DRAFT
SAN DIEGO UNIFIED DISASTER COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
July 5, 2012

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

CITY	MEMBER
CARLSBAD	David Harrison
CHULA VISTA	David Hanneman
CORONADO	Not Present
DEL MAR/ENCINITAS	Not Present
EL CAJON/LEMON GROVE	Mike Scott
ESCONDIDO	Mike Lowry
LA MESA	Mike Scott
IMPERIAL BEACH	Dean Roberts
NATIONAL CITY	Walter Amedee
OCEANSIDE	Darryl Hebert
POWAY	Not Present
SAN DIEGO	Javiar Mainar
SAN MARCOS	Todd Newman
SANTEE	Robert Leigh
SOLANA BEACH	Sherri Sarro
VISTA	Gary Fisher
OES	Holly Crawford

3. CALL FOR PUBLIC INPUT

There was none.

4. SHSP SPENDING PLAN- Holly Crawford

BACKGROUND

Holly Crawford introduced Madeline Smith, Senior Accountant to present an outline of the FY12 SHSP Grant Proposed Spending Plan, notes are as followed:

The FY 2012 grant year is a tough grant year. A chart, which was distributed in the packets, shows grant funding since 2003. In the last 3 years the funding has decreased tremendously. In FY 2011 the grant award was $4.2M and in FY12 we are receiving $2.4M. That is an overall decrease of approximately 42%.

PROPOSED SPENDING PLAN

Madeline broke down the proposed spending plan:

- Taken off the top:
- 25% required Law Enforcement – that is 605,016. Then it was distributed among the Cities, including the Sheriff's department based on the number of Law Enforcement first responders provided by each city.
- EOC – $90K
- HIRT FUND – $105K
- UDC – $102K
- Starting in the FY12 grant year, MMRS is no longer funded as a separate funding source. However, all activities usually funded under MMRS are allowable under SHSGP. In FY11 EMS received approx 265K in MMRS funding. The minimum needed this year is 30K in order to pay for a portion of the warehouse rent to store medical supplies. And 30K to pay for doxycycline used by first responders.
- Fire –we looked at the overall amount awarded for Fire in FY11 and reduced that amount by the overall FY12 percentage reduction of 42%.
- For regional planning and projects – OES looked at what was the bare minimum that was needed to cover these activities – and Holly will go more into detail on this.

Holly explained for the regional planning and projects, the overall amount that comes to OES is a reduction of approximately $479K. This is about a 36% deduction. We looked at needs in terms of our Regional Security Strategy and the goals we are responsible for in the multi-year plan, our activities with Advanced Recovery i.e., vendors for debris removal, training, Local Assistance Centers, oversight teams, Access and Functional Needs planning, and $70K contract with 2-1-1 for their disaster preparedness public inquiry function.

Some of the other activities we are engaged in:

- The new mass notification system. Once that is in place we will continue to do training for the cities.
- Finding a coordinating agency for the recently completed Spontaneous Volunteer Plan.
- Partnering with EMS to develop training for the Regional Vehicles.
- The Feeding Task Force Standard Operating Procedures.
- Updating our plans.

In addition to the money OES receives from SHSP, the County puts in $1M a year. Through the various activities, we probably spend about 95% to 99% of our staff time on regional projects, as opposed to projects that are directly related to County government. We are staff to the UDC and focus on projects that are beneficial to the entire region. In considering the 36% reduction, we took out the $200K we had scheduled for regional exercises and we took out $100K for regional public outreach.

Mike Scott asked how the distributions were calculated for the FY12 SHSP grant, Law Enforcement and Non-Law Enforcement. Madeline explained:

- 25% is assigned to Law Enforcement (LE)
- Non-LE was based on non-sworn first responder numbers
- 4 jurisdictions did not provide first responder numbers

David Harrison mentioned a lot of the jurisdiction's first responder numbers have decreased from 2010 (the last time first responder numbers were requested).

- Mike Scott pointed out that the County of San Diego portion only decreased by 36%, City of San Diego decreased by only 31%, but the rest of the cities decreased by an average of 50%, and that the overall grant decreased by 42%
- In the previous two grant cycles, the City of San Diego did not take money from the SHSGP grant; instead, their planning activities were covered by the UASI grant, which the City administers.
- This year, in FY2012, the City of San Diego requested their fair share of SHSP allocation from the SHSP grant – minus $100k which they received from UASI.
- Because the City did not take their SHSP allocations in FY2010 and FY2011, from the SHSGP grant, the total percent of grant dollars split between all other cities in those years was higher. The total award split between all cities now includes the allocation for the City of San Diego and thus the percent decrease in grant funds for many cities is higher.
- County of San Diego grant funds are determined based on need for completion of regional projects.

Mike Scott asked for a breakdown of the spending plan for OES and in particular the planning portion. Planning costs were defined for 2-1-1, but Holly mentioned that it is difficult to project anticipated spending costs for many planning projects.
Holly used the energy resiliency plan as an example of costs that could not be anticipated because the planning expanded once we scratched the surface on the project.

For FY12 SHSP grant deadlines it was suggested that we might want to have a reallocation meeting for February's UDC meeting in 2014 and that the jurisdictions' grant deadline should be December 31, 2013. Donna Faller suggested a revised jurisdictional deadline and that UASI makes their deadlines even earlier before the grant is over and that UASI has two reallocation periods.

The RCS $250k allocation in FY11 was for an unfunded UASI project. The consensus was that the $250k was for one time use and perhaps a study related to P-25 upgrade.

David Harrison asked if we should continue to fund the Port of San Diego because they have other resources for grant funding. Dona Faller pointed out that the other grants for the Port of San Diego are highly competitive and that those grant funds are also shrinking. The consensus was to continue to fund the Port of San Diego

APPROVAL OF SPENDING PLAN

With no further discussion, it was moved and seconded to approve the proposed FY12 State Homeland Security Program spending plan.