

**JAMUL DULZURA
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

Final Minutes

Tuesday May 12, 2020

Approved May 26, 2020

*******VIRTUAL MEETING*******

7:30 p.m.

1. Dan Neirinckx, Chair called the virtual meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL:

Present: Dan Neirinckx, Janet Mulder, Joe Stuyvesant, Steve Wragg, Kevin May, Michael Casinelli, Eve Nasby, Streeter Parker, Ed Mollen, Preston Brown, Summer Piper.

Absent: Darrin Greenhalgh

Excused: 0

Vacant Seats: 9,13, &14

3. APPROVAL of the Agenda for May 12, 2020 and Final Minutes for the meeting of April 28, 2020. Dan Neirinckx moved we approve. Motion carried unanimously by roll call vote.

4. OPEN FORUM:

a. No one asked to speak!

5. CA SENATE BILL 743, CEQA changes to Project Traffic evaluation of impacts and CA SENATE BILL 743, CEQA changes to Project Traffic evaluation of impacts and mitigation. Dan Neirinckx reminded us we had a presentation on SB 743 last meeting. Kevin May pointed out he learned through the online information that the mitigation bank can be used like carbon benefits and he did not remember hearing that at the presentation. They have edited the presentation they made at our meeting. The Planning Commission meeting is scheduled for next Friday, May 15. VMTs based on regions or the total County. Kevin May reported that in the question and answer session they pointed out the three options and the region can choose the one they wanted to use for their County or Region. The safety factors would still be considered. Steve Wragg reminded us that his company is working with this project, and that the LOS will not be used on CEQA in the future. Remember that the LOS considers the number of trips and the VMTs will look at the number of miles needed to travel. He feels that all areas will still have LOS to determine the improvements needed on the highway. The thresholds will vary from area to area depending on the sub-areas

determined. They may use mitigation banks too and, we would want to make sure the mitigation banks are located in San Diego County. All California needs to have VMT guidelines established by July 1st of this year. For last 6 months they have required developments to do VMT analysis. Kevin asked Steve to compare LMA (Local Mobility Analysis) with VMT and Steve said that they would use the LMA to check for capacity. **Preston Brown** asked how this would affect Village 13? The project will have to take it into consideration if it comes before the BOS after July 1.

Michael Casinelli moved that our Planning Group recommend that the mitigation should be within the area where the negative impacts will occur. In addition we also recommend that LOS should remain a tool for mitigating traffic and establishing improvements in the General Plan for San Diego County. Motion passed on a roll call vote: 10, yes; 1, Abstention (Steve Wragg – work conflict)

5. **OTAY RANCH VILLAGES 13 and 14 TRAFFIC Impacts: Preston Brown** held a sub-committee meeting and no recommendations for the JDCPG were made. However, the sub-committee is reviewing the FFP for Village 13 and the emergency evacuation plan as it relates to the use of and reliance on Highway 94 and Otay Lakes Road. The evacuation plan currently does not take into account the variety of potential users of ambient traffic and non-project traffic. They are also focused on needed mitigation upgrades for the intersection of Otay Lakes Road and SR94. Caltrans has taken a strong position on both these issues and their backing will be enormously helpful. **Preston** has contacted **Caltrans** for a meeting including the sub-committee members. The sub-committee has also connected with SANDAG and **Preston** told us that SANDAG is willing to meet with the sub-committee. The sub-committee would also like to ask why they have approved the models used for the transportation study in the FEIR to learn what determines a “less than significant” impact and therefore no mitigation will be needed judgment. In addition, the sub-committee would like to contact County Planners and ask why they have approved the applicants’ idea to “defer” putting together a Community Evacuation Plan till after the project is built. **The sub- committee has no recommendation at this time, but will be meeting again and get back to the Group.**

7. **PLANNING GROUP MISSION STATEMENT: Kevin May** told us that the committee left the proposed mission statement as he presented at the last meeting as it was agreed upon. He then presented the following:

Proposed Mission Statement: describes the official goal of our group and is intended primarily for use by our group.

Proposed Purpose Statement: derived from the mission statement, it describes in more detail the purpose for the group, so that the public can have a better understanding of what we do.

MISSION STATEMENT:

The mission of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is to represent the best interests of the communities of Jamul and Dulzura while adhering to County of San Diego, California Board of Supervisors Policy I-1.

PURPOSE STATEMENT:

The purpose of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is:

To provide a public forum where local citizens can learn about issues of importance to them and their community and provide input.

To carefully consider all input when advising the county on such issues as planning, land use, discretionary projects, and community and sub-regional plans.

Preston asked about adding “Protect the community” and **Kevin** points out that when it says “represent the best interests of the communities...” says the same thing. **Joe Stuyvesant** complimented the committee on arriving at an excellent Mission Statement.

Dan Neirinckx moved that we accept the above as our Mission & Purpose Statements and pending approval by the County, would make it a part of the JDCPG bylaws. Motion carried unanimously.

8. JDCPG OFFICER’S ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REPORTS

A. Dan Neirinckx and Preston Brown spoke to County Counsel regarding talking with or sending information to members of the Planning Group. If members wish to share with the rest of the Planning Group, they should send the information to Dan and he will vet it and send out what he feels should be sent. This would avoid even the appearance of a Brown Act violation.

B. Dan Neirinckx pointed out that at the Planning Group Training session he attended, it was pointed out that an “ad-hoc sub-committee” does not have to have a publicly noticed agenda as long as the members are all Planning Group members. If members of the community are included, an agenda must be posted 72 hours in advance. **Joe Stuyvesant** asked Dan to have County Counsel sign their opinion on this, so we have proof that this is what we were told.

C. Preston Brown spoke with County Counsel and was told when you send an email to the Group, that as long as you are putting information for a specific project, but not your opinions, you would not be violating Brown Act. **Dan Neirinckx** said we should send the information to him and he would distribute it with the admonition of not discussing it. **Steve**

Wragg said it was important for all of the information we received to be stated at a public meeting. The Planning Group members can get the information ahead of the meeting so they would have a chance to become familiar with it, but then it must be shared with the community at the meeting.

D. Dan Neirinckx said that at this point he has not been given any new projects, and therefore he may cancel the next meeting. He will let us know.

ADJOURNMENT: Dan Neirinckx adjourned the meeting at 8:28 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Janet Mulder, Secretary

NOTICE OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING:

7:30 P.M. TUESDAY MAY 26, 2020

SITE: If still in quarantine, it will be another virtual meeting. Info to follow

Meeting minutes and agendas can be accessed at

<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds/CommunityGroups.html>

PUBLIC NOTICE

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Public Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.