
Jamul Dulzura

Community Planning Group Unincorporated County of San Diego

FINAL MINUTES

October 25, 2022

Location: On-Line Zoom

Recorder: Preston Brown

1. **CALL TO ORDER**: 7:32

2. **ROLL CALL**

Present: Preston Brown, Kevin May, Eve Nasby, Steve Wragg, Dan Neirinckx, Michael Casinelli, Janet Mulder, Summer Piper, Ed Mollon, Rachel Vedder

Guests: Peter Andersen

Excused: Paul Dombkowski, Paul Romero,

Vacant Seats: 2,9 and 14

3. **APPROVAL**: **Agenda** for October 25, 2022 and the **Minutes** for October 11, 2022

Dan Neirinckx moved that we approve the agenda for October 11, 2022.

Motion passes unanimously. Minutes from October 11 are not completed.

4. **OPEN FORM**

Michael Casinelli Last year the school had a trick or treat event in the parking lot and traffic was really bogged down and I was wondering if they were going to do it again. I think it was held at Steele Canyon High School. No one has heard anything on that. But we did remember the traffic jam at Willow Glen.

5. **JAMUL CASINO AND EVENT CENTER PROJECT Tribal Environmental Impact Report**

(TEIR) located at 14145 Campo Road, Jamul, CA 91935 on the Jamul Indian Village of California's Reservation.

The Project proposes to remodel the existing Jamul Casino to include a new event center, multi-purpose/bingo hall, 225-room hotel, six-story parking structure, and associated infrastructure. Construction activities are proposed to commence in December 2022 and are anticipated to take place over 18-24 months. No expansion of the gaming floor or increase in the number of slot machines or table games is proposed.

The public can learn more about the project at <https://www.jamulteir.com/review>, and can review the Draft TEIR at <https://ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/2022050410/2>.

- **Preston Brown** Has everyone had a chance to go through the TEIR and some of the Appendices? I'm working on this I have more questions all the time. **Summer** was very helpful in focusing in on the evacuation procedures and how this would be handled by a casino on tribal land on sovereign land and pointed out the difficulties of the shelter-in-place vs. them saying, in enormous contradictions and conflict of saying when we would have evacuated early. You're either doing one of the other as she pointed out from the fires up in Tahoe. This one of the things we were wanted to talk about tonight.
- **Summer Piper** 2 points. They said they would evacuate early and I just wanted to know what that means because you're evacuating early out here you're always impacting somebody right. Because if you're in a in a warning area there's somebody that's in a mandatory evacuation area usually and those people out here going to be using the 94 whether or whatever Community is it along the 94 it's impacting a community that's really why this mandatory evacuation, they're always impacting someone, right? When I worked the Caldor Fires the casinos were shelter-in-place properties and then they you know they just have to be prepared for the long haul right if they allow people to stay, people can't go and come back. People can't leave because you're now behind evacuation lines and so it becomes a problem.
- First Responders for us it was fine we stayed in the hotels, we could go about our business behind fire lines but it's for people that aren't responders you know it becomes cumbersome getting food and things like that because you can't get deliveries into the casino, people can't bring they can't go out and get food and come back to the casino so that was just you know my question you know for in-place shelves if they're going to shelter-in-place is it going to be a temporary shelter in place until like they say max 24 hours and then they've got to evacuate people like what kind of their assessment for that because once it goes past like about 16 hours to 24 hours they can no longer carry on any types of operations: housekeeping shuts down, restaurants shut down, gaming shuts down, because they can't get employees in and out so then it becomes another issue of how do you maintain the security also of the hotel and then remaining property if normal shifts so it just be interesting I was just interested in what that looks like from their perspective or if they even you don't got that far so I'm sure they're using a boilerplate sample that I've just interested to know what it is .
- **Preston Brown** Our subcommittee should really look at that. Are they required to have a Wildland Fire Evacuation Plan? I don't know but they don't have one. That is such a strong point for us to understand that if we're going to do something called early evacuation, would you kind of pointed out doesn't really exist, they are going to interact in that case that would be an interaction with a community that might be under a mandatory evacuation from somewhere else so that's something that I've written about before and you made a really good point here. Does anybody have any comments on this?
- **Michael Casinelli** Sheltering in place is totally volunteer right? I mean they can't keep people there.
- **Summer Piper** So there's when you when shelter in place, there to is one who's determining it's a shelter-in-place property. Is that something that the tribal commission or the gaming commission or the tribe themselves can they determine that or is that something that has to be that has to come down from a Fire Department. You know sovereign land so I don't know I don't know what the answer is but yeah so once they're under like a shelter in place are

- but if people do leave and they drive out behind fire lines they can't come back but they can then drive around you know the evacuated area is no problem if they stay in a shelter in place so I don't know what their plan would be and like I said they don't have to keep operations any longer because they can't get staffing in so then how do they keep people in the hotel if they say yeah, we keep people so I don't know what the answer is.
- **Michael Casinelli** Also, do we know if they have their own generators because we have power outages during this time. And I can't see how they could function within that facility unless they did that backup generators with no juice going in.
 - **Preston Brown** They do have backup generators but to what capacity yeah I mean they would they have to go on and for how long?
 - **Dan Neirinckx** They don't have a waste treatment plant until they have to haul that off and they're in their holding tanks and then when the holding tanks are full of the toilet items and that maybe another question we have to ask whether they would qualify for a shelter in place.
 - **Preston Brown** And for how long, how long is it because you're right they have trucks that can haul the solid waste after the treatment process off-site to what they said was The Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant or the second so if that stopped up how many days is that go for no it's just a great that's a really great point.
 - **Ed Mollen** this is kind of out a different tact but it's something that I'm kind of concerned about a little history because this really was weird to me when I first started but our roads are before all this we're rated "F" they were the worst rating that the county can give our roads so when they put all this stuff in the county said oh there's no impact because it was already an F rated Road. Well that's bad enough but what's going to happen when they have a big concert going on in their new event center and in addition to the regular number of people that are there I mean they could have another several thousand people I thought I assumed that's what they intend to do. And how is all that going to affect the whole evacuation and the casino itself, its services will be severely stressed at that point I really don't believe that there's any possibility that they could do shelter-in-place based on that you know what I'm hearing here given that they have an event going on at that time I'm also worried about general traffic because you without an event we're waiting in her can take an hour just to just to get up 94 to get home so I don't want to lose track and I want to make sure that maybe to me I'm the only one who is appalled by that F rating and so there's no fact but what's so used to it that we keep we keep hearing that yeah I haven't heard it been brought up for a while so I just wanted to get that on the record again that it's nonsense to me it doesn't make any sense whatsoever that there's considered to be no impact on the roads.
 - **Preston Brown** There was the argument of how they should because of the way that they interpreted the increase in traffic that there would actually be no increase in traffic because it had not achieved the maximum prediction from the first analysis. My question would be right away why are you building another 6-story garage in the back. So I could definitely planning on having more you no more cars of the capacity someone else the question about the capacity of the Event Center in the 35,000 square foot event center that would be at 1,500 people.
 - **Ed Mollen** I'm a little skeptical about that too because how do you pay any kind of name Entertainer to come out you know do a 1500 seat venue I don't know.

- **Preston Brown** Well they will have a huge variety of events. It is not a theater but as a large box convention center space they can set up stages and lighting. Thank you for bringing that up. Back in May of 2020 I contacted we have a subcommittee our group that just were interested in the in the roundabout and we contacted SANDAG and Caltrans we got all the latest statistics on 94 and the series 11 12 of and 13 and in the forecast for our area I contacted them again and trying to get them to find out if there's any new data on that that would help us improve our understanding the increase in the rate of increase of traffic on 94. It would be hard to know how many turned into the casino unless they're actually doing this at the at that intersection that's been put on so we're checking. Marsha's working on that and so is as Darla on the traffic issues so we're compiling as much information as we can definitely going to be an impact in traffic that has to be considered in terms of the cumulative impacts.
- **Dan Neirinckx** This may not be intuitive but if their first traffic analysis was so far off, if they could have had such a large amount now, it's a lack of credibility to their initial findings for traffic impact which would I think we do the whole thing over again including out now see what really is going to be. Because there were people that mentioned when they were giving away items, the backups go all way back into Rancho San Diego. They need it and I'm just concerned about they were so far off the first time how can you accept the data now.
- **Janet Mulder** I agree with Dan that you got to take a look at why they were so far off. We spent a lot of time with Caltrans and with the Indian group trying to figure out you know what was actually happening because everybody was kind of shooting blind. I think when you have this kind of backup on 94 all the way into Rancho San Diego today that that could be a total disaster if you add you know that many more people to be coming in. Until the fact that they made the mistake there that they feel I don't know I don't know whether or not maybe the problem is that our counts are not corrected day and other words I think they may be too low today to be actually what they are. Because there's just no way in heck that we should be standing in line waiting to get up 94. The way we have to and it's not it doesn't occur only when they have a giveaway although then it does really occur a but imagine if they had a big concert there or something it would just be a total disaster and I think that that Summer's points on the evacuation and the shelter-in-place idea are somebody's got to really follow through on that.
- **Preston Brown** The amount of traffic depends upon the timing of these events if it is on a Friday afternoon where the entire 94 has gone beyond capacity as a road for any kind of emergency. The TEIR did not analyze the specifically when events happen how badly the traffic affects the area and the residents notice and they pointed this out in the last meeting of that that the events just created absolute gridlock. I think that has to be analyzed and there has to be some plans for when they plan these events that they're not around really high traffic times.
- **Steve Wragg** At our last meeting I think there was some confusion about events there is the current events that they give a promotional items away that create traffic and business on Highway 94 the events that the project is talking about is not that the events the project is talking about is special events such as a wedding, birthday party, corporate event that type of thing so I just want to make sure everyone understood that because the last meeting people were confused by that.
- **Dan Neirinckx** Regarding special events are not entertainment events there more business related or you know personal.

- **Steve Wragg** It can be both. Special event is typically it's a commercial theme to do their special events they are but it could be it could be Dan and Steve throws a party or it could be Preston's work that throws a party a corporate event. It can be you know or it could be a concert there's there's a lot of different ways or they could be there's a lot going on.
- **Dan Neirinckx** What looking for a list of at least some of them are an example of what were the possibilities.
- **Steve Wragg** The UBC determines the amount of space required for an occupant. If it's fixed seating you can count how many people are going to be there if it's not fixed seating then you then do by square footage. So depending on the code, it could be you know you know if it's if it's a certain type of I can't remember what it is but it's a certain square footage per participant or person that's been in there based on the UBC uniform building code.
- **Preston Brown** The 35,000 square foot is not just the Event Center that's an event center area plus take a 9,000 square foot Bingo area so there's two different areas within a \$35,000 so the Event Center is about 25,000.
- **Janet Mulder** Well I think it's important so that we realized Steve I don't think you were suggesting that it would not be a special event like a concert or something that would draw a greater number of people and it was just not it's not limited to private party kind of thing and so you know and the scary thing to me is they haven't done that up to this point and yet you know that they had one very large wedding but then I got stuck in the traffic on and I thought to myself oh my gosh but I'm really glad they're not all that's not a big huge place at this point so you know you better realize it's a traffic is going to be severely impacted.
- **Steve Wragg** You can assume as there is going to be the casino traffic and then on top of that there could be additional traffic associated with that special event because I don't think they're going to close the casino down for a special event. They're going to be on top of each other in so we want to make sure the traffic study for the modeling or whatever was done addresses that the you know what is that number of trips for the they identifying or listing for the special events what's the maximum number and it should coincide with the size of the facility based upon the UBC occupancy rating.
- **Janet Mulder** I didn't see that though did you Steve? I didn't look though I think it's important that it be there.
- **Steve Wragg** It should be there because the traffic consultant had to do some modeling and he has to know from the applicant or the owner how many people are you going to have at the special event what's the maximum number in the bingo hall and then put those on top of the casino that the current Casino traffic. I'm saying so you just stacked on top of each other that's what I believe they're doing but I don't know.
- **Preston Brown** They have an intergovernmental Agreement with the Fire Department of San Diego County Fire and that will go through the checks of occupancy.
- **Steve Wragg** But I think we need to know what that is, the maximum, so we can make sure that it was modeled correctly in the traffic study
- **Preston Brown** We can check on this I think was 1500 either for the for the entire thing or just the Event Center. I think someone asked that question so those could be confused if that was in total. We can check on that.
- **Summer Piper** The MOU /Intergovernmental Agreement that they sign with the Sheriff Department and the SD County Fire Authority is for services and policing services.

- **Preston Brown** They still need a fire marshal just to sign off and approve their design for occupancy which will be determined. At the meeting they said that traffic counts were about 30% less than what they had projected.
- **Michael Casinelli** I had a conversation with Murali at the county and he had looked at traffic counts and he told me that the data that the county had were on target with what they had projected he didn't say less than, he said on target. And I had a discussion with him about it because I've had to do a lot of projections on populations or economics and you look at all the variables and you put them together and you come up with a range and I know when you're going to sell something like a project to the county and you have arranged your most likely going to give him a bogus number so that's what I anticipated they gave the lowest number and their business was bad and they were able just to meet that. But I wouldn't buy or accept that what they're saying is less than it was rejected because that's not what morality told me.
- **Summer Piper** Not only did they say they were under the estimated number of the original count they said that when they add in the new event traffic they would still be under the original estimate count.
- **Michael Casinelli** Dianne Jacob had asked me to get a baseline before the opened and one afterwards.
- **Peter Andersen** This business of people spending the night at the hotel reducing traffic and vehicles mile traveled is completely bogus. If a person goes out there to gamble they drive out there and then they drive home that's a round trip. If a person checked into the hotel they drive out there they spend the night and they drive home same number trips that is a completely bogus argument. The idea that the hotel mitigates traffic is BS. and I think it's irrelevant that they're still under their original estimate for traffic. The question is how much does the new performance facility in the hotel create additional traffic not beyond their original estimate but above what is currently there which we know is intolerable.
- **Steve Wragg** To the person that spoke before me that it is bogus about the hotel using the same trips as the casino. The applicant did bring up that they have looked at other casinos in the San Diego area so when we're talking that this is bogus we have to be substantiated we can't just say I don't like it or I don't think this is correct. Again, so they have identified via a certain ratio of cars when there's a hotel versus a casino and that's what they're using if we can come back with something that can correspond to that or dismiss that then we should be able to do that. We just can't say that I don't think it's right. So when we're talking I wish hope everyone will substantiate their conclusion with data that can support their claim.
- **Peter Andersen** It would be nice to have such a study but that might be less revealing than the simple math unless there are people that go to the casino everyday then there will be a definite increase in traffic because of a person goes to the hotel they have to make a round trip that is identical to a person going there on a day trip and results in the exactly the same number of trips that doesn't need documentation that's just mathematics.
- **Janet Mulder** pointed out a confusing and contradictory presentation of traffic data on Table 3:20 on 3-100 in the Chapter Impact Setting 3.11.3 where total number of Vt exceeded the estimates number.
- **Preston Brown** In the last meeting I asked about whether the studies for comparison were based against more rural or urban casinos and in what areas Their response was a little vague.

- **Michael Casinelli** I remember in the meeting last time I think it was Craig said that they were not going to get an increase in patrons some will just decide to spend the night. I don't know about the veracity of that. I think that's what it's about. I think that's why they are constructing a hotel, not just to give them a convenient option to stay the night anyway.
- **Peter Andersen** I concur that it would be ridiculous to spend millions of dollars if it did not increase your business. That stretches credulity.
- **Lisa Hodgson** Asked whether questions being brought up in this forum would be submitted in mass and whether people should still go on websites and ask questions and make comments individually or both. And secondly, what is the mechanism to compel the casino people whether it's Acorn or the JIV to address the concerns that were raised that are being raised here by the committee and what agency do we use to compel JIV to address some of the discrepancies that we're finding with their TEIR. And I ask this because it seems they will do what they want and they can just countermand back with their own interpretations of the data.
- **Preston Brown** Thanks you for asking that. They think that this can sail thought because they have listed a construction start date of December. We would be asking for a lot of influence to change a lot in this project. In terms of the mechanisms on maybe it needs approval from the Board of Supervisors maybe it doesn't Scott said it doesn't because its non-gaming related. However, and also does not need approval from the governor, however the agreement in the beginning says that we that they hope in the inter-governmental agreement with the county for the original casino that they would have they put it that we hope the county will negotiate with us honestly in our project. Our influence runs through the county first and foremost there are other people that have been of influence in this is what does the Rancho like a logical Reserve which is governed by the wildlife conservation board. They have made in their application for in construction they will be asking them for an easement in order to put soil nails underneath the ground that cross into their territory, they need the approval and also need for the Governor aside from John Bonham, Chair of the Wildlife Conservation Board. I have invited Supervisor Joel Anderson to our Public Meeting on November 3. Our final Response Letter will be sent to all the Supervisors on the Board. This project needs cooperation form the County the BOS participation to approve and sign a new MOU/IGA. This TEIR has to mean something unless it is just a Valentine unto itself. Maybe this has to go before the Board maybe it does not. There is some cooperation that they are expecting the County to have. The County does have mechanisms to slow this down and negotiate. That is what I am hoping for.
- **Steve Wragg** So we need to kind of figure that out I think you need to talk with the County to figure out what if anything we'll go in front of the board of supervisor. If there's an agreement for Fire Services, I'm assuming the board had to sign that that would have to go in front of the board. You know we know that the tribe is going to responsible party and they're going to they're going to adopt to certify their own document. We know that. Second thing you need to find out is 'whether JAC is going to get involved. PATT WEBB Are they building a record for a lawsuit on this. Because we can ask them to do stuff like Lisa was saying, but they're just going to blow us off then our only other alternative would be a lawsuit and I don't think the planning groups are going to do that but JAC could.
- **Lisa Hodgson** We will be tilting at windmills if we do not have some mechanism to make them to at least analyze. We know the contract has already been awarded. They are going to break ground. Someone has to tell them to stop. And so far Joel Anderson is not

interested in this part of his district. So what mechanism do we have to compel him to be interested in this?

- **Preston Brown** The other members of the Board of Supervisors for one. It's just tricky because everything works behind the scenes and if the county at the county planners want to push something through it just kind of filters on through the whole system. This is going to be one of those things and the outside the overlaying the dome over everything are the two lawsuits now that that are challenging the land as being eligible for gaming and both of those Patrick has been following Patrick you wanted way in and on this?
- **Pat Webb** JAC (Jamul Action Committee) would have potential claims here. In answer to Lisa's issues I think Steve is got the right approach year you've got to make the record and so whatever this committee generates becomes a body of evidence. That can be used in any potential law suit, I'm not in a position to speak for JAC. as many of you know I'm retained specifically by Walter Rosales and his family on specific issues. JAC has other Council as Ken Williams as many of you know they've used Steve Volker in the past, I am sure that they are looking at what they can do with the evidence of this subcommittee creates so I'm here kind of listening to all of that because I think everybody is raising very valid points. I wish I had a better answer for you Lisa. To say if you raise these points they will get enforced I can't say that at this juncture, but if you don't raise these they can't be enforced, that's the flip side that is so important. So that's why it's important for this committee to come to a conclusion and create a body of evidence as to all of the environmental issues that they can't really address we're having properly addressed if you don't do that you don't have the record to be used in any subsequent litigation.
- **Preston Brown** I completely agree with you and I see it the same way. We need to create a record that brings pressure and shines a light on the TEIR and on what this TEIR is and what its effects are, what it's impacts will actually be. That's what I'm trying to do. Lisa, you're absolutely right and so we are headed into more unknown territory and it's very grey in terms of you know whether it's this and what can actually happen. We just need to get enough people aware of this and create a good enough record to create enough interest to where maybe it's not just Joel Anderson, maybe it's supervisors and maybe it's former supervisors maybe it's you know other levels of government but they do need the local government they do not think they do need the blessing of the local who government and cooperation with other agencies as Summer point out with the other agreements for fire and Sheriff Services. Which would have to be renegotiated.
- **Janet Mulder** just noticed another kind of major it will I'm concerned with is a major difference again in looking at their own work here and their project trip generation of the paragraph for that they say and I'm quoting: "We do trip generation for a rate of 3 vehicular transfer room was used to account for the interaction between the hotel and the Casino consistent with other traffic impact studies for Casino Hotel facilities in San Diego County." That they say on the one hand and they drop down below and they say. "Trip generation rates were reduced by 70% to account for an internal capture to and from the casino based on the Hatch Greek Hotel expansion traffic, wherever that is, impact study from July 2016. So they are trying to do they're trying to go back into they obviously searched around or something that would be in their time we know that's all bogus. Traffic is really our strongest suit.
- **Michael Casinelli** asked if anyone on the subcommittee had looked into the wastewater impacts on the environment.

- **Preston Brown** The Rancho Jamul Ecological Reserve will be focused on responding at a much higher level than we can. There are putting in another secondary waste water treatment plant. The California Fish and Wildlife will give a very technical and detailed response. I've tried to focus on the environment part and aesthetic parts. The hotel is just a blight on the environment and in conflict with everything in this area our goals and sub area plan, and they admit is unmitigable.
- **Michael Casinelli** One of my main concerns is the dark skies policy and they said they don't know how they will mitigate all the illumination from the hotel windows.
- **Preston Brown** They mentioned that will use shields and screens to bring the glare down and figure this out later but you have to be more specific. I said that you cannot leave this up till later. You have to know that something is going to work.
- **Dan Neirinckx** I would like to make a **Motion** that we have to send a letter to the Board of Supervisors requesting that they as the supervisors, not personnel at PDS, makes the decision on whether or not this is a project is do able, meet our requirements. PDS personal should not make the decisions for our community. This project is not within our community plan and the BOS are the ones that approved it not PDS. We need to request that the BOS get involved and make sure they are in the loop of approval not just allow it to passed through by PDS employees.
- **Michael Casinelli** Second.
- **Dan Neirinckx** The group can approve it now and Chair and the subcommittee can agree on the language and send it out to the group for final approval. We have past example of this in the past of this procedure.
- **Motion passed unanimously.**
- **Final Letter will be attached to Minutes**
- **Planning for the Public Meeting:** A discussion on logistics about sound recording and staging followed for the Public Meeting on November 3rd followed. And we discussed the format and presentation and involvement of the community and getting a press release out.

6. CONTINUANCE of THE TELECONFERENCING MEETING OPTION

Dan Neirinckx made the Motion to approve: A motion to find the Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group has reconsidered the circumstances of the State of Emergency and state and local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing, "Pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e)(3), " The motion passed unanimously.

8. JDCPG OFFICER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REPORTS

No announcements.

9. ADJOURNMENT: 9:23 pm

ATTACHED LETTER to the Board of Supervisors

October 31, 2022

Board of Supervisors

1600 Pacific Hwy

Room 335

San Diego, CA 92101

Subject: Community Planning Group's request for the Board of Supervisors' participation in Negotiations with the Jamul Indian Village on their proposed Hotel and Event Center Expansion

Dear Board Members,

In 2016, the Board of Supervisors voted 3-1 to approve the Jamul Casino. Now 7 years later we get a second proposal for a large expansion project for a 16 story 225 room hotel and a new 35,000 SF Event Center as a new 3rd floor above the existing casino on 6 acres of tribal land.

Originally this was presented as a building that would "seamlessly blend into the natural landscape". This expansion is a huge jump into the realm of a Las Vegas style casino that is in extreme conflict with the conservation land goals of our Sub-Regional Plan. This clearly indicates a trend for the Jamul Valley to become an entertainment-resort destination which completely changes the quality and rural character of this near wilderness setting. It also will erode the isolation that the conserved lands rely on to thrive and flourish.

According to County Planner Scott Christman, this project does not need the approval of the Board of Supervisors or that of the Governor because it is not an expansion of Class III "gaming". The Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group pleads to gain your participation in reviewing this project. Decisions made in negotiations with the Tribe will be very impactful to our community with long term consequences. The decision making in these negotiations cannot be placed solely upon the shoulders of County Staff.

We urge your Boards' participation to oversee the process and have a guiding hand in this critical issue that will affect the future of so many. We will copy you on our group's FINAL RESPONSE LETTER to the TEIR, under CEQA, which we will submit before the deadline of November 16, 2022.

Respectfully,

Preston Brown, Chair

Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group

NOTICE OF NEXT REGULAR MEETING

TUESDAY November 8, 2022 @ 7:30 P.M.

VIRTUAL MEETING

Meeting Minutes and Agendas can be accessed at
<http://www.sdcountry.ca.gov/pds/CommunityGroups.html>

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Public Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.

JAMUL-DULZURA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

MISSION STATEMENT

"The mission of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is to represent the best interests of the communities of Jamul and Dulzura while adhering to County of San Diego, California Board of Supervisors Policy I-1."

PURPOSE STATEMENT

The purpose of the Jamul-Dulzura Community Planning Group is:

- *To provide a public forum where local citizens can learn about issues of importance to them and their community and provide input.*
- *To carefully consider all input when advising the county on such issues as planning, land use, discretionary projects, and community and sub-regional plans.*

APPROVED 5/12/2020