The July meeting of the Fallbrook Community Planning Group was called to order at 7:00PM by Vice Chairman Jack Wood.

Eight (8) members were present: Vice-Chairs Jack Wood and Roy Moosa, Jerry Kalman, Lee DeMeo, Steve Brown, Mark Mervich, William O’Connor and Kim Murphy. Chairman Jim Russell, Eileen Delaney, Karel Hanson, Guy Howard, Victoria Stover and Jim Loge were excused.

NOTE: Due to the resignation of Donna Gebhart, seat #5 is open on the Fallbrook Community Planning Group. Interested individuals please contact the Chair to receive an application.


Jan Scott (twincranch@hotmail.com) reported that there are many intersections and streets that are not ADA complaint. The attached map and report she prepared indicates the routes many wheelchair bound residents use to travel throughout the community and there are many intersections without ramps for wheelchairs, and one even has a utility pole blocking wheelchair access to the sidewalk. Along many routes, there are no sidewalks, she said. Ms. Scott wants the Department of Public Works to be aware of these issues and to take action to rectify them, noting that there are even SANDAG grants available to fund these necessary improvements.

2. Approval of the minutes for the meeting of 17 June 2019. Voting item.

Mark Mervich moved to approve the minutes as submitted and the motion passed unanimously.

3. When San Diego County replaces the damaged side walk at 201 Hawthorn Street should they repaint the current green part with green paint? Circulation and Design Review Committees. Community input. Voting item. (6/3)

Steve Brown moved to deny the proposed green color curb painting and the motion passed unanimously.

County representatives did not appear to explain the ordinance and many members of the Planning Group expressed displeasure over the apparent slight by County officials over their non-appearance at both committee meetings and the full Planning Group. Both the Design Review and Circulation committees had several points of contention over the ordinance as well as the non-appearance by anyone from the County to review the proposed fees and procedures. The minutes of both meetings are attached.

During the public comment portion of the meeting, members of the Fallbrook Community Planning Group expressed dismay at the cost structures of the ordinance and many procedural points that they said unfairly discriminate against non-profit organizations. Lila MacDonald from the Fallbrook Chamber of Commerce asked why the County would ask for participation in a 31 July meeting and not bother to come up to Fallbrook for the three meetings up here.

Ray Beatificato, a co-administrator of the Senior Sheriff’s Volunteer Group, noted that many of the applicants for volunteer sheriff’s activities in Fallbrook request traffic control in support of non-profit organizations. He said that last year there were 62 events requiring his unit’s support. He noted that reports of their activities are submitted to the County after each event. Mr. Beatificato indicated that the primary problems with the ordinance revolve around the fees that will be imposed on organizations, particularly non-profits, for filing for permits to hold their events and the use of County facilities as required (barricades, cones, etc.); and the process for filing for the permits.

Mr. Beatificato provided reports on the amount of logistic support supplied by the local Volunteer Sheriff’s for organizations holding events in Fallbrook. Those three reports are attached.

Roy Moosa said that if the ordinance is implemented as drafted the number of events in Fallbrook will drop from 62 to two as many organizations, particularly non-profits, would find it difficult to meet the requirements to hire traffic and civil engineers to produce plans. He also cited the difficulty for many non-profits to handle the dual permitting process, adding that the requirements to file with a road commissioner would complicate the issue. He went on to indicate that the requirement to hold off on advertising until the 30-60-90 day permitting is approved hinders the marketing ability of many organizations that need advance notice longer than that to generate interest in their events. Mr. Moosa added that mailers are expensive, particularly to non-profits, and the ordinance makes use of that marketing device ineffective if the permitting process causes a delay and materials are either out-dated or the event is postponed, making reprinting necessary. He also wanted clarity on when events would be required to be presented to any local Planning Group, and said that if funerals require a permit, the 30-day rule might be unrealistic.
Jack Wood stated that the ordinance was originally written in 1965 and then updated in 1988. He said he would represent Fallbrook at the 31 July meeting. Roy Moosa said he would probably be there, too.

Lee DeMeo said that his taxes pay for these services and he wants to vote no on the use of fees to cover these events.

Bill O’Connor said these ordinances destroy community events, particularly those that are part of the culture of Fallbrook. He also noted that the guidelines need to be more specific.

Steve Brown, added he was insulted that the County representatives failed to attend, indicated that the ordinance was too broad to cover with one 31 July meeting and he moved to deny support for the measure. It passed unanimously.

5. TPM 2 1274 Request to subdivide the 8.74 acres into two parcels for the existing two dwelling units located on the southwest corner of Tecalote Drive and Puerto del Mundo APN 107-380-26. (1585 and 1587 Tecalote Drive). Owner, applicant and contact person Jeffrey Garner, Trustee, 760-484-8679, garner_jeffrey@hotmail.com. County Planner Souphalak Sakdarak 858-495-5214, souphalak.sakdarak@sdcounty.ca.gov. Land Use and Design Review Committees. Community input. Voting Item, (6/17).

The applicant, Jeffrey Garner, was on hand to discuss his proposed lot split.

Jack Wood indicated that the Land Use Committee conducted a site tour and reviewed the property features. The issue was also brought up at the Design Review Committee. Neither committee had an objection to the lot split.

Lee DeMeo moved to approve the project as presented and the motion passed unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:36 PM.

Respectfully submitted, Jerry Kalman, Secretary
The meeting was called to order by substitute Chairman Jack Wood at 9:30 a.m. Chairperson Eileen Delany was excused. Committee members present were: Mr. Wood, Roy Moosa, Jackie Heyneman, Ron Miller, Karel Hanson, Jedda Lorek, Steve Brown and Jean Dooley. Also present: presenter Jeffrey Garner.

1. **Open Forum** – Ms. Lorek had a question regarding a property easement. She was referred to Karn’s Surveying Co.
2. **Minutes from the meeting of 17 July, 2019**, were approved.
3. In answer to the question about whether or not the County should repaint the current green part of the damaged sidewalk with green paint, Ms. Heyeman motioned to say “no.” **The vote in support of the “no” vote was unanimous.**
4. Since the County representative was not present, this item was moved to the end of the Agenda.
5. **TPM 2 1274 Request to subdivide the 8.74 acres into two parcels for the existing two dwelling units located on the northwest corner of Tecalote Drive and Puerto del Mundo APN 107-380-26 (1585 and 1587 Tecalote Drive) was presented by Mr. Jeffrey Garner, property owner.** He is asking for approval to split the one large parcel into two smaller parcels, creating a plot line and forming two separate properties. One parcel would be approximately 5.5 acres with one dwelling unit, and the other parcel would be approximately 3.5 acres with a separate dwelling unit. **Mr. Moosa motioned to approve the request as presented. The vote was unanimous in support of the motion.**

Although no County representative was present, Committee members discussed Agenda item #4, the San Diego County Department of Public Works proposed new Special Events Ordinance. Roy Moosa led the discussion. The County is requesting feedback on the ordinance. Areas of concern include:

1. **Re: first page, #1:** The ordinance limits permits to non-profit applicants only. Is this appropriate for a neighborhood block party of 150 participants or less?
2. **Re: first page, #3:** The ordinance will require full cost recovery with permit and equipment fees. We find this language to be too restrictive.
3. **Re: p.1, #6:** Special Events will no longer require only one permit, but two permits - a special permit issued by the Road Commissioner in addition to the Special Event permit.
4. **Re: p.1, #8:** Certified traffic control companies and/or law enforcement are required. Needs clarification. Will our current arrangement of involving the Volunteer Senior Patrol officers be acceptable to the County?
5. **Re: p.2, #9:** Will the current traffic control plan prepared by the Volunteer Senior Patrol officers by accepted by the Road Commissioner, rather than the proposed civil and/or traffic engineer, which would be prohibitively costly?
6. **Re: p.2, #b3:** This item repeats the need for two permits, one Special Events and another Traffic Operations to replace the current system or requiring a single Special Events permit. The additional permit requires additional time and money for those planning an event.
7. **Re: p.3, #vii:** The required environmental review questionnaire will also require additional time and money to process requests. Costs of time and money to comply would be prohibitive.
8. Re: p.3, #2: The deadlines for submitting requests with the Road Commissioner are too demanding. There is no indication when a reply will be received from the Road Commissioner. Time requirements are unreasonable, not allowing time to advertise the events.

9. Re: p4, #6: The requirement to wait until permits are obtained before advertising an event often does not allow sufficient time to advertise events, since the community has no control on when permits will be granted by County representatives.


11. Re: p.5, iii: Some events cannot be planned 30 days in advance. What about funerals?

12. Re: p.5, #3iv (a): Needs clarification. What is the intention of this proposal?

13. Re: p.6, #4ii: Are mailers (very costly) required each year for repeated annual events?


15. Re: Sec. 362.2 fee schedules: Represent additional costs, which may be prohibitive.

Committee members were encouraged to attend the applicant workshop on Wednesday, July 31, at 2 pm for permit holders to discuss the updates and community input. The workshop will be held at 5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 410, San Diego, CA 92123

Motion by Mr. Moosa to continue this item to the Monday evening full Planning Group meeting, on Monday, July 15, 2019, 7 p.m, at Live Oak School, 1978 Reche Road, Fallbrook. Motion approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Jean Dooley, Community volunteer
The meeting was called to order at 2:00 P.M. at the Palomares House, 1815 South Stage Coach Lane, by Chairman Roy Moosa.

Members Present: Roy Moosa, Tom Mintun, Kim Murphy, Jim Loge, Jack Wood and Bill O’Connor

Non Members Present: Lila McDonald and Jan Scott

1. Open Forum. Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Committee on any subject matter within the Group’s jurisdiction but not on today’s agenda. Three-minute limitation. Non-discussion & Non-voting item.

Jack Wood related a recent discussion he had with Jim Russell, currently in the hospital, that Jim said he has a “long road to go” for full recovery:

Jan Scott shared with the committee her concerns related to the safety of Fallbrook residents with disabilities. She read a letter she had recently written to Robert Ebert as follows:

“Good morning Robert, I'm going to the Fallbrook Circulation Committee meeting today but I wanted to follow up with you and others involved, in writing. Fallbrook needs to concentrate on the design of infrastructure-related projects that will provide safe routes for non-drivers including children, older adults and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs. The goal should be: To provide safe transport access from Crestview Mobile Home Estates at Santa Margarita Road in North Fallbrook, to South Fallbrook Clinic on South Mission, including Alturas Road into Mission and Main via routes of Ammunition, Aviation and Fallbrook Street. The only safe crossover street is Brandon Road which is in horrible disrepair. I've been busy this week reading 1) the Fallbrook Pedestrian Area Plan from 2018, 2) the active transportation plan which includes The Pedestrian Gap analysis, 3) the Fallbrook Town Center Plan, 4) SANDAGs Fallbrook Community Plan, and 5) the Fallbrook Master Plan. There are too many plans (since 1988) saying what needs to be done to sidewalks and it’s not getting accomplished in my community. I’d like to request approximately 2.8 miles of sidewalks repaired at an estimated cost of $3,696,000 dollars using federal calculations. There are several GRANTS that are available to cover the costs. I have been in contact and requested information from: 1) the administration for community living, federal, 2) the community for infrastructure development, Federal, 3) the highway administration transportation alternatives program Federal, 4) the active transportation Grant from SANDAG, State of California. I have also contacted Governor Gavin Newsom's office, Supervisor Jim Desmond’s office, Representative Duncan Hunter's office and State Senator Brian Jones to assist in locating current Grant's/funding. Jim Desmond's office
sent Chris (?) to speak with Michelle Allison about her trials and tribulations regarding safe access from Crestview mobile home park at Santa Margarita Road into town, just to get to the grocery store. She no longer is capable of driving. Bob Stout, a disabled veteran does not feel safe accessing his basic needs in our community. Cars go by too fast and he's been close to being hit several times. My godson Joel Fondren who has been in a wheelchair his entire life, was hit by a car three weeks ago on Main and Aviation. I can no longer sit back and feel comfortable with all the programs, plans and committees, talking about what needs to be done and nothing is being accomplished. Please be kind enough to make ADA-compliant sidewalks and safe access to basic needs in Fallbrook a top priority. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter. Jan Scott

PS: Were you aware that eight new apartments are available for veterans with disabilities have been purchased and remodeled on Alturas North? And CSA 81 has approved funds for creating more pathways at Don Dussault Park that are ADA accessible. IT'S A GREAT LITTLE ONE ACRE PARK that is terrible to get there if you're in a wheelchair.”

Ms. Scott recommended that Committee members refer to the following web site to see how Fallbrook ranks in terms of Pedestrian safety: https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/dam/sdc/pds/advance/activetransportationplan/AppendixD.pdf.

After further discussion Ms. Scott was informed that the Circulation Committee is restricted in terms of what action can be taken. Her best bet is to make a presentation to the Fallbrook Planning Group and, to do that, she must first get on the agenda for a future meeting. Since the agenda for this month’s meeting is already promulgated she must attempt to get on the agenda for August. Thus, she was encouraged to appear before the Planning Group at the next meeting to, essentially, repeat what she said at the Circulation meeting.

2. Approval of the minutes for the last meeting in May approved as submitted.

3. When San Diego County replaces the damaged sidewalk at 201 W. Hawthorne Street should they repaint the current green part with green paint? Circulation and Design Review Committees. Community Input. Voting Item (6/3)

After limited discussion regarding why it was currently painted green a motion was made by Jack Wood to not paint the replaced sidewalk green.


The committee had detailed discussions of the following sections of SEC. 72.249.5, Special Events:
a.5, page 1: “With exception of Neighborhood Block Parties that are sponsored by local residents, application for a SETOP shall be filed by a tax-exempt non-profit organization or any agency of the federal, state or local government”

Comment/Question: Is this a new requirement as opposed to how it is currently done at the present time? Does this apply to new events or are recurring events exempt? Please clarify.

a.8, page 1: “SETOP applicants are responsible for contracting with certified traffic control companies, and/or law enforcement to provide traffic control for their events”

Comment/Question: Do volunteer sheriff personnel qualify as “law enforcement”? Contracting with “certified traffic control companies” would appear to be a huge expense and go a long way toward discouraging non-profit organizations from even attempting to have a Special Event.

a.9, page 2: “Traffic control plan” shall mean a plan prepared, signed and sealed by a SETOP applicant civil engineer and/or traffic engineer (for Medium and Large events only) and approved by the road Commissioner”.

Comment/Question: The committee could not recall any non-profit organization which has a civil engineer or Traffic engineer available as a member of their organization. Thus, it would be yet another huge drain on any hoped for profit from a special event.

b.3, page 2: “Any special Event that is also a Community Event, as defined in section 21.201, will require a Community Event Permit (CEP) in addition to a Special Event Traffic Operations permit, and is subject to separate CEP application deadlines and fees.

Comment/Question: Whatever happened to “one stop shopping” which has been previously cited as ways to speed up event approval processing by County officials in the past?

d.vii, page 3: “Completed and signed Environmental Review Questionnaire demonstrating whether the special event could result in environmental impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQWA)” is now a requirement to apply for a SETOP.”

Comment/Question: This is yet another example of adding a huge expense in attempting to have a special event in a timely, cost effective way. Does a non-profit organization now need to add an environmental engineer to their staff? Bear in mind non-profits do not typically have people on their payroll but rather an all-volunteer cadre of well-intentioned individuals.

e.6, page 6: ”Event Promotion. Special Events shall not be promoted until a SETOP is obtained.”

Comment/Question: History of approvals for special events in the past makes this requirement laughable. On a vast majority of occasions permit approval for any Special Event or Community Events comes in the day of the event. The County has got to set definite deadlines for themselves to make this requirement credible.
g.3.ii, page 5: Medium Events shall conform to the following: The event distance will not exceed four (4) miles of County maintained roads in total length.”

Comment/Question: What is the basis for this requirement? This distance may encompass many driveways, all of which would require manning to prevent inadvertent traffic.

g.3.iii, page 5: “Medium Events require information signs (including but not limited to event name, date, time of closure and/or delays, name of roadway(s) affected) shall be posted on affected roadways. If Medium Events also include road closures, mailers shall be submitted to affected residents/businesses whose access from the County road right-of-way to their residence/business would be blocked at any time by the event.”

Comment/Question: Does this apply to recurring events such as Fallbrook’s Avocado Festival, Harvest Faire, etc.?

g.3.iv(a), page 5: “Exceptions. If the event does not provide onsite parking, off-street parking, and/or shuttle service for all attendees, the event will be classified and reviewed as a Large Event for the purpose of public notification.”

Comment/Question: Funeral Services do not require a SETOP provided the “funeral processions are conducted by a licensed Mortuary”. What about parking for a large memorial service? Or small events that have no parking like events in the Village Square?

g.4.iii, page 6: “Additional Requirements for Large Events, Attend and present notification to the applicable community group(s) (e.g., Community Planning Group(s), Community Sponsor Groups, and homeowner’s Associations).”

Comment/Question: This is yet one more step in the Special Event process. The Community Planning Group is not the entity granting permission for a large special event. Are they being asked for an opinion about the feasibility of the large special event? In view of the fact that no one from the Department of Public Works was present to discuss these updated ordnances and respond to the Committee’s questions, a motion was made to continue this discussion by Jim Loge and it was unanimously approved.
The meeting was then adjourned at 2:50 P.M.

Tom Mintun, acting secretary
To the Fallbrook Circulation Committee and others involved,

Fallbrook needs to concentrate on the design of infrastructure-related projects that will provide safe routes for non-drivers including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs. The goal should be: To provide safe transport access from Crestview Mobile Home Estates at Santa Margarita Road in North Fallbrook, to South Fallbrook Clinic on South Mission, including Alturas Road into Mission and Main via routes of Ammunition Aviation and Fallbrook Street. The only safe crossover street is Brandon Road which is repaired on one side.

I have researched:
1) The Fallbrook Pedestrian Area Plan from 2018,
2) The Active Transportation Plan which includes The Pedestrian Gap Analysis 2016,
3) The Fallbrook Town Center Plan,
4) SANDAGs Fallbrook Community Plan,
5) The Fallbrook Master Plan.

There are many plans (since 1988) saying what needs to be done to sidewalks and roads without any analysis of ADA Compliancy, and a plan of action of what needs to be accomplished. I'd like to request approximately 2.8 miles of sidewalks be repaired at an estimated cost of $3,696,000 dollars using federal calculations ($250 ft for sidewalks).

There are several GRANTS that are available to cover the costs. Our government should be aware of these and apply for them for Fallbrook’s benefit.

1 SANDAG
Recommended to address Social Services Transportation Advisory Council Meeting
Tracy Ferchaw 619 699 1977 tracyferchaw@sandag.org
Transnet Smart Growth & Transnet Active Transportation Grant $14 million
Jack Christensen 619 595 1442 jack.christensen@sandag.org
Specialized Transportation Grant Section 5310
Senior Mini Grant Program under Transnet Extention

2) FEDERAL
Federal Highway Administration 202-366-4000 fhwa.dot.gov
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) MAP-21 distributed by the state

Department of Transportation  www.transit.dot.gov/
*Access and Mobility Partnership Grants
*Enhanced Mobility of Seniors & Individuals with Disabilities Section 5310
*Flexible Funding Programs Surface transportation Block Grant Programs 23-USC-133

3) GRANTS.GOV
*FTA-2019-008-TR Integrated Mobility Innovation Demonstration Program $15 mil
Research funds Hendrik Opstelten 202 366 8094
*DTOS59-19-RA-BUILD National Infrastructure Investments $25 mil

I have also contacted Governor Gavin Newsom’s, Representative Duncan Hunter’s, Senator Brian Jones, Supervisor Jim Desmond to assist in locating current Grant’s/funding for ADA Compliant Sidewalks.
RECOMMENDATION:
Santa Margarita to Brandon
Stop light and crosswalk at Santa Margarta and mission / Approved
Asphalt pathway on north side of Mission
Brandon to Alvarado
Sidewalk has been built with ada corner curbs
Alvarado to Main
Intermittent sidewalks,
designate NO PARKING around telephone poles at RED Bldg
Main to Fallbrook Street
Address telephones poles in sidewalks no 3ft clearance
Telephone pole blocks access at corner of Main and Fallbrook
Fallbrook Street to Heald Lane
Fallbrook St and old stage, telephone pole in sidewalk
Fallbrook Street to Clemens Lane
Ammunition to Alturas Lane
No sidewalks either side
Ammunition to Clemens Lane
Sidewalk with obstacles designate crossing at Clemens lane
Remove no parking signs and paint curb red instead east side
6 telephone poles in middle of sidewalk
Aviation /Wisconsin to Mission
No ADA curb at corner of Wisonsin
Aviation Mission to Main
Sidewalk approved/financed
Mission review entirety
Telephone poles in sidewalks denying access to Transit System
Main Ave review entirety
Telephone poles and obstacles in sidewalks
Main and Ivy, remove tree, buckled sidewalk, and repair
Main and Elder brick planter blocks sidewalk

The DPW has reviewed the pathways, taken pictures, and taken into advisement some of the recommendations that can be accomplished at this time, and will make further recommendations.

Chris from the DPW went to speak with M Allison about her trials and tribulations regarding safe access from Crestview mobile home park at Santa Margarita Road into town. He walked about TEN miles with her in a wheelchair. She no longer is capable of driving. B Stout, a disabled veteran does not feel safe accessing his basic needs in our community. Cars go by too fast on Mission and he's been close to being hit several times. My godson Ji Fondren who has been in a wheelchair his entire life, was hit by a car three weeks ago on Main and Aviation.

I can no longer sit back and feel comfortable with all the programs, plans, committees, talking about what needs to be done and nothing is being accomplished.

Please be kind enough to make ADA-compliant sidewalks and safe access to basic needs in Fallbrook a top priority. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.
Jan Scott
Bus Stops
Ivy St & Vine
Mission Rd & Pico
S Mission Rd & Fainbrook St
Main Ave & Fainbrook St
Ammunition Rd & S Main
Ammunition Rd & S Mission
Mission Rd & Clemens Lane.

Mission & Santa Margarita have been approved for a sidewalk and stop light. Financing is needed.

Ramp Missing
Poles in sidewalk
No Sidewalks

Clinic
Food Pantry
Grocery
Mobile Homes Parks
Library
Senior Center