

*****SPECIAL NOTICE*****

To take part in the VIRTUAL meeting call in by phone at either 669-900-6833 Or 346-248-7799 starting at 7:15 pm.
When directed, enter the meeting ID: 825-8974-8600 and
Meeting Password: 827443

You will be placed in a Queue until admitted by the Host. You will then be placed on hold until the Meeting begins. When it is your turn to speak, the host will say the last four digits of your phone number and you will be permitted to speak at that time.

If you become disconnected, call back and enter the appropriate ID and PW numbers.

*****SPECIAL NOTICE*****

**JAMUL DULZURA
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP
FINAL MINUTES**

**Approved January 12, 2021
Tuesday December 8, 2020**

*****VIRTUAL MEETING*****

CALL IN BEGINS AT 7:15 p.m.

7:30 p.m.

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** Chair Dan Neirinckx called the meeting to order at 7:33 p.m.
2. **ROLL CALL:**

Present: Dan Neirinckx, Joe Stuyvesant, Kevin May, Streeter Parker, Ed Mollon, Michael Casinelli, Janet Mulder, Preston Brown,

Absent: Darrin Greenhalgh, Steve Wragg

Excused: Eve Nasby, Summer Piper

Guests: Eric Lardy, SD County, Audrey Hamilton SD County, Amber Recklau, Resident
3. **APPROVAL** of the Agenda for December 8, 2020 (exchanging Item 5 and Item 6) and Final Minutes for the Meeting of November 10, 2020, Motion to approve passed unanimously.
4. **OPEN FORUM:**
 - a. **No speakers.**
5. **Planning Group Applicant Rachel Vedder** – was not present at meeting so discussion would be postponed to next meeting.

6. **Shelter Facilities Zoning Ordinance Revisions, POD 20-008: PDS Staff presenting included Eric Lardy and Audrey Hamilton.** This item looks at different types of ordinances that deal with homeless population shelters, that normally don't come to County Community Planning Groups. The BOS told County to look at this group of ordinances in May 2020. They are looking at amendments on property that County owned or operated financial interests, and they are also defining the emergency shelters. The County would be looking at what could be used as emergency shelters. They are looking for comments from communities who would not be interested in having homeless shelters as well as those who would be interested. The data they have is that the majority of the homeless would be close to the City of San Diego and more of the unincorporated areas in the closer to the City like Spring Valley. They hope to have the draft ordinance ready by end of this month.

Eric Lardy presented a slide presentation which included the following as Project Focus: "Recommendation 4 Amend the Zoning Ordinance:

- Section 1006 to exempt a broaden the definition of the county-owned-and-operated facilities to include County-owned or operated facilities and County facilities that are leased or contracted by a third party to provide a public purpose, which would include:
 - ♣ Emergency Shelters and other health or social services facilities (and including a non-exclusive list of examples of such facilities) owned, operated or financed by the County;
 - ♣ Transitional and Permanent Supportive housing owned, operated, or financed in whole or in part by the County.
- Section 1334 to expand the definition of "Emergency Shelter" to include safe parking, safe camping, safe storage, and day shelter activity;
- ON MOTION) BOS directed to define within Emergency Shelter to locate within the "Highest Concentration".
- Authorized use of properties leased from the State of California located in the unincorporated areas of the County for emergency shelter.

Eric Lardy went over the staff recommendations as follows:

- Amend Section 1006 as directed and limitations on where the shelters can be located.
- Amend Section 1334 to include a broadened definition, as directed, and to include additional state definitions.
- Expand Permitted Used within the Residential, Commercial Uses.

Michael Casinelli asked if the facilities have just beds or other facilities as well. **Eric Lardy** said that they normally do not come with services, and so they would look to site them near areas that had available facilities. This model would be more of a permanent shelter. **Dan Neirinckx** asked if the facilities were to be existing or built for this purpose. **Eric Lardy** said that generally they would be using existing facilities and not to include construction of new buildings. **Preston Brown** pointed out that we are learning lots about emergency responses in this Covid-19 problem. We are finding that we need massive areas for beds and the government seems to be responding with large buildings (like the San Diego Convention Center). **Eric Lardy** said they are concentrating on where massive numbers of homeless exist. The first step for the unincorporated area is looking at temporary facilities and is deciding how much more they would need for services which would be in a much smaller scale than the cities. Looking at areas of concentration of homeless that have the services, Jamul/Dulzura does not seem to have large number. **Ed Mollon** pointed out that these facilities would be exempted from some of the regulations and asked if it would go through the Planning Groups for recommendations on approval. **Eric Lardy** said at this point, they are not required to go through CPG, but have been included in the past. It is something that the Planning Group might want to suggest to the County, to ensure that they require Planning Group input. **Ed Mollon** asked if there is any required distance they would

be located from schools. **Eric Lardy** said that Jamul is not one of the areas that has a great number of homeless and pointed out that at any rate, the schools might not be considered the best location. **Michael Casinelli** asked if the County has any estimates of the number of homeless today as compared to what will exist after this eviction restrictions are lifted. Eric Lardy said there none listed in Jamul as of this date current estimate is 250 homeless people but not sure of how many when eviction restrictions no longer exist. **Dan Neirinckx** suggested we put this on the Agenda for January meeting as **Eric Lardy** stated it will not be sent to the Planning Commission before January and not sent to the BOS until March or April. **Dan Neirinckx** pointed out that if the plan was to have a facility be able to be used for more than 90 days, it would be more impactful. **Dan** thanked **Eric Lardy and Audrey Hamilton** for their presentation.

7. **Otay Ranch Village 14 Land Exchange Update/Report: Kevin May presenting. Today, the Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB) voted 5-1 against a proposed land exchange (Rancho Jamul Land Exchange) for this project.** The WCB cited concerns over its betraying and thus losing the public's trust if it were to approve the exchange and development of land that was originally set aside, in perpetuity, from development. It also felt that approval could set a dangerous precedent both locally and nationally. **Preston Brown** pointed out that all of the people were allowed two minutes and it went on for hours. He pointed out that the Board said that the developer knew what the restrictions were and bought it anyway and then tried to change the requirements. The possibility of making this a precedent was overriding concern of the Board. They felt it was a false choice and it was pointed out that the violation of the MSCP would mean that they would have to go back and do another EIR. Preston felt that this would help us in areas like the sewers that were against our Plan and the use of Proctor Valley Road. **Dan Neirinckx** will check with **Mark Slovick and Greg Matson** to get their plans, if any, to move forward with the project. **Kevin May** reminded us we needed to get the facts and then make a decision. **Preston Brown** reminded us that this means the project reverts back to the last approved map and it has lots of lawsuits filed against it. **Joshua Emerson Smith** wrote an article which will appear in the San Diego Union Tribune.

8. **Officers Announcements:**

Dan Neirinckx stated that the BOS will not consider our recommendations for reduction in numbers and/or the fill the vacancies until the new Board members take their positions. **Michael Casinelli** suggested that if the proposed members could do their training before being approved by the BOS, it would help expedite their voting participation.

Dan Neirinckx pointed out that the Form 700 is being used for 2019-2020 and he is checking with PDS and the BOS, to see if we should use it for 2021. **Amber Recklau** told us that she read that this form can be used until Dec. 2021.

Dan Neirinckx announced that the County CPG Training will be held online this Saturday **Janet, Dan, Kevin, and Eve** all signed up for the training. He will send out the meeting information again. **Amber Recklau** asked if she should join the training and Dan said he would suggest that she should. **Janet Mulder** reminded everyone that we are all required to **attend a training session every year.**

10. **Adjournment: Chair Dan Neirinckx** adjourned the meeting at 8:35 p.m. wishing everyone a good holiday season and "See you next year at the January 12, 2021 meeting.

Respectfully Submitted:

Janet Mulder, Secretary

NOTICE OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING:

7:30 P.M. TUESDAY January 12, 2021

SITE: Virtual Meeting format until public/in-person meetings permitted.

Meeting minutes and agendas can be accessed at

<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds/CommunityGroups.html>

PUBLIC NOTICE

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Public Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.

JAMUL/DULZURA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

MISSION STATEMENT:

The mission of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is to represent the best interests of the communities of Jamul and Dulzura while adhering to County of San Diego, California Board of Supervisors Policy I-1.

PURPOSE STATEMENT:

The purpose of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is:

To provide a public forum where local citizens can learn about issues of importance to them and their community and provide input.

To carefully consider all input when advising the county on such issues as planning, land use, discretionary projects, and community and sub-regional plans.

APPROVED 5/12/2020