

## 1.1 City of La Mesa

The City of La Mesa (La Mesa) reviewed a set of jurisdictional-level hazard maps including detailed critical facility information and localized potential hazard exposure/loss estimates to help identify the top hazards threatening their jurisdiction. In addition, LPGs were supplied with exposure/loss estimates for La Mesa summarized in Table 5.11-1. See Section 4.0 for additional details. [Portions of the Regional Hazard Mitigation plan were incorporated into the city's general plan.](#)

**Table 5-11-1  
Summary of Potential Hazard-Related Exposure/Loss in La Mesa**

Hazard Type	Exposed Population	Residential		Commercial		Critical Facilities	
		Number of Residential Buildings	Potential Exposure/Loss for Residential Buildings (x\$1,000)	Number of Commercial Buildings	Potential Exposure/Loss for Commercial Buildings (x\$1,000)	Number of Critical Facilities	Potential Exposure for Critical Facilities (x\$1,000)
Coastal Storm / Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sea Level Rise	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dam Failure	1,384	843	237,305	332	116,029	4	2,956
Earthquake (Annualized Loss - Includes shaking, liquefaction and landslide components)	56,880*	25,333*	7,131,240*	952*	4,266,578*	0*	0*
<b>Flood (Loss)</b>							
100 Year	139	0	0	4	1,483	3	4,614
500 Year	175	0	0	4	1,483	3	4,888
<b>Rain-Induced Landslide</b>							
High Risk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moderate Risk	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tsunami	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Wildfire / Structure Fire</b>							
Fire Regime II & IV	56,037	24,608	6,927,152	10,150	3,552,605	257	593,525

\* Represents best available data at this time.

After reviewing the localized hazard maps and exposure/loss table above, the following hazards were identified by the La Mesa LPG as their top five.

- **Hazardous Materials:** A major transportation corridor exists which includes two major freeways. The City also houses several facilities that utilize significant amounts of hazardous materials.
- **Wildfire:** A wildland/urban interface exists in significant amounts in canyon rims with high value residential sites.
- **Flood:** Some minor flood prone areas exist in the City.
- **Dam Failure:** Due to proximity of several smaller Dams, there is the potential for dam failure.
- **Earthquake:** Numerous high density high rise facilities exist with potential loss of life, injuries, and damage to property, as well as disruption of services which affects the City as well as surrounding jurisdictions
- **Terrorism or Other Manmade Events:** Current and future projections for terrorism cause concerns regarding the population, community assets and City Infrastructure

### **1.1.1 Capabilities Assessment**

The LPG identified current capabilities available for implementing hazard mitigation activities. The Capability Assessment (Assessment) portion of the jurisdictional mitigation plan identifies administrative, technical, legal and fiscal capabilities. This includes a summary of departments and their responsibilities associated to hazard mitigation planning as well as codes, ordinances, and plans already in place associated to hazard mitigation planning. The second part of the Assessment provides La Mesa's fiscal capabilities that may be applicable to providing financial resources to implement identified mitigation action items.

### **1.1.2 Existing Institutions, Plans, Policies and Ordinances**

The following is a summary of existing departments in La Mesa and their responsibilities related to hazard mitigation planning and implementation, as well as existing planning documents and regulations related to mitigation efforts within the community. The administrative and technical capabilities of La Mesa, as shown in Table 5.11-2, provides an identification of the staff, personnel, and department resources available to implement the actions identified in the mitigation section of the Plan. Specific resources reviewed include those involving technical personnel such as planners/engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices, engineers trained in construction practices related to building and infrastructure, planners and engineers with an understanding of natural or manmade hazards, floodplain managers, surveyors, personnel with GIS skills and scientists familiar with hazards in the community.

- **City of La Mesa Fire Prevention Bureau**
  - Adoption of Fire Codes
  - Review plans and sites for code compliance
  - Weed abatement program
  - Public education.
- **City of La Mesa Community Development Department (including Building)**

Coordinates the adoption of applicable codes

Develops ordinances

Reviews site plans for code compliance

Structure assessment following damage

- City of La Mesa Planning and Zoning Department

Maintains general plan

Oversees development process within the City

- City of La Mesa Engineering Department

Develops and administers ordinances and policies for the City infrastructure

- City of La Mesa Public Works Department

Oversees Streets, Parks and Sewer Divisions

**Table 5.11-2**  
**City of La Mesa: Administrative and Technical Capacity**

<b>Staff/Personnel Resources</b>	<b>Y/N</b>	<b>Department/Agency and Position</b>
A. Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Y	Community development and Public Works
B. Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Y	Community development and Public Works
C. Planners or Engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or manmade hazards	Y	Community development and Public Works
D. Floodplain manager	Y	Public Works
E. Surveyors	Y	Public Works
F. Staff with education or expertise to assess the community's vulnerability to hazards	Y	All Departments
G. Personnel skilled in GIS and/or HAZUS	Y	Community Development and Public Works
H. Scientists familiar with the hazards of the community	Y	
I. Emergency manager	Y	Police Department and Fire Department
J. Grant writers	Y	All Departments

The legal and regulatory capabilities of La Mesa are shown in Table 5.11-3, which presents the existing ordinances and codes that affect the physical or built environment of La Mesa. Examples of legal and/or regulatory capabilities can include: the City's building codes, zoning ordinances, subdivision ordinances, special purpose ordinances, growth management ordinances, site plan review, general plans, capital improvement plans, economic development plans, emergency response plans, and real estate disclosure plans.

**Table 5.11-3  
City of La Mesa: Legal and Regulatory Capability**

Regulatory Tools (ordinances, codes, plans)	Local Authority (Y/N)	Does State Prohibit? (Y/N)
A. Building code	Y	Y
B. Zoning ordinance	Y	
C. Subdivision ordinance or regulations	Y	Y
D. Special purpose ordinances (floodplain management, storm water management, hillside or steep slope ordinances, wildfire ordinances, hazard setback requirements)	Y	
E. Growth management ordinances (also called "smart growth" or anti-sprawl programs)	Y	
F. Site plan review requirements	Y	Y
G. General or comprehensive plan	Y	Y
H. A capital improvements plan	Y	
I. An economic development plan	Y	N
J. An emergency response plan	Y	N
K. A post-disaster recovery plan	N	N
L. A post-disaster recovery ordinance	N	N
M. Real estate disclosure requirements	Y	Y

**1.1.3 Fiscal Resources**

Table 5.11-4 shows specific financial and budgetary tools available to La Mesa such as community development block grants; capital improvements project funding; authority to levy taxes for specific purposes; fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric services; impact fees for homebuyers or developers for new development; ability to incur debt through general obligations bonds; and withholding spending in hazard-prone areas.

**Table 5.11-4  
City of La Mesa: Fiscal Capability**

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to Use (Yes/No)
A. Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Y
B. Capital improvements project funding	Y
C. Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	Y-Vote required
D. Fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric service	Y
E. Impact fees for homebuyers or developers for new developments/homes	Y
F. Incur debt through general obligation bonds	Y-Vote required
G. Incur debt through special tax and revenue bonds	Y-Vote required
H. Incur debt through private activity bonds	UK
I. Withhold spending in hazard-prone areas	Y
J. Other – SANDAG Grant	Y
K. Other – Other Grants	Y

#### **1.1.4 Goals, Objectives and Actions**

Listed below are La Mesa’s specific hazard mitigation goals, objectives and related potential actions. For each goal, one or more objectives have been identified that provide strategies to attain the goal. Where appropriate, the City has identified a range of specific actions to achieve the objective and goal.

The goals and objectives were developed by considering the risk assessment findings, localized hazard identification and loss/exposure estimates, and an analysis of the jurisdiction’s current capabilities assessment. These preliminary goals, objectives and actions were developed to represent a vision of long-term hazard reduction or enhancement of capabilities. To help in further development of these goals and objectives, the LPG compiled and reviewed current jurisdictional sources including the City’s planning documents, codes, and ordinances. In addition, City representatives met with consultant staff and/or OES to specifically discuss these hazard-related goals, objectives and actions as they related to the overall Plan. Representatives of numerous City departments involved in hazard mitigation planning, including Fire, Police, and Public Works participated in the La Mesa LPG.

These members provided input to the La Mesa LPG leads:

- Greg McAlpine
- Mona Freels
- Chris Jacobs
- Chris Jensen
- Matt Nichols
- Mike Pacheco
- Tim Smith

- Steve Swaney

Once developed, City staff submitted the plan to CalOES and FEMA for approval. Once approved by FEMA the plan will be taken to the City Council for adoption.

An on-line survey was posted on the homepages for all participating jurisdictions between May and July, 2014. Over five hundred responses to the survey were received. The survey results can be found in Appendix F. In addition, an email address was provided for the public to send comments and suggestions to. This email address was checked daily for public input.

The following sections present the hazard-related goals, objectives and actions as prepared by La Mesa's LPG in conjunction with the Hazard Mitigation Working Group, locally elected officials, and local citizens.

#### **1.1.4.1 Goals**

The City of La Mesa has developed the following 9 Goals for their Hazard Mitigation Plan:

Goal 1. Promote disaster-resistant future development

Goal 2. Increase public understanding, support, and demand for effective hazard mitigation.

Goal 3. Build and support local capacity and commitment to continuously become less vulnerable to hazards.

Goal 4. Improve coordination and communication with federal, state, local and tribal governments.

“Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and City-owned facilities, due to”:

Goal 5. Floods

Goal 6. Wildfires

Goal 7. Dam Failure

Goal 8. Geological Hazards

Goal 9. Severe Weather/[Climate Change](#)

Goal 10. Hazardous Materials (See Attachment A)

Goal 11. Other Manmade Hazards (See Attachment A)

#### **1.1.4.2 Objectives and Actions**

The City of La Mesa developed the following broad list of objectives and actions to assist in the implementation of each of their 9 identified goals. The City of La Mesa developed objectives to assist in achieving their hazard mitigation goals. For each of these objectives, specific actions were developed that would assist in their implementation. A discussion of the prioritization and implementation of the action items is provided in Section 5.11.5.

<b>Goal 1: Promote disaster resistant future development.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 1.A: Encourage and facilitate the updating of general plans and zoning ordinances to limit development in hazard areas.</i>		
Action 1.A.1	Update the safety element of the General Plan as needed.	Both
<i>Objective 1.B: Encourage and facilitate the adoption of building codes that protect renovated existing assets and new development in hazard areas.</i>		
Action 1.B.1	Adopt and continue to update various uniform codes that pertain to safety issues	Both
<i>Objective 1.C: Discourage future development that exacerbates hazardous materials.</i>		
Action 1.C.1	Maintain mapping system.	Both
Action 1.C.2	Require an Environmental Impact Report to identify degree of risk.	New
Action 1.C.3	Recommend mitigation to eliminate risks.	Both

<b>Goal 2: Promote public understanding, support and demand for hazard mitigation.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 2.A: Educate the public to increase awareness of hazards and opportunities for mitigation actions.</i>		
Action 2.A.1	Use established media including web page, newsletter, City correspondence, and Social Media sites.	Both
Action 2.A.2	Include in public activities.	Both
Action 2.A.3	Inform the public regarding hazard mitigation.	Both
<i>Objective 2.B: Promote partnerships between the state, counties, local and tribal governments to identify, prioritize, and implement mitigation actions.</i>		
Action 2.B.1	Promote regional planning with surrounding jurisdictions.	Both
<i>Objective 2.C: Promote hazard mitigation in the private sector.</i>		
Action 2.C.1	Provide public information to area service groups.	Both
Action 2.C.2	Continue to include hazard mitigation in business license renewal documents.	Both
Action 2.C.3	Collaborate with East County Chamber of Commerce to engage the local business sector in hazard mitigation.	Both
Action 2.C.4	Though the new CERT program, build a team of community volunteers to work with the community before, during, and after a disaster.	Both

<b>Goal 3: Build and support local capacity and commitment to continuously become less vulnerable to hazards.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 3.A: Increase awareness and knowledge of hazard mitigation principles and practice among City staff.</i>		
Action 3.A.1	Train employees in potential hazards.	Both
<i>Objective 3.B: Explore developing a web-based Hazard Mitigation Planning System and provide technical assistance.</i>		
Action 3.B.1	Include on the City website with methods for hazard reporting.	Both
<i>Objective 3.C: Continue to enhance the Emergency Operations Center (EOC)</i>		
Action 3.C.1	Periodic review of technology used to support the EOC to ensure systems are updated and effective.	Both
Action 3.C.2	Update equipment and supplies as necessary to ensure effectiveness.	Both
Action 3.C.3	Continue EOC training and exercise plan for City staff with EOC responsibilities, including cross training of EOC positions.	Both
Action 3.C.4	Conduct monthly, on-line WebEOC training for city staff.	Both

<b>Goal 4: Improve hazard mitigation coordination and communication with federal, state, local and tribal governments.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 4.A: Establish and maintain closer working relationships with state agencies, local and tribal governments.</i>		
Action 4.A.1	Continue to support local partnerships, such as the Unified Disaster Council (UDC) and Urban Area Working Group (UAWG) and the coordination of Automatic and Mutual aid agreements.	Both
Action 4.A.2	Continue to encourage development of standardized Emergency Operations Plans (EOP) within the City of La Mesa that coordinates with countywide EOPs.	Both
Action 4.A.3	Continue to develop multi-jurisdictional multi-functional training and exercises to enhance hazard mitigation	Both
Action 4.A.4	Continue to maintain working relationships with agencies providing resources and expertise that further hazard mitigation efforts	Both
Action 4.A.5	Maintain relationships with Helix Water District and SDG&E including disaster drill cross participation.	Both
Action 4.A.6	Maintain and expand Automatic and Mutual Aid Agreements.	Both



<b>Goal 4: Improve hazard mitigation coordination and communication with federal, state, local and tribal governments.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 4.B: Support a coordinated permitting activities process.</i>		
Action 4.B.1	Continue to utilize notification procedures for all permits that support affected agencies.	Both
Action 4.B.2	Continue to streamline policies to eliminate conflicts and duplication of effort.	Both
Action 4.B.3	Continue to exchange resources and work with other agencies.	Both
Action 4.B.4	Continue efforts towards consolidating the administration of fire resources for the Cities of El Cajon, La Mesa, and Lemon Grove.	Both
<i>Objective 4.C: Improve the City's capability and efficiency at administering pre-and post-disaster mitigation.</i>		
Action 4.C.1	Participate In the development and execution of Emergency Operations Center (EOC) table top and functional disaster exercises.	Both
<i>Objective 4.D: Improve capacity for selected City-owned facilities for use as shelters and/or alternate seats of government</i>		
Action 4.D.1	Explore installation of generators on selected facilities to ensure continuous power for use at shelters and/or alternate seats of government.	Both

<b>Goal 5: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to <u>floods</u>.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 5.A: Develop a comprehensive approach to reducing the possibility of damage and losses due to floods.</i>		
Action 5.A.1	Continue to ensure finish floor elevations of new development are at least above the 100-year floodplain.	New
Action 5.A.2	Continue to require drainage studies for major projects to ensure adequate measures are incorporated and that they do not adversely affect downstream or other surrounding properties.	Both
Action 5.A.3	Continue to periodically evaluate drainage fees to ensure new development pays their fair share of offsite improvements	Both
Action 5.A.4	Continue to limit uses in floodways to those tolerant of occasional flooding.	Both
Action 5.A.5	Continue to design new critical facilities to minimize potential flood damage. Such facilities include those that provide emergency response like hospitals, fire stations, police stations, civil defense headquarters, utility lifelines, and ambulance services. Such facilities also include those that do not provide emergency response but attract large numbers of people, such as schools, theaters, and public assembly facilities with capacities greater than 100 people.	New
<i>Objective 5.B: Protect existing assets with the highest relative vulnerability to the effects of floods within the 100-year floodplain.</i>		

<b>Goal 5: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to <u>floods</u>.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
Action 5.B.1	Continue to maintain flood control channels and storm drains, in accordance with habitat preservation policies, through periodic dredging, repair, de-silting, and clearing to prevent any loss in their effective use.	Existing
Action 5.B.2	Continue to identify and prioritize flood control projects.	New
Action 5.B.3	Continue to pursue available grant funds for flood control projects.	Both
Action 5.B.4	Continue to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and requirement to review applications for conformance with the NFIP standards	Both
<i>Objective 5.C: Minimize repetitive losses caused by flooding by analyzing historical losses.</i>		
Action 5.C.1	Continue preventative maintenance and inspection of floodway structures, storm drains, etc. consistent with applicable standards.	Both
Action 5.C.2	Continue to improve drainage courses in an environmentally sensitive manner to eliminate repetitive events.	Both

<b>Goal 6: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to <u>wildfires</u>.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 6.A: Develop a comprehensive approach to reducing the possibility of damage and losses due to wildfires.</i>		
Action 6.A.1	Continue to require the application of California Fire Code pertaining to Fire Protection Plans (FPP). The FPP will provide for 100' of vegetation management (per CA Government Code 51182 and the MOU between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Calif. Department of Fish and Game, CDF, and the San Diego County Fire Chiefs Association) around all new structures or require equivalent construction methods as determined by a technical fire analysis as defined by Local Response Fire Hazard Zone determination.	Both
Action 6.A.2	Continue to ensure that street widths, paving, and grades can accommodate emergency vehicles. Also continue to require 30' of vegetation management on all street segments without improved lots	Both
Action 6.A.3	Continue to require fire resistant construction materials in all areas.	Both
<i>Objective 6.B: Protect existing assets with the highest relative vulnerability to the effects of wildfires.</i>		
Action 6.B.1	Continue to maintain the City's weed abatement ordinance.	Both
<i>Objective 6.C: Coordinate with and support existing efforts to mitigate wildfire hazards (e.g., US Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management).</i>		
Action 6.C.1	Continue to participate in the California Fire Mutual Aid Agreement, the San Diego County Fire Master Mutual Aid Agreement and the Heartland Zone Automatic Aid Agreement.	Both
<i>Objective 6.D: Maintain adequate emergency response capabilities.</i>		

<b>Goal 6: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to <u>wildfires</u>.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
Action 6.D.1	Continue to evaluate service level impacts and needs as part of the review of major projects	Both

<b>Goal 7: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to <u>dam failure</u>.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 7.A: Develop a comprehensive approach to reducing the possibility of damage and losses due to dam failure.</i>		
Action 7.A.1	Although the Lake Murray Dam is outside city limits, monitor and cooperate with the City of San Diego to reduce the possible effects of dam failure to the City of La Mesa.	Existing
Action 7.A.2	Update Dam Inundation plans	Existing
Action 7.A.3	Maintain communications with the City of San Diego regarding dam failure at Lake Murray.	Existing

<b>Goal 8: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to <u>geological hazards</u>.</b>		<b>New, Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 8.A: Develop a comprehensive approach to reducing the possibility of damage and losses due to geological hazards.</i>		
Action 8.A.3	Continue to require a Geotechnical Investigation Report and a report of satisfactory placement of fill prepared by a licensed civil engineer for all building and structures supported on fill.	Both
Action 8.A.4	Continue to require a preliminary report for all buildings and structures supported on natural ground unless the foundations have been designed in accordance with Table No. 1806.2 of the Building Code.	Both
<i>Objective 8.B.: Protect existing assets with the highest relative vulnerability to the effects of geologic hazards.</i>		
Action 8.B.1	Continue to require seismic retrofits for major renovations in accordance with Historic and Building Code provisions.	Existing
Action 8.B.2	Continue to utilize the California Building Code for Building Conservation for non-historic buildings.	Both

<b>Goal 9: Reduce the possibility of damage and losses to existing assets, particularly people, critical facilities/infrastructure, and State-owned facilities, due to severe weather as a possible result of the effects of climate change (i.e. El Nino storms/thunderstorms, lightening, extreme heat, drought).</b>		<b>New Existing or Both</b>
<i>Objective 9.A: Develop a comprehensive approach to reducing the possibility of damage and losses due to severe weather.</i>		
Action 9.A.1	Continue to ensure that existing and new storm drain and street capacities are adequate to manage a 100-year flood event.	Both
Action 9.A.2	Continue to ensure that new construction projects include surface drainage management that will preserve the integrity of the facility and public infrastructure.	New
Action 9.A.3	Improve the City’s planning, training, and exercise efforts to better respond to natural hazards, man-made and technological incidents that are exacerbated by severe weather and climate change conditions.	Both
Action 9.A.4	Enhance existing City partnerships with the appropriate agencies, community support groups, and service partners to better prepare for and respond to the emergency and disaster needs of the whole community, to include people with disabilities and other access and functional needs during severe weather and/or following incidents that are exacerbated by climate change.	Both
<i>Objective 9.B. Protect existing assets with the highest relative vulnerability to the effects of severe weather</i>		
Action 9.B.1	Continue to provide barricades to identify flooded areas.	Both
Action 9.B.2	Protect existing essential service facilities by retrofitting or maintaining severe weather utilities and infrastructure such as emergency generators, heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems, and information technology, etc.	Both
<i>Objective 9.C Educate the community about drought, its potential impacts and individual mitigation techniques that they can engage in to help to prevent or reduce the impact of drought.</i>		
Action 9.C.1	Provide educational materials on severe weather and mitigation strategies on the city and disaster preparedness website and through social media.	Both
Action 9.C.2	Increase direct community outreach in order to educate the community on drought, its potential impacts and individual mitigation techniques.	Both

**1.1.5 Prioritization and Implementation of Action Items**

Once the comprehensive list of jurisdictional goals, objectives, and action items listed above was developed, the proposed mitigation actions were prioritized. This step resulted in a list of acceptable and realistic actions that address the hazards identified in each jurisdiction. This prioritized list of action items was formed by the LPG weighing STAPLEE criteria

The Disaster Mitigation Action of 2000 (at 44 CFR Parts 201 and 206) requires the development of an action plan that not only includes prioritized actions but one that includes information on how the prioritized actions will be implemented. Implementation consists of identifying who is responsible for which action, what kind of funding mechanisms and other resources are available or will be pursued, and when the action will be completed.

The prioritized actions below reflect progress in local mitigation efforts as well as changes in development.

The top 10 prioritized mitigation actions as well as an implementation strategy for each are:

**Action Item #1 (Goal #4):** Explore installation of generators on selected facilities to ensure continuous power for use at shelters and/or alternate seats of government.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Public Works and Community Services Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund and/or grant funds

**Implementation Timeline:** 1/1/2017 – 6/30/2022

**Action Item #2 (Goal #2):** Through print media and the city website, continue to make available information regarding hazard mitigation in the City of La Mesa

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund

**Implementation Timeline:** Ongoing

**Action Item #3 (Goal #2):** Continue to use County and CalOES to coordinate and assist in implementation of mitigation awareness and efforts.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund

**Implementation Timeline:** Ongoing

**Action Item #4 (Goal #6):** Continue current practice of weed abatement in all city areas that are vulnerable.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund

**Implementation Timeline:** 1/1/2017 – 6/30/2022

**Action Item #5 (Goal #4):** Continue to participate in Zone, County and State mutual and automatic aid agreements.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund

**Implementation Timeline:** Ongoing

**Action Item #6:** Coordinate with other agencies and departments on training and planning for terrorist activities.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund and possible grants

**Implementation Timeline:** 1/1/2017-6/30/2022

**Action Item #7 (Goal #4):** Maintain communication links that disseminate intelligence information.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Police Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund and possible grants

**Implementation Timeline:** [On-going through 2022](#)

**Action Item #8:** Continue use of current California Building Code in all areas of new construction and remodel activity within the City.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Community Development Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund

**Implementation Timeline:** [Updated as required, 2017-2022](#)

**Action Item #9 (Goal #3):** Continue to conduct EOC drills at the city and regional level. [Cross train City staff at EOC positions.](#)

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund and possible grants

**Implementation Timeline:** Ongoing

**Action Item #10:** At the regional level, continue to be a part of the development of the regional plan.

**Coordinating Individual/Organization:** Fire Department

**Potential Funding Source:** General Fund and possible grants

**Implementation Timeline:** Ongoing

This page intentionally left blank

