTF report, Exhibit A at page 14, listed several reasons a citizen oversight board was not needed. These reasons, excerpted below, remain relevant today, 15 years after the Mayor and City Council rejected a citizen oversight board in favor of the CAC.

- There is no history of mistrust of the Chula Vista Police Department. To date, no high-profile incidents of misconduct by police have occurred, which would warrant citizen oversight.
- Police officers receive ongoing training to improve and upgrade their education and skills. The California Commission on Police Officer Standards and Training (P.O.S.T.) requires peace officers to undergo a minimum of 24 hours of certified training every two years. This training includes 4 hours of defensive tactics, 4 hours of tactical firearms, 4 hours of emergency vehicle operations and 2 hours of tactical communications. The Chula Vista Police Department schedules a minimum of 45 hours of training for each officer each year, in addition to other specialized training courses. This is almost four times the amount of training required by P.O.S.T. In short, the Department chooses to invest in properly training its officers to prevent problems that could prompt citizen complaints, rather than rely on investigating problems after the fact. In the event there are citizen complaints or acts of misconduct, the Department has proven itself capable of aggressively and proactively investigating the matter. When misconduct is discovered, the Department is quick to investigate and act, up to and including the termination of officers who are found to have engaged in misconduct.
- The Department has established clear guidelines and uses a process that appropriately and fairly evaluates complaints of police misconduct. Citizen complaint forms can be found easily on the Department website. Complaint forms also may be picked up in person or mailed upon request. Complaints are also taken in person or via telephone by a supervisor.
- The average time to investigate and resolve citizen complaints is reasonable. The Department's policy is to complete formal complaint investigations within 30 days.
- The number of citizen complaints is low and has remained low. The total number of formal misconduct complaints received by the Department in 2015 was 44. (12 were

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Five August 16, 2016

from citizens, 32 from Department members; only 3 of the total number were of a serious nature.) Forty-four is an extremely low number considering that in 2015, police officers received 97,632 calls for service, took 18,143 reports and arrested 3,127 individuals.

- As noted, most misconduct complaints received by the Police Department are low-level, such as discourtesy or failure to file a police report, and do not involve serious allegations, such as brutality or criminal conduct. In fact, the majority of complaints are not citizen driven, but pro-actively initiated and investigated as internal Department complaints.
- 2. The Chula Vista Police Department builds trust with the community it serves proactively with communication and relationships, rather than reactively through a complaint-driven review board.

The Grand Jury's report, page 7, states a reason for recommending citizen oversight boards: "The presence of a review board gives citizens a means to seek justice in dealing with law enforcement; the absence of a review board can seriously erode public trust in its police department."

Regarding the reference to justice, the City of Chula Vista is fully compliant with laws available to individuals who feel wronged by the conduct of a police officer or any other City employee, such as receiving and promptly and vigorously investigating service complaints and administrative claims.

Regarding the reference to public trust, as previously stated, there is no history or current evidence of the community's mistrust of the Chula Vista Police Department. To the contrary, based on the Department's high level of service and demonstrated support from the community, the City believes the level of trust and confidence in its police department is as high as it ever has been. The City's elected officials regularly receive thanks and praise from community members who have been helped by Chula Vista police officers.

The Chula Vista Police Department has proactively built trust with community members by emphasizing communication and relationships. In fact the Department implemented and has continued to build on the CTF's recommendations to continue a positive relationship with the community it serves. These recommendations, at pages 18-20 of Exhibit A, include streamlining the process for filing citizen complaints, improving the Department's website, developing a system to track informal complaints, establishing a point of contact to respond to complaints, creating a Community Relations Unit, and increasing presence and positive interaction by Police Department members at community activities and events. The following are a few examples:

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Six August 16, 2016

- The Department offers annual teen academies and citizen academies. These
 academies, staffed by police officers who volunteer their time as instructors, quickly
 fill to capacity, offer an unparalleled hands-on, behind-the-scenes opportunity to leam
 about the Department and the many responsibilities of its swom and civilian
 members.
- The Department regularly partners with Chula Vista business owners to hold "coffee with a cop" events, which provide informal venues for patrol officers and supervisors to talk with community members.
- The Department's Police Chief and Captains attend each City Council meeting.
 These individuals are available to speak to any member of the public before, during and after the meeting.
- The Department operates a storefront at the Otay Ranch Mall. This venue, regularly staffed by the Police Chief and other swom members, provides another informal opportunity for communication and relationships. The Department is seeking other opportunities for a storefront.
- The Department's Community Relations Unit, comprised of a lieutenant, sergeant, two officers, a community relations specialist, and student interns, handles or coordinates Department responses to community priorities, such as homelessness and crime prevention, as a supplement to patrol activities in these areas. This team also handles or coordinates countless community events, from weekly neighborhood watch gatherings to large-scale annual events, such as National Night Out, one of the most elaborate and largely attended events of its kind in Southern California.
- The Department uses its website and social media to engage community members on a variety of public safety topics, encouraging two-way communication.
- As part of the White House Open Data Initiative, the Department recently expanded its website to include data on citizen complaints, officer demographics and officer involved shootings. The Department plans to offer more relevant data points which may be of interest to the public in the future.
- The Department's Professional Standards Unit is dedicated to receive, track and investigate citizen complaints. The Department also has increased the availability of swom supervisors in the field to quickly address complaints at the point of service.
- The Department was one of the first in the county to equip its officers with Body Worn Cameras. Video of police contacts increases accountability and transparency, which enhances the community's trust and confidence in the Department.

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Seven August 16, 2016

- In 2016, the Department purchased and implemented an "early warning" software system to track citizen complaints. Additionally, the system tracks officer conduct more thoroughly, to include use of force, pursuits, collisions or traumatic incidents, to name a few. The system alerts' supervisors if officers surpass a "trigger point" of incidents. The intent of the system is to alert supervisors and managers before misconduct occurs if possible so they can intervene. This system is part of the Department's investment and emphasis on officer wellness and support throughout their careers.
- The Department has an extensive and confidential Peer Support Unit staffed with trained volunteer employees to assist fellow employees in the event they are struggling in their careers or personal lives. The Department views this investment in officer wellness as a way to avoid and mitigate the potential for officer misconduct before it occurs. Identifying and preventing officer misconduct has proven to be a very effective strategy for the Department.
- In general, high-profile citizen complaints of misconduct that have gained national notoriety have involved allegations of unjustified officer-involved shootings, excessive force, racial profiling and poor tactics. The Department takes extreme care to proactively train its officers to avoid such potential complaints. This training incudes:
 - o An emphasis on communication and respect, to include tactical communication and de-escalation techniques.
 - The slowing down of tactical situations when possible to better plan and avoid committing to a scenario where force must be used.
 - A wide deployment of less lethal force options for officers. The Department deploys Tasers with every officer, beanbag launchers in most patrol cars and makes pepper ball launchers available for qualified officers to take in service. These less lethal options are accompanied by extensive scenario based training to ensure officers have a wide-variety of options to resolve situations at the lowest level in the event force must be used.
 - In 2014 every officer in the Department completed 4 hours of California State mandated Racial and Cultural Diversity and Racial Profiling training to ensure its officers are culturally aware and respectful of all members of the community.

The Honorable Jeffrey B. Barton, Presiding Judge Page Eight August 16, 2016

Recommendation 16-31: Determine the specific commission model with community input to ensure acceptance, independence, and accountability.

The City of Chula Vista had determined that this recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted: there is no need to design a commission that is not needed. In the event the City's decision-makers, the elected Mayor and City Council, in the future determine a need for a commission separate from the existing CAC, the Mayor and Council will direct City staff to carefully and thoroughly design a commission that best meets needs and resources, with community input throughout the development and decision processes.

Thank you for your interest in the City of Chula Vista. If you would like additional information or have any further questions please contact Police Chief David Bejarano at 619-691-5150.

Sincerely,

Mary Casillas Salas

May Carelles Jalan

Mayor

Exhibit A

COUNCIL AGENDA STATEMENT

Item: Meeting Date: *19* 12/17/01

ITEM TITLE:

RESOLUTION

ACCEPTING THE REPORT

FROM THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE CIVILIAN REVIEW COMPONENT OF THE COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT PLAN AND REVIEWING ITS

RECOMMENDATIONS.

SUBMITTED BY:

City Manager

REVIEWED BY:

City Manager Can Pure

(4/5ths Vote: Yes___No X_)

At its May 29, 2001 meeting, the Chula Vista City Council requested an ad hoc committee be formed to examine the subject of police civilian review boards. Council determined that the issue warranted further examination given the diverse composition of the city. Attached is the report that resulted from the Community Task Force.

RECOMMENDATION: That Council accept the report from the Ad Hoc Committee on the Civilian Review Component of the Community Enhancement Plan, and review its recommendations.

BOARDS/COMMISSIONS RECOMMENDATION: N/A

BACKGROUND: Earlier this year, Council requested an ad hoc committee be formed to examine the subject of police civilian review boards. As a result, an ad hoc committee comprised of community members was established to study the issue in detail and formulate a recommendation to Council.

DISCUSSION: The Community Task Force that resulted from Council's request was a 7-member committee, which met eight times over a four-month period. They reviewed the nature and intent of the request for a civilian review board; reviewed numerous reports, policies, and statistics; reviewed the procedures currently used to investigate allegations of police misconduct; reviewed police academy and in-service training on ethics and police conduct; famillarized themselves with police department policies and procedures by participating in the Citizens' Academy and in "ride-alongs" as necessary; and, formulated a recommendation regarding the subject of a civilian review board in Chula Vista.

Page 2,Item:
Meeting Date: 12/17/01

FISCAL IMPACT: Accepting the report and reviewing recommendations will result in no fiscal impact.

Attachments: Ad Hoc Committee Report on Civilian Review Boards

CITY OF CHULA VISTA

ON

CIVILIAN-POLICE REVIEW

DECEMBER 4, 2001

Table of Contents

1.	Summary of Recommendations	1
2.	Background & Process of Selecting Task Force	3
3.	Methodology and Data Collection	4
4.	Public Meeting	7
4.	Findings & Recommendations	14
5.	Appendix	
	A. Public Hearing Notice	

- B. Complaint Form
- C. Samples of Complaint Forms from other jurisdictions
 D. Agenda of Public Safety Meeting by San Diego Police Department

SUMMARY

The seven-member Citizen's Task Force on Civilian Review submits the following report and recommendations for consideration by the City Council's designee, the City Manager of the City of Chula Vista.

On May 29, 2001, the City Council requested a Citizen Task Force be formed to review the subject of Civilian-Police Review Boards. The Citizen Task Force was asked to determine whether the City of Chula Vista should establish a Citizen Police Review Board to review police practices and policies and investigate citizen complaints of police misconduct.

Recommendation: After careful review of the police department's existing policies, procedures, and practices, and consideration of community input on this subject, the Citizen Task Force finds there is no demonstrated need for a Civilian Police Review Board.

Additional Recommendations:

- 1. In lieu of a Civilian Police Review Board, the Citizen Task Force strongly recommends the formation of an Advisory Citizen Board on Police Practices and Procedures. The purpose and scope of an advisory board would be to work in partnership with the Chief of Police on matters related to public safety and community-related issues. An advisory board would advise and consult with the Chief of Police concerning police department policies and procedures that involve the department's interaction with the public. The advisory board would meet regularly with the Chief of Police to discuss relevant public safety and community-related issues.
- 2. The Citizen Task Force recommends the citizen complaint form be revised to make it user-friendly. In addition, it is recommended a police officer liaison be assigned for all citizen-police complaints. One officer should be designated to contact and relay information to citizen-complainants concerning the process, and the approximate time it will take to review and resolve a complaint.
- 3. The Citizen Task Force proposes an Annual Community Public Safety Meeting between the Police Department and community members. The purpose would be to increase citizen familiarity with police services and programs and provide an additional opportunity for citizens and the police department to interact in a positive manner. An Advisory Board would be instrumental in assisting the police department in developing its first annual community public safety meeting.

4. Chula Vista Police Department's Web Site should include a description of the citizen complaint process that would provide information how, where, and to whom a complaint may be made, and the name and phone number of the person to contact within the department for further information about the complaint process:

The report contains a discussion of materials and community comments considered by the Task Force members in reaching their recommendations.

The Task Force commends the City Council for authorizing the City Manager, David Rowlands Jr. to form a Citizen Task Force to study and address the issue of a Citizen Review Board. The Citizen Task Force appreciates the openness and willingness of Chief Rick Emerson and his staff to readily provide material on police policies, procedures and other information requested by the Citizen Task Force which it needed to prepare its report and recommendations. The Citizen Task Force also thanks Josie Calderon, who in her capacity as a consultant and facilitator greatly assisted the Task Force in obtaining, compiling and sorting the required information and material for preparation of this report.

Dated: December 4, 2001, at Chula Vista, California

Respectfully submitted,

Lilia E. Garcia

Chairperson

Citizen Task Force on Civilian Review

BACKGROUND

At the City Counsel meeting on May 29, 2001, some community members proposed that the council create a citizen board to review police practices and procedures concerning citizen complaints of police misconduct. The City Council authorized a citizen task force be formed to review and make findings on the subject of Citizen-Police Review Boards.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS

The following seven (7) members were invited by the City Manager, and agreed, to serve on the Citizen Task Force:

Greg Alabado

Council of Philippine American Organizations (COPAO)

Chuck Hamilton

Bonita Business and Professional Association

Doug Harrell

Chula Vista Elementary School District

Lilia Garcia

Mexican American Business and Professional Association

Ben Richardson

Chula Vista Chamber of Commerce

Yolanda Salcido

South Bay Forum

Barbara Worth

Lutheran Social Services - Shared Housing Project

At its first meeting, the members of the CTF selected Lilia Garcia to serve as their Chairperson.

PROCESS USED TO SELECT TASK FORCE MEMBERS

In an effort to involve the community, the City retained Josie Calderon of JLC Consultants to help facilitate the formation of the Citizen Task Force (CTF). Ms. Calderon assisted the City Manager in selecting the Task Force Members.

In order to obtain maximum community input and diverse representation, the City contacted over twenty community organizations and invited them to nominate a member to serve on the CTF. From this pool of nominees a seven-member task force was formed. Each member lives and/or works in Chula Vista and represents an active community stakeholder organization, i.e., business, civic, ethnic, senior citizen, or youth groups. By involving community advocates nominated by their participating organization the City gained the added experience and historical value that each member brought to the task force. CTF members shared pertinent information provided by their respective group members with the Task Force. CTF members also relayed activities of the task force to their organizations such as the planning of the public meeting.

METHODOLOGY AND DATA COLLECTION

Meetings

The CTF met eight times over a four-month period commencing on August 29, and ending on November 28, 2001. Based on an aggressive time schedule and an enormous amount of information to review, the Task Force chose to keep its working meetings closed and to schedule a public meeting for citizen Input on October 30, 2001.

The Task Force members also spent a considerable amount of individual time on tasks relative to the CTF such as review of printed materials, viewing videos, reading reports, and conducting interviews of community members and of representatives of police groups, including the Police Officer's Association and Latino Peace Officers Association. In addition, several CTF members attended the Citizen's Academy and participated in ride-alongs with Chula Vista police officers. An estimated collective total of 656-plus hours were volunteered by the CTF members.

The CTF Reviewed and Considered the following information and materials:

- 1. Reviewed Chula Vista Police Department's historical statistics on the types of citizen complaints.
- 2. Reviewed CVPD's current policies and procedures used to investigate and resolve citizen complaints of police misconduct
- 3. Reviewed the nature and purpose of civilian review boards and examined favorable and unfavorable characteristics and results of civilian review boards.
- 4. Reviewed Citizen Opinion Survey of CVPD by SANDAG in 2000
- 5. Conducted Individual Review of each of fourteen (14) citizen formal complaints filed with the CVPD within last 12 months
- 6. Reviewed process for filing a citizen complaint
- 7. Reviewed Police academy and in-service training on ethics and police conduct

- 8. Reviewed Policies, Procedures, Programs, Equipment and Organizational Safeguards Currently in Place in the CVPD
- 9. Participated in Citizen's Academy and in ride-alongs with officers
- 10. Viewed Video Tape of Council Meeting of May 29, 2001, item 17.
- 11. Conducted a Public Hearing and Took Public Comment
- 12. Solicited and obtained comments and input from police group organizations

The CTF Considered Four Types of Civilian Review Boards;

- 1. Civilian Investigators: Civilians investigate complaints against the Police Department and make recommendations to the Chief of Police
- 2. Civilian Reviewers: Police investigate complaints and make findings; civilians review police findings and recommend acceptance or rejection of those findings
- 3. Citizens Appeals Board: Complainants may appeal police findings to the civilian review board
- 4. Civilian Auditors: an independent auditor investigates the process used by police to investigate citizen complaints and the auditor reports on the thoroughness and fairness of process to department and public

In addition to the various types of Civilian Review Boards (CRBs), the CTF reviewed the advantages and disadvantages of CRBs, the cost of CRBs, the types of citizen complaints that qualify for investigation by a CRB, and the reasons cities establish them. For, example citizen complaints that would qualify for civilian review and investigation typically involve allegations involving serious police misconduct such as use of excessive force or other egregious conduct.

The CTF Viewed the Videotape of Item #17 of City Council Meeting of May 29, 2001

A copy of the above video tape was provided to each member of the Task Force and reviewed in its entirety by CTF members. The viewing of the video tape was considered important since several citizens proposed the creation of a citizen-police review board. After viewing the tape, the CTF members discussed the statements made on the tape and considered public comments made at May 29th meeting as part of their review. The CTF members found the public comments on May 29th to be too general to provide a fair

assessment of police conduct. For this reason, the CTF invited the individuals who had provided public comment and/or letters to the City Council to attend the CTF Community Public Meeting to support their earlier comments to the City Council with specific examples and experiences, if any, with the CVPD.

Review of Citizen Complaint Process

The CTF was given a detailed presentation describing the Citizen Complaint process. The presentation included the type of complaints received, the investigation and review process [on average a citizen complaint is resolved within 2.5 months], information about disciplinary action, safeguards to ensure reliability of the complaint resolution process, and progressive policing.

The Task Force found the CVPD's policies and procedures related to the investigation and disposition of citizen complaints to be clear and consistent in their application. The Task Force found no evidence of a disproportionate number of individuals and/or groups being targeted.

Departmental and Citizen Complaints

There are two types of complaints: departmental complaints which in 2000 comprised 74% of all complaints and citizen complaints which comprised 26% of all complaints. Departmental complaints are those initiated by a department employee based on personal observation or knowledge of misconduct or policy violation, or information received from another department employee. The Task Force found the departmental complaint process to be consistently and fairly applied. The success of the departmental complaint process is attributable in part to team policing which provides for improved supervision and greater accountability of officers' conduct. The Task Force's conclusions the departmental procedures in place afford reliable fact-finding and resolution of internal complaints and that implementation of team policing has resulted in greater supervision is supported by the comments and opinions received from members of the Chula Vista Police Officers Association and the Latino Peace Officers Association.

Citizen complaints are of two types: formal and informal. A formal complaint is one that is initiated by a citizen. Complaints are submitted by telephone, field contact, speaking directly with a supervisor, or completing a complaint form and submitting it at the front desk of the police station. A complaint can also be made by a written letter, anonymously, or by a third party. A documented investigation is made and a finding is recommended. If the complaint is sustained a report remains in the officer's file for five years as required by law. Discipline imposed for a sustained finding of misconduct ranges from a reprimand letter to termination. The complainant is advised by letter of the disposition of the complaint.

An informal citizen complaint does not rise to the level of a formal complaint and is often an inquiry that can be resolved with an explanation of policy or procedure. A supervisor who is responding to an informal complaint may determine the complaint should become a formal one, in which case, the procedures for investigating and resolving the complaint will apply. The types of citizen inquiries or complaints that do not become formal complaints are not logged or tracked by the CVPD.

The Task Force Conducted An Independent Review Of All Fourteen (14) Citizen Complaints Filed Within 12 Months.

Pursuant to the CTF request, copies of the fourteen citizen formal complaints filed with the CVPD during the last 12 months were provided to the Task Force. The names of the citizen and police officer were whited-out to protect their privacy. The CTF was interested only in reviewing the type of complaint, the time it took to resolve it, how it was resolved, what the final disposition was, and if there was a true finding of misconduct what type of disciplinary action, if any, was imposed on the officer.

The Task Force determined that on the average complaints took about 2.5 months to resolve. The police department's targeted goal is to resolve citizen complaints within 30 days. Of the fourteen citizen complaints, eleven involved alleged rude behavior by an officer during a traffic stop, illegal parking, and other traffic violations. Two complaints involved alleged racism and a third alleged sexual misconduct.

Only three of the fourteen citizen complaints reviewed would have risen to the category for a civilian review investigation under the rules and guidelines set for local civilian review boards. In comparison, the County of San Diego Civilian Review Board investigates an average of 45 to 55 complaints a month that qualify as complaints requiring a civilian review investigation.

The Task Force also reviewed the number of claims (250) filed against the City/Police Department, from a risk-management perspective. Most of the complaints related to vehicle impounds. Of these, forty-one became lawsuits. The court dismissed twenty-six, and six required payment by the City. The greatest amount paid out was \$12,000 involving a traffic accident. The sole claim involving an allegation of excessive force resulted in a settlement of \$1,500.

PUBLIC MEETING OF OCTOBER 30, 2001

On October 30, 2001, the Task Force held a noticed-meeting at Lauderbach Community Center on Oxford Street in Chula Vista from 5:30 to 8:30 p.m. The purpose of the public meeting was to obtain input from Chula Vista residents on how they

perceive their contacts with the CVPD based on their personal experiences, and to obtain their opinion whether the CVPD has a fair and effective means of registering and resolving citizen complaints against its officers.

Timely notice of the meeting was provided to the community through press releases which were sent to seventeen (17) newspapers announcing the time and place of the meeting and inviting public comment on the subject of citizen review boards. See Appendix A. Notification was also given to business, educational, youth recreations centers, homeowner associations, community service centers, libraries and other stakeholder organizations interested in public safety. The public meeting announcement was also posted in various City departments. Also, individual letters of invitation were sent, and/or phone calls made to those individuals who had provided comments at the City Council Meeting of May 29, 2001.

In response to the notification of the public meeting, one community organization (the Chicano Federation) that had provided comments to the City Council on May 29th, responded that they did not have any information of police conduct relevant to the CVPD. Three individuals who had provided earlier comments to the City Council, and who also addressed the CTF at the public meeting, failed to provide material evidence of police misconduct and/or examples of their own personal experience with the CVPD.

Approximately fifty local residents, as well as students from colleges and high school government classes attended the meeting. Diverse cultures, age groups and backgrounds were represented. The public meeting was taped and the comments of the speakers who addressed the Task Force are available for review.

Of those in attendance, eleven individuals addressed the Task Force:

6 individuals supported establishing some type of citizen review board; 5 individuals opposed establishing a citizen review board.

3 of the 6 individuals supporting a citizen review board did not provide any personal unfavorable experience with the CVPD.

Four of the speakers also addressed the meeting in their capacity as a representative of a stakeholder organization:

1 reported the members of their organization were in favor of the establishment of a citizen review board, and

3 reported the members of their organization were in opposition to the establishment of a citizen review board.

Of the 6 individuals who spoke in support of establishing a citizen review board:

1 person reported experiences involving a towing incident two years ago;

1 person reported experiencing repeated parking citations one and one-half years ago:

1 person reported receiving repeated harassment by the CVPD after an incident in which he had pleaded guilty and filed a lawsuit against the CVPD, which was settled in favor of the CVPD:

1 person reported a number of different incidents. He reported that his information of these incidents was based on newspaper articles and not on personal experience. He related the following:

One incident occurred two years ago where a pregnant woman and some children were reportedly mistreated by police officers during a search of their home;

One incident in 1987, which he believed was a fatal shooting at Palomar St and 3rd Avenue by CVPD officers;

One incident occurred some years ago where a man was hit and killed by a police vehicle; and

One incident occurred six months ago, where an African-American male was shot at Naples and L Streets. There was a discrepancy as to whether the shooting in this incident involved the CVPD. One member of the task force recalled the shooting involved the SDPD.

1 person stated while he has not had a negative experience with law enforcement officers in Chula Vista in over thirty years, in principle he supports a Civilian Review Board as a deterrent to bad policing.

The Task Force members engaged in an informal dialogue with several individuals and speakers during and after the meeting. With the exception of one of the speakers, all of the speakers agreed the City of Chula Vista has an very good police department and that it is perceived the CVPD is doing a good job. However, most speakers wanted a process where the community could provide input into decisions by the police department that affect the public. There was general consensus that an advisory group comprised of community members to advise the police department would be beneficial to the community and would continue to promote confidence and trust in the CVPD. There also appeared to be a lack of understanding by several individuals of how to file a police complaint and the process used by the police department to address and resolve complaints, particularly in those cases where use of force is an issue. Several individuals expressed frustration in not being contacted in a timely manner by anyone in CVPD after they had filed a request for

Information and/or a complaint. One individual went to the police station to file a complaint only to be told that the Sergeant in charge of taking the complaint was not available. That individual left without filing a complaint.

Comments from Police Officer Groups

The CTF also invited and received comments from police officer association groups. A representative of the Latino Peace Officer's Association addressed the CTF and commented on the internal, i.e., departmental, and external, i.e., citizen complaint policies and procedures from the perspective of an experienced officer. In particular, the LPOA representative provided positive comments regarding the recent implementation of team policing and its effect on the improved morale of the officers.

Representatives of the Chula Vista Police Officers Association also provided the CTF with comments on the departmental and citizen complaint processes. The CVPOA opined that the existing internal investigation process of reviewing police misconduct was fairly and consistently applied.

The Chula Vista Police Officers Association opposes the creation of a civilian review board. Comments made to the Task Force included, "CRB's can lead to conflict between officers and the oversight board. The establishment of a CRB would likely polarize the two entities."

However, both the LPOA and CVPOA were open to the creation of an advisory citizen board that would act in advisory capacity to the Chief of Police on issues relating to public safety and community relations.

Other Information Considered by The CTF

The Task Force also considered comments from individuals actively involved with existing citizen review boards. Specifically, the three individuals who provided comments concerning their experiences with civilian review boards were of the opinion that the City of Chula Vista did not need a civilian review board. The specific reason cited was that there was no evidence the CVPD was viewed by distrust by the community. On the contrary, the CVPD enjoys a reputation as a police department that has maintained positive community relations. Another reason cited was that the City of Chula Vista has up to this point in time been fortunate not to have had a history of cases involving high profile police brutality or excessive force cases which have been perceived by the community as not having been properly addressed or investigated.

Review of the Chula Vista Police Department and Facilities

To obtain a baseline knowledge of how the police department operates and to become familiar with the police services, programs, policies and practices, the Task Force members reviewed the CVPD 's history, mission statement, organizational description (344 authorized law-enforcement and civilian workforce) and its thirty-one million annual budget.

The CTF's review revealed that seeking community input is not new to the CVPD. The department is currently in the middle of a five-year strategic plan period, 1999-2003, that has set goals, objectives and strategies to guide budgetary, operational, and organizational decisions throughout the five-year plan period. This plan was the result of an eleven-month community partnership planning process. The planning process identified and evaluated in-depth strategic priorities, i.e., those issues of the greatest concern to the police department and the community of Chula Vista.

This joint planning process afforded the department with unique opportunities to work with the community to address community concerns. This resulted in new and enhanced programs such as the Citizen Academy and programs which targeted specific Issues such as graffiti reduction, anti-speeding initiatives, and residential burglary prevention that have enhanced community partnerships for crime prevention, problem solving, and community education.

Also in response to the strategic plan, the CVPD created the Community Relations Unit (CRU) to work closely with the city's residents. The CRU is primarily responsible for improving dialogue between the community and the department. An important role of the CRU is soliciting and receiving citizen input through community meetings, surveys, and personal contacts. Other successful programs and partnerships that have been implemented include the School Resource Officer Program and volunteer programs such as the Citizen's Adversity Support Team, the Senior Volunteer Patrol, the Reserve Officers and Mounted Reserve Officers Program, and the Cadet and Explorer Scouts Program.

The CVPD's strategic plan also initiated the planning phase for a new police facility. The \$60 million project is expected to be ready by February of 2004.

The Task Force toured the police department facility and received demonstrations of the CVPD's state-of-the-art evidence tracking system, its communication system that integrates the County of San Diego Regional Communications system, and its new mobile substation, which is fully equipped and self-sufficient.

Review and Analysis of Resident Opinion Survey

One of the initial activities undertaken by the Task Force was a review and analysis of the Resident Opinion Survey of the Chula Vista Police Department conducted by SANDAG in 2000. As an independent third party, SANDAG randomly surveyed a sample of 3000 households in Chula Vista. Of those that responded to the survey, 9 out of 10 residents were satisfied with the police department personnel and the delivery of services. Respondents rated their experience with the police department as excellent (47%) or good (34%). More than 85% of the survey participants agreed police officers responded in a reasonable amount of time and were knowledgeable, professional, respectful, pleasant, courteous, fair, helpful, and caring. The survey revealed that speeding continues to be of most concern, followed by burglary and graffiti.

The data gathered from the citizen survey provided the Task Force with an information base from which to determine what components needed further review. For example, while the survey of 3000 households in Chula Vista produced a response of 39%, Hispanic residents were under-represented in the survey. This prompted the Task Force's decision to site its Community Public Meeting in South Chula Vista, (West of I-805), an area known to be heavily populated by Latino residents.

Review of Policies, Procedures, Programs, Equipment and Organizational Safeguards Currently in Place in the CVPD

The CTF found that the CVPD spends a significant amount of time evaluating their own programs and searching for best practices. Greater accountability of police conduct has resulted from the implementation of team policing. Management and line officers both told the CTF that team policing has resulted in more effective supervision, and has placed a greater emphasis on training and professional development.

The Task Force concluded that intensive and on-going training is provided to police recruits and on duty officers. Police recruits attend a 27-week program at the Police Academy that includes ethics training, communication skills, diversity training, and force-options simulators. Upon completion of the Academy, recruits participate in a 16-week program of field training.

All officers receive 10 hours of training per month (120 hours per year - this is 5 times greater than the 24 hours per year recommended by the California Peace Officer Standards Training (POST).)

Recent training sessions have included the following topics: Use of Force Options; Defensive Tactics, and Driving Simulations. Training sessions that are scheduled for this Spring include Interpersonal Skills and Police Ethics. Officers

receive additional training that is specific to their duty assignment, as well as the training given at daily roll calls.

The CVPD has a clear "Use of Force" Policy. Any use of force by an officer must be documented and then signed off and reviewed by three (3) supervisors:

Watch Commander - the lieutenant on duty at the time Report Reviewer - the direct supervisor of the officer Use of Force Coordinator - the Professional Standards Unit Sergeant

Micro cassette recorders are issued to all officers and a 24-hour audio-video recording of holding and detention areas is used to upgrade and increase self-policing.

Officers are Issued less lethal equipment to use when necessary. All patrol vehicles in the field are equipped with an Advanced Air Taser and all officers have received training on the use of less lethal equipment. In addition to the 46 Advanced Air Tasers, the CVPD has purchased shotguns and rifles capable of shooting "bean bag" rounds and "sponge" rounds. Pepper spray, nunchakus, and batons are also issued to officers, and training in their use is also provided.

Also, currently 7 patrol cars vehicles are equipped with in-car video cameras. As budget allows, the CVPD adds equipment such as in-car video cameras to police cars.

Citizen's Academy

The CVPD created the Citizen's Academy to bridge communication and increase awareness of the role of the police department within the community. During an eleven-week program citizens learn about a police officer's job and are given a unique opportunity to see first-hand the inner workings of the police department, the intricacies of responding to police calls, preventing crime, and investigating criminal activity. Topics covered in the Citizen's Academy include: Recruitment and Training, Defensive Tactics and Firearms; Community Oriented Policing; Traffic Procedures; Pursult Driving; Code-3 Operations; Ethics; the Complaint Process; and Criminal Investigations.

Three members of the Task Force participated in the Citizen's Academy and ridealong program. Those members shared their experiences and observations with the other members of the CTF. Each of the three members found their participation in the program to be worthwhile in that it provided a greater understanding of police practices. The members who participated in the academy found the training officers to be excellent and enthusiastically recommend participation in this program.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

I. The Task Force members unanimously agreed there is no demonstrated need for a civilian police review board.

Reasons:

- 1. There is no history of mistrust of the Chula Vista Police Department; to date, no high profile incidents of misconduct by police has occurred which would warrant citizen oversight.
- 2. Police officers receive on-going training to improve and upgrade their education and skills. CVPD officers receive 10 hours of training per month (120 hours a year 5 times the required training by POST.) Team policing has resulted in greater supervision of officers and allows for better self-policing of officer conduct.
- 3. The Chula Vista Police Department has established clear guidelines and uses a process that appropriately and fairly evaluates and resolves internal, i.e., departmental complaints of police misconduct. 94% of departmental complaints are sustained.
- 4. The average time to investigate and resolve citizen complaints is two and one-half months, which the Task Force found to be reasonable.
- 5. The rate of citizen complaints is low. During the last 12 months, 14 citizen formal complaints were received by the police department. The city of Chula Vista averages 13.4 formal citizen complaints per year, an extremely low number considering that each year CVPD officers average more than 75,000 official contacts with the public and arrest nearly 5,000 individuals.

In comparison, in a four-year period between 1996 and 2000, 2,684 citizen complaints were filed with the San Diego Police Department, an average of 671 citizen complaints a year. In contrast, in a five-year period between 1996-2001, only 67 citizen complaints were filed with the CVPD, an average of 13.4 complaints.

- 6. The citizen complaints filed with the CVPD have not involved egregious conduct, such as allegations of police brutality or high-profile incidents of excessive force. Typically, citizens who filed complaints have alleged rudeness or unprofessional demeanor by an officer, or complained about an officer's failure to take a police report.
- 7. The cost of funding a civilian review board was not a factor The Task Force agreed that the cost of creating a civilian review board was not relevant to its decision whether to recommend a civilian review board. The CTF members agreed that if there had been a demonstrated need for a civilian review board, cost would not be a valid reason for

not creating a civilian review board.

The Task Force also considered and compared the existence or non-existence of the following factors in concluding that the City of Chula Vista would not benefit from a Civilian Review Board:

Reasons cited by CRB Cities	Current Status of Chula Vista
History of problems	No history of community-relations problems
High-profile incidents of misconduct	No high profile incidents of misconduct
Perception of unfair treatment	87% of people surveyed in 2000 that had contact with CVPD said they were treated fairly
Perceived lack of internal controls	Clear policies and officer training on use of force and alternate less lethal force
Perceived or actual difficulties in filing complaints	CVPD publishes brochure on how to file complaints [filing procedure could use improvement]
Lack of trust	92% of citizens surveyed in 2000 were satisfied with CVPD

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. A CITIZEN ADVISORY BOARD ON POLICE PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED

The purpose of an advisory board would be to work in partnership with the police department on matters related to civilian and public issues. The scope of the advisory board's power would be to advise, consult with, and review policies and procedures that involve the police department's interaction with the public. It would not include review of individual citizen police complaints, but would include review of, and proposals for improving the process and procedures relating to citizen complaints. Because an advisory board would be knowledgeable of, and

familiar with police practices and policies, should circumstances change, it would be available to revisit the issue of a citizen review board.

An advisory board would also serve to provide advisory input concerning the police department's hiring, recruitment, promotion and retention policies. Those policies have a direct effect on community relations.

Reasons and Observations Supporting an Advisory Board:

- 1. The steady growth of the city makes it imperative for the city and the police department to take a proactive approach by involving the public. Forecasts for population growth for Chula Vista shows an above average increase compared to other jurisdictions in the county.
- 2. A Citizen Advisory Board would accomplish the primary goal of a civilian review board in a positive and non-adversarial role by providing an ongoing process for civilian and/or community input and comment on police policies and procedures.
- 3. A Citizen Advisory Board would be an independent and unbiased group which would serve to enhance the image of, and promote confidence in the police department.
- 4. Citizen Advisory Board members would serve as volunteers. Therefore, the costs involved in creating a Citizen Advisory Board would be minimal.
- 5. Community input through an Advisory Board is consistent with the CVPD's strategic planning goals to develop outreach and community partnerships. In forming its five-year strategic plan the CVPD solicited and obtained community input and recommendations. By working in partnership with a Citizen Advisory Board the CVPD would continue to proactively address community needs and involve citizens positively in public safety and crime prevention issues.
- 6. As one of the task force members aptly stated as a reason for forming an advisory board, "The public needs to have confidence in the police and thankfully we have seen statistics that show the public does have confidence in our police department. A pro-active approach to keep the public informed, offering user friendly public response, and engaging in community activities at the grass roots level on an ongoing basis would further help in fostering the positive relationship which the police department is striving for, and which the public is deserving of."

- 7. A Citizen Advisory Board would be supported by CVPOA and LPOA. Both police associations observed that an Advisory Board, unlike a Review Board, would be in a partnership role versus an adversarial role to the police department. Thus, an advisory board can potentially be more effective in enhancing community/police relations.
- 8. A Citizen Advisory Board would provide constructive comment and input and increase communication between the public and the police department

The Advisory Board would hold public meetings throughout sectors of community to take citizen input concerning the police department. Conducting public forums may provide an opportunity to obtain input from under- represented segments of the community that did not respond or participate in the SANDAG survey of 2000.

The Advisory Board would meet on a regular and scheduled basis with the Police Chief and also work closely with the Community Relations Unit.

9. Selection Process for the Citizen Advisory Board

The Citizen Advisory Board should be selected in a similar process as the Citizen Task Force. The advisory board should reflect the diversity of the community and be comprised of community stakeholders. Community organizations should be solicited for nominations.

In order to instill the public's confidence in the selection of the board members, appointments should be made by the City Manager with the assistance of an independent consultant to assist in identifying potential nominees. In order to avoid the appearance of political appointments, and maintain an unbiased and independent advisory board, the CTF recommends the City Council should not appoint the Advisory Board members.

Number: The number of Advisory Board members should be an odd number, no less than 7, no more than 11.

Term: in order to provide continuity and an adequate time to see implementation of recommended proposals a term of no less than two years, and no more than four years, is recommended. An optimum term would be three years. Staggered terms is also recommended to allow a balance of new and seasoned board members.

B. THE INITIAL FILING PROCESS FOR CITIZEN COMPLAINTS NEEDS REVISION AND IMPROVEMENT

While the CVPD's investigations of citizen complaints appear to be fairly and efficiently reviewed and resolved, the part of the citizen complaint process that needs fine tuning is the initial filing of the complaint. This includes information about how to file a complaint. The CTF recognizes the CVPD is making concerted efforts in this area and is currently revising brochures and information about how to file a citizen complaint. However, what needs revision is the current complaint form that a citizen fills out at the police station. The complaint form, which is attached as appendix B to this report, is not user friendly and is intimidating. A significant portion of the complaint form is devoted to the language in Penal Code section 148.6b, which advises the complainant that a false complaint will be prosecuted. The CTF suggests the manner in which the statutory language is emphasized and highlighted be revised so that it does not overwhelm the complaint form. In addition, the input received at the community meeting revealed that the current citizen complaint process is not clearly understood. To address these issues the Task Force recommends:

- 1. The citizen complaint form should be revised to make it user-friendly. While the law requires a complainant to be advised per the language of per Penal Code section 148.6b, the advisement should not take half a page of the complaint form: The manner in which current advisement is placed on the complaint has a potential to intimidate a citizen from filing a valid complaint. See, Appendix B. Not all law-enforcement agencies citizen-complaint forms contain this advisement in the complaint form or highlight the language of the advisement in such a prominent manner. The citizen complaint form used by the San Diego Police Department, attached as Appendix C, is an example of a format that may be considered in revising the current citizen complaint form. It should be noted that the validity of this advisement has been challenged. Recently one appellate court found the language in penal code section 1408.6b unconstitutional. See People vs. Stanistreet, filed 10/31/01, 2001 D.A.R. 11563.
- 2. Improve the CVPD Web Site. The web site should include information explaining the process of filing a citizen complaint and printing a complaint form, which is now only available at the front desk of the police station. At this time the CTF does not propose any changes in the process of filing of a citizen complaint which currently requires personal contact, i.e., the complaint must be filed in person or in writing at the police station, or by a telephone call to the police station. The CTF recognizes the CVPD does consider and investigate anonymous and third party complaints. Further study on the feasibility of allowing citizens to file complaints by using the CVPD web site is needed.

On a positive note, the web site could provide an excellent opportunity for public commendations, comments, and/or suggestions for improving police relations with the department. An improved web site with readily accessible information about the complaint process would greatly complement the information process already in place. In addition, the CTF report and recommendations on civilian-police review may be a suitable subject to include in the web site.

3. Develop and implement a tracking system to monitor informal citizen inquiries that do not rise to the level of formal complaints.

Currently, the only tracking that is done is for formal citizen complaints. However, there is no tracking of informal inquiries or complaints that do not rise to the level of formal complaints. There are occasions when a citizen contacts the police department about a particular incident, officer, or simply to ask for clarification about a police practice or policy. These public contacts with the police department are not tracked by the CVPD in a manner that identifies the caller, the nature or purpose of the inquiry or how the question or inquiry was resolved. This is one area where a Citizen Advisory Board could assist the CVPD in formulating a protocol and implementing an informal tracking process that balances both the public's and police officer's interests. The benefit of logging these informal type of public inquiries would allow the CVPD to make needed changes before issues become problems. In other words, monitoring and logging informal inquiries would allow the CVPD to be proactive in heading off potential problems.

4. Police Liaison. The CVPD should designate one police officer as a liaison to respond to complaints. He or she would not investigate complaints. Instead the liaison officer would personally contact complainants by phone or letter to inform them their complaint has been received and is being reviewed, to explain the complaint process if needed, and advise the complaint of the approximate time it will take to review and resolve the complaint. This would be in addition to what is already currently done to notify the complainant of the disposition of the complaint. This is simply a recommendation for a courtesy letter or phone call after the complaint has been filed to let the complainant know that the complaint is being reviewed.

3. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

1. The CFT commends the Chula Vista Police Department for creating a Community Relations Unit. The CRU has a great potential for promoting greater involvement by the CVPD with all segments of the community. An Advisory Board and the CRU would complement each other.

- 2. Annual Community Public Safety Meeting by the CVPD would be an excellent opportunity to provide the public with information about its services and programs and enhance public relations. In particular those sectors of the community that traditionally do not seek on their own Initiative the services and programs of the police department would greatly benefit from such a program. It would provide citizens an opportunity to interact with the police department in a positive manner. An agenda describing topics discussed at a Public Safety Meeting recently sponsored by the San Diego Police Department with members of the Asian and Pacific Islander Communities is attached as Appendix D.
- 3. Increase presence and positive interaction by the CVPD at community activities and events. Two members of the Task Force observed that in their personal experience too often officers attending community events and activities do not take the opportunity to talk with and relate to citizens. Friendly exchanges, initiated by police officers, with citizens at community events would promote positive community relations and allow an officer to connect in a positive and favorable manner with citizens.

APPENDIXES



NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING Community Task Force On Civilian Review

As part of the City's continuous effort to review and improve its public safety services, the Citizen Task Force on Civilian Review will be conducting a community public meeting. The purpose of the meeting is to solicit comments and suggestions from Chula Vista residents regarding the Chula Vista Police Department's policies, practices and civilian review. The Citizen's Task Force welcomes comments on the following:

- Do you believe the Chula Vista Police Department has a fair and effective means of registering and resolving complaints against its officers?
- Do you have any suggestions to improve the taking of and resolving of citizenpolice complaints by the Chula Vista Police Department?
- Do you have suggestions for additional steps that the Chula Vista Police Department could take to reach out across all sectors of the City to ensure a safe community for all residents, visitors and businesses through proactive and fair policing?

DATE:

Tuesday, October 30, 2001

TIME:

6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

PLACE: Lauderbach Center 330 Oxford Street

Chula Vista, CA 91911

This will be an open meeting for public comment only. No discussion, decisions or vote will be carried out. There will be a 5-minute limit on individual comments, For additional information concerning the meeting, please contact Josie Calderon, at (619) 475-8524.

####

	APPEND		. A. Number:
•	_	hula Vista nt Complaint Form	1
Complainant:			Phone:
Address:	<u> </u>		
Date Received:	Time:		By Phone: In Person: By Mail:
Complaint Details:			
Allegation:			•
hereby certify that the California Civil Code 47 alse complaint.			nowledge that under ne for knowingly filing a
Per 148.6(b) California	Penal Code:	•	
POLICE CONDUCT CALI NVESTIGATE CITIZENS=C PROCEDURE. THIS AGEN EVIDENCE TO WARRANT A THE RIGHT TO MAKE THE	FORNIA LAW REQUICOMPLAINTS. YOU HAVE FIND AFTER ACTION ON YOUR CONTINUE AND HAVE COMPLAINTS	RES THIS AGENCY T AVE A RIGHT TO AWR R INVESTIGATION TH IMPLAINT; EVEN IF TH VE IT INVESTIGATED I S AND ANY REPORTS	FFICER FOR ANY IMPROPER TO HAVE A PROCEDURE TO ITTEN DESCRIPTION OF THIS AT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH HAT IS THE CASE, YOU HAVE F YOU BELIEVE AN OFFICER OR FINDINGS RELATING TO FIVE YEARS.
T IS AGAINST THE LAW TO COMPLAINT AGAINST AN MISDEMEANOR CHARGE.	OFFICER KNOWING	TTHATYOUKNOW TO IT IS FALSE, YOU C	DBEFALSE. IF YOU MAKE A AN BE PROSECUTED ON A
have read and understoo	d the above statement	t.	
: Complainant		•	Date

19-27

PD-103 (9-98)

Appendix C



Office of The City Manager Citizens' Review Board on Police Practices

Complaint Form

OFFICE USE ONLY					
Received:					
To IA:					

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COMPLAINT FORM:

Please describe the incident that led to this complaint, telling what happened from beginning to end. Be as clear and specific as you can be. What aspect(s) of the incident was improper (your specific complaint). How could it be resolved to your satisfaction?

CYTATE		BUS	DUONE (
CYTATE:		_	5. PHONE ()	
SIRIE	ZJF	·	DOB	
	·	DATE	TIME	
BADGE#_	······································	ID#	DIVISION	
BADGE#_	·	ID#	DIVISION	
BADGE# _		ID#	DIVISION	·
BADGE# _		ID#	DIVISION	
	•			
HOME PHONE ()		BUS. PHONE ()	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DOB	
HOME PHONE ()		BUS, PHONE ()	
			DOB	
HOME PHONE ()		BUS. PHONE (.)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. <u></u>	DOB	
•			v	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u></u>				
			*	
	BADGE# BADGE# BADGE# BADGE# HOME PHONE (HOME PHONE (HOME PHONE (BADGE# BADGE# BADGE# BADGE# HOME PHONE() HOME PHONE() HOME PHONE()	DATE BADGE# !D# BADGE# !D# BADGE# !D# BADGE# !D# HOME PHONE () HOME PHONE () HOME PHONE ()	DATE TIME BADGE# ID# DIVISION HOME PHONE() BUS. PHONE() DOB HOME PHONE() BUS. PHONE()

Send complaint to: Scott D. Fulkerson, Executive Director, Citizens' Review Board on Police Practices, 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 916, San Diego, California 92101. For more Information, please call (619) 236-6296.

Crime, Public Safety and the Asian and Pacific Islander Communities

Saturday, November 10, 2001 Grossmont Community College Student Center

9:00 arm	REGISTRATION and Continental Breakfast
10:00 am	WELCOMING COMMENTS Mittuo Tomita, M.D., Chair, Mayor's API Citizens Advisory Board Ted Martinez, Jr., Ph.D., President, Grossmont Community College John Haugland, President, Pan Pacific Law Enforcement Association David Hejarano, Chief, San Diego Police Department
10:20am	UNDERSTANDING THE ORGANIZATION OF THE SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT Pat Drummy, Personnel Director, San Diego Police Department
10:35 am	CONTACTING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT; WHEN, WHY and HOW Carl Rader, Communications Receniter, SDPD
11:10 am	BREAK
11:25 am	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE Roli Cunanan, Detective, SDPD
11:55 ±m	JUVENILE GANGS Pat Lenhast, Detective, SDPD
12:25 pm	LUNCH - provided by Szechusu Mandarin
1:15 pm	HATE CRIMES Schuyler Boyce, Detective, SDPD
1:45 pm	SPECIAL WEAPONS AND TACTICS TEAM (SWAT) Cerr Solis, Lieutenana, SWAT Commanding Officer, SDPD
2:15 pm	MULITCULIURAL CENTER STOREFRONT Party Clayton, Community Relations Officer, SDPD
2:30 pm	CLOSING COMMENTS Missuo Tomita, M.D., Chair, Mayor's API Citizen's Advisory Board
2:45	ADJOURN

RES		4 1	T	CAL	AIC.	٠.	
LEO	U	LU	, , ,	OIA	MC	٠.	

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA ACCEPTING THE REPORT FROM THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE CIVILIAN REVIEW COMPONENT OF THE COMMUNITY ENHANCEMENT PLAN AND REVIEWING ITS RECOMMENDATIONS.

WHEREAS, at it's May 29, 2001 meeting, the Chula Vista City Council requested an ad hoc committee be formed to examine the subject of police civilian review boards; and,

WHEREAS, Council determined that the issue warranted further examination given the diverse composition of the city; and,

WHEREAS, a seven member committee met eight times over a fourmonth period and reviewed the nature and intent of the request for a civilian review board and formulated a recommendation; and,

WHEREAS, the attached report is a result of the work of the Community Task Force;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the City Council of the City of Chula Vista does hereby accept the report from the Ad Hoc Committee on the Civilian Review Component of the Community Enhancement Plan, and review its recommendations.

Presented by:

Approved as to form by:

David D. Rowlands, Jr.

City Manager

John M. Kaheny

City Attorney