

\*\*\*\*\*SPECIAL NOTICE\*\*\*\*\*

To take part in the VIRTUAL meeting call in by phone at either 669-900-6833 Or 346-248-7799 starting at 7:15 pm.

When directed, enter the meeting ID: 869-0213-0606, Password: 286896 You will be placed in a Queue until admitted by the Host. You will then be placed on hold until the Meeting begins. When it is your turn to speak, the host will say the last four digits of your phone number and you will be permitted to speak at that time. If you become disconnected, call back and enter the appropriate ID and PW numbers.

To join the meeting via the web use the following link:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86902130606?pwd=bWRHQW8wR0NsK0IwOUFNdGU2WUpxQT09>

\*\*\*\*\*SPECIAL NOTICE\*\*\*\*\*

**JAMUL DULZURA  
COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP  
FINAL MINUTES**

**Tuesday November 9, 2021**

\*\*\*\*\*VIRTUAL MEETING\*\*\*\*\*

CALL IN BEGINS AT 7:15 p.m.

**7:30 p.m.**

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** Chair, Dan Neirinckx, called the meeting to order at 7:34 p.m.

2. **ROLL CALL:**

**Present:** Dan Neirinckx, Eve Nasby, Preston Brown, Streeter Parker, Michael Cassinelli, Summer Piper, Paul Romero, Amber Recklau, Kevin May

**Excused:** Janet Mulder, Ed Mollon, Rachel Vedder, Steve Wragg,

**Absent:**

**Vacant:** Seat 7 & 14

**Guests:** Andrew Hayes, Emmet Aquino, Judy Strang, Ken Inman, Paul Dombkowski,

3. **APPROVAL** of the Agenda for November 9, 2021 & Final Minutes for October 26, 2021. Motion made by Michael Casinelli to approve the Final Minutes of October 26, 2021, with Preston's comments appended. Motion passed unanimously- 9 yes 4 Excused (Ed Mollon, Rachel Yedder, Janet Mulder, Steve Wragg)

See Final Minutes October 26, 2021, with Preston's notes attached under Item 5 and appended here. *"Preston Brown commented that he and Janet Mulder, Secretary, went over the minutes and she and Dan Neirinckx reviewed the comments, and did not agree that Preston had made all of the statements he sent to her after receiving the minutes. Preston wanted to get his comments in the minutes regarding the new self-storage facility on*

Jefferson to accurately explain his position. **Preston's** notes reflected that he said he "objects to the sign as it violates the letter and spirit to what the applicant originally agreed to." He noted that **Denise Russell** from the County was very nice and had an opportunity to negotiate between the developer and the community. But he felt like that they sidestepped an opportunity for them to do just that. **Preston** would prefer that the minutes reflect his comments that the sign is degrading to our community character. He cautioned our group against giving conditional approval in the future. He also noted that Google will pull up our detailed minutes if you search for land-use issues in Jamul/Dulzura, so he feels it is imperative for them to accurately reflect what the speaker actually meant to say." **Motion to append this addition to the Final Minutes of October 26, 2021, was approved.**

#### 4. OPEN FORUM:

a. **No comments from Planning Group members.**

b. **Judy Strang, guest,** updated us on the BOS concerning public input. Tomorrow the meeting will be at 2 pm. BOS is considering changing the format for giving public comments. She attends all of the County board meetings, and has observed that some of the comments are coming from those who in our county, but there have been disruptions to the meetings from those outside the County. Next Tuesday and Wednesday are the next BOS meetings. CPG's have been coming regularly to the meetings and speaking to the concern that they are being left out of the conversation. We have concerns in the unincorporated areas that are not being heard. The CPGs have been speaking out even though no action has been taken.

c. **Dan Neirinckx pointed out that Paul Dombkowski needs to be approved.** We are waiting for the BOS to approve his membership with us, as everything has been submitted for their approval. We hope to hear soon that he has been approved. **Michael Casinelli** suggested that a call might be made to the Supervisor's office asking to have it put on the agenda.

5. **INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION BRIEFING – Chair, Dan Neirinckx introduced Commissioner Ken Inman** who presented the briefing regarding the current Independent Redistricting making the following points: Districts must be relatively equal in population, must be contiguous, must minimize divisions of communities of interest and geographically intact. They cannot consider the place of residence of current political representatives nor favor or discriminate any political party or candidate. There are several criteria that apply to San Diego County. Three districts must include unincorporated areas and two must be primarily unincorporated areas. Seven billion dollars is the current Board of Supervisors budget, and it will impact much of County Services for next 10 years as the maps drawn today, approved in Dec. 2021 will be in effect for 10 years.

It is important that the public voice is heard and public input is encouraged for gathering information on the Communities of Interest (COI). The Public can do this is by Providing oral testimony at public hearings, Submitting written testimony at any time - email, regular mail, submitting eComments via IRC website, Draw your community - electronic tool at website or paper map and submit it. Provide as much detail as you can about your community and the characteristics or issues that bind you together and why you should be united in a single district! If done properly, the community will have a fair opportunity to elect officials that will represent them. These officials will decide where the monies go which are allocated to the community. The Commissioners will use the census data and publicly gathered information on Communities of Interest. The census data gives a way to measure populations but it won't tell about the issues that bring the community together,

which is why it is important for the public to voice their opinions.

Our County has changed. In 2010 San Diego County population was 3.1million and today it is 3.3 million. The Growth rate over 10 years has been 6.7%. highest growth rate 8.8% in District 3. Where are they in the process? The redistricting team has been meeting for over a year. In that time they set up the infrastructure, held public meetings twice a month and had special meetings. Go to their website for a full list of meetings. They had nine pre-mapping meetings before the pre-mapping process. All had a virtual component to collect public comment on Communities of Interests. The closest meeting to Jamul was El Cajon. They first started drawing maps in late October from public testimony and census data. They have had one draft map meeting with comments from a public meeting on November 1 which lasted for three hours. There were over 100 public comments on the maps, with a significant presence from East County. They are working towards the December 15 deadline where they must file a plan with the BOS. However, the maps are still evolving. They will need to make serious decisions for the direction the maps are going in the next few weeks. In the public testimony on November 1, all reflected a horizontal design running from the coastal, core urban and tying our area into a District which starts at the coast and includes Chula Vista all of the way to the Imperial County border. The public addressed the shape. The Commission deliberated with a series of motions and based on public comments, they just posted new maps this afternoon (November 9). **Commissioner Inman** encouraged participation at the next meeting which is Saturday at 11 a.m. when they will take public testimony and they will need to file by Dec. 15 with BOS. However he cannot take Community of Interest comments. At the 12/15 Board of Supervisors meeting, the BOS does not take action. **Preston Brown** asked “Does the BOS get to comment on it at all” and **Commissioner Inman** said, “No, they do not. What the Commission presents on the 15<sup>th</sup> is final and can only be challenged in the courts. **Preston Brown** asked if the date can be pushed because the comments are late coming to us. Preston pointed out that the special meeting he mentioned is not on their list of meetings. **Commissioner Inman** noted Preston was correct. It is a public meeting on procedures. He wants to have people bring mapping comments to the Nov 13<sup>th</sup> meeting. Tomorrow’s meeting is procedural stuff. **Preston also asked again, “Can we defer this? We are coming up with last minute information.”** **Commissioner Inman stated, “No, they cannot defer the dates. They could lose legal standing. They must deliver on the 15<sup>th</sup>.”** The floor was opened to questions from attendees: **Judy Strang , guest**, pointed out that a city has a City Council to go to with problems, but an unincorporated area only has their Supervisor to go to for help and if the BOS District is split with interest in the city and unincorporated areas she feels that this will be a hardship to those in the unincorporated areas! **Andrew Hayes, guest**, stated that he feels it is important for each of us to comment on the process via [www.wedrawthelines.ca](http://www.wedrawthelines.ca) and to speak up regarding the State redistricting as Jamul has been clumped with Imperial County which he points out may not be in our best interest. **Dan Neirinckx** thanked **Commissioner Ken Inman** for providing the briefing tonight.

- 6. PARKLAND DEDICATION FUND PRIORITY LIST: Chair Dan Neirinckx introduced Emmet Aquino, representing San Diego County**, who noted that PLDO funding is paid by residential building permits and the monies are administered by County Department of Parks and Recreation. They can acquire land and rehabilitate existing parks and existing facilities with this money. It is critically important that we comment and list what our priorities are in our community. They incorporate all CPGs into their master plan which is updated every 5 years. They review for PLDO conformance with policy F26 and additional guidelines. After it is determined that the project can be considered they will provide us with an update and provide recommendations to their director and hopefully consider it as a viable project.

The County has a 5-year plan that identifies projects in the County that are 5 years out. "CINA" stands for Capital Improvement Needs Assessment. Parks & Recreation will put Jamul in as having made a request for a central park next year which they will put into CINA for the next 5-year period from 2022 to 2027. This is the first step in getting a park in our area. **Emmet Aquino** asks that we make a priority list of what we want to see. He says that we should continue to ask for a park and recreational programs in Jamul. He asked us to look at what makes a good park, realizing that we know our area well, location of vacant lots, noting any resources that they can get would be helpful information for the acquisitions team. It would need to have good accessibility, be vacant and outside of a floodplain, and not having any biological sensitivity. **Emmet Aquino** told us that they would be more than happy to further research any property upon which we can provide recommendations, and they are open to hear our recommendations. He recommends filling out a priority letter.

**Michael Casinelli** asked about programs through PLDO, and **Emmet Aquino** told us there was no new information on programming. Examples of users include Little League, basketball, and other athletics. If a park is established, there could be hosted events there like movies or local artist displays. Their operations staff are open to hear from Community Planning Group and Park Advisory Committees. The letter did not include any new information on their programs, but rather just contained more resources to make their information more transparent. **Michael Casinelli** asked if the PLDO money is not spent in a given amount of time does it go back to the county? **Emmet Aquino** said it remains in the fund and today there is a total of 400k in the Jamul account broken into 2 categories of \$170,000 for existing and \$230,000 for upcoming projects. A 2019 update requires the fund to be separated between existing and upcoming projects. **Michael Casinelli** noted it is hard to save up for a park when we are losing money while we are gaining money. **Emmet Aquino** noted that this is a challenge across the board. **Kevin May** asked if project gets approved and there is not enough money to cover it can the project stay approved as money continues to come into the fund? **Emmet Aquino** said yes, because it is in CINA so there may be other opportunities for funding outside of PLDO, like one-time funds. Lot of opportunities there. Once we get into the 5 year period from 2022 to 2027, our community has to put in a *proposal* in order to make use of the \$400,000 now available. CINA now project is fully seen by everyone. As they take on additional trails, preserves, and parks they ask for additional funds and staffing. They try to put in projects, they also identify funding for staffing and broken up into 5 years plan as additional funds. **Dan Neirckx** pointed out that in the past each one of the Supervisors had a fund of their own to spend in their district. In the past, **Dianne Jacob** committed 100k to projects to get over the hump and in the process. He asked **Emmet Aquino**, how do you handle the funds that the Supervisors have called the Community Enhancement Fund, and was told they will put the monies towards parks and recreation fund.

**Emmet Aquino** reminded the Group that it takes time to find land for a park, and to find willing sellers. Sometimes the seller is not willing to sell even if we find perfect plot. As the moment they acquire land then amenities- what will benefit the community the most. If within 5 years there are other opportunities to get funding to ensure that they meet the needs of the community. **Michael Casinelli** asked if the planning group makes a recommendation to use some of our PLDO money for a specific organization for the specific project and the money is granted from the BOS but the project never materializes- what happens? **Emmet Aquino** suggested that it might be a good idea to have **Michael** send him an email and he will respond back after checking on the correct answer. **Preston Brown** asked when is the date when bucket one of the money expires and is it no longer available to us? **Emmet Aquino** stated that he doesn't know when the money in bucket one will expire. He knows in the past that when it came to previous funds, there are plans in place to identify funds for

a project and these funds are protected because they are in the CINA. **Dan Neirinckx** asked where does the money come from that covers the cost to maintain the park once the park is established to keep it usable? **Emmet Aquino** says there is a separate bucket for staffing and maintenance. Separate bucket for major maintenance team who is the team that maintains the park and or rehabs the park. They also replace out-dated playground equipment, and improve and add shade structures and picnic tables. These funds are not capital but via a different fund. There is a fine line between rehab and maintenance. It gets a little marginal but funding for replacement cost is done after assessments of all facilities every 5 years. They assess if existing amenities need to be replaced. They would request additional funds. **Preston Brown** said as he understands, the ball is in our court and we need to be communicating with Park & Rec as we make decisions and try to set up a proposal. **Emmet Aquino** said, "Yes that is correct."

**Eve Nasby** asked where should we direct public comments. **Emmet** suggested the recommended priority list should be included in the JDCPG's letter to Parks & Recreation. **Dan Neirinckx** thanked **Emmet Aquino** for attending and looks forward to hearing back from him with the answers to our questions.

7. **CONTINUANCE of TELECONFERENCING MEETING OPTION PURSUANT to GOVERNMENT CODE 54953(e)** - We need to renew the findings to do a virtual meeting on December 14<sup>th</sup>. However, between now and December 14<sup>th</sup> there is an excess of 30 days. The way around this is that the Chair makes the findings and sets the next meeting after the findings. The JDCPG would need to ratifying the findings made by the Chair.

**Michael Casinelli** moved Pursuant to Government Code 54953 (e) (3) a motion to find the Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group has reconsidered the circumstances of the State of Emergency and State and Local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing. Motion passed unanimously.

**Michael Casinelli** moved to approve the Chair of the Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group to make the findings to renew the continuance of teleconferencing meeting option pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and then ratify the findings at the following meeting. Motion passed unanimously.

Agenda items 8, 9, & 10 were postponed to the next meeting due to Chair's technical problems which made it unable to continue the virtual meeting.

8. **DRAFT REGIONAL DECARBONIZATION FRAMEWORK :**
9. **CELL TOWER MUP RENEWAL, LOCATED at 19151 SR-94 DULZURA:**
10. **COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS REQUEST FOR PROJECT LIST:**
11. **JDCPG OFFICER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REPORTS. (VMT)**

**Preston Brown** reminded us to get comments in.

**Michael Casinelli** reminded us to get our comments on micro kitchens and reminded Dan it needs to be put this on agenda for next meeting.

**Dan Neirinckx** will verify the date of when we need to make comments on micro kitchens.

12. **Adjournment: Chair Dan Neirinckx adjourned the meeting at 9:13, reminding all there is traditionally only one meeting in November and December so the next meeting will be held on December 14, 2021.**

**Respectfully submitted:**

**Janet Mulder, Secretary (with thanks to Eve Nasby for taking the notes and to Dan Neirinckx for recording the meeting!)**

**NOTICE OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING:**

**7:30 P.M. TUESDAY DECEMBER 14, 2021**

**VIRTUAL**

**Meeting minutes and agendas can be accessed at**

**<http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/pds/CommunityGroups.html>**

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Public Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.

**JAMUL/DULZURA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

**MISSION STATEMENT:**

*The mission of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is to represent the best interests of the communities of Jamul and Dulzura while adhering to County of San Diego, California Board of Supervisors Policy I-1.*

**PURPOSE STATEMENT:**

*The purpose of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is:*

*To provide a public forum where local citizens can learn about issues of importance to them and their community and provide input.*

*To carefully consider all input when advising the county on such issues as planning, land use, discretionary projects, and community and sub-regional plans.*

**APPROVED 5/12/2020**