

LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP

FINAL MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, September 7, 2016 - 6:30

Members present: Seat 1-Kristen Mitten; Seat 3-Karen Ensall; Seat 4-Mike Anderson; Seat 5-Deborah Montgomery; Seat 6-Josef Kufal; Seat 7- Sarai Johnson; Seat 8-Nathan Thompson; Seat 9-Wyatt Allen; Seat 10-Milt Cyphert; Seat 12-Steve Robak; Seat 13-Lisa Anderson; Seat 14-Julie Bugbee.

Members Absent: 2-Brian Sesko; Seat 11- currently vacant; Seat 15-Bob Turner

Members Late: Seat 9-Wyatt Allen & Seat 13-Lisa Anderson (10 minutes late), Seat 4-Mike Anderson (18 minutes late). All missed the call to order, roll call, and the Vote for August 2016 Minutes approval.

Public present: Approximately 24 present, including board members. Four people signed up for Open Forum.

OPEN HOUSE (6:00 - 6:30pm)

1) CALL TO ORDER: 6:30 PM

A. ROLL CALL - Quorum reached with 9 present. 12 present after late arrivals.

2) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Steve Robak led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3) APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF: August 3, 2016

A. Correction(s): No comments, no corrections.

MOTION: was made by Nathan Thompson to approve the meeting minutes for August 3, 2016 as written; seconded by Steve Robak. **VOTE:** Motion Passed (9-0-0).

4) ANNOUNCEMENTS

A. **Audio Recording** - Notification was provided that the LCPG meeting may be audio recorded for purposes of preparation of the meeting minutes.

B. **Open Seats:** There is currently one seat open on the LCPG.

i. This position is up for election in November. By the time a person is appointed they would not be seated in time. Will need to appoint after election if still open.

5) OPEN FORUM

A. Janis Shackelford, Chairs the Lindo Lakes Subcommittee for Lakeside Revitalization

i. The County and consultants are moving forward on the plans for restoration of the Lake

ii. The consultants engineering firm has completed the 60% plan

- iii. Will be presenting to the County Staff and to the Public for implementation of the preferred alternative that was selected by the community for the restoration of Lindo Lake
 - iv. Two inlets of the Lake with the plan being to fill in with the dredging material
 - v. The filled areas will be usable to the public with picnic areas etc.
 - vi. Consultants engineers believe both basins can be done in a 6 month period
 - vii. Lake would be completely drained, dirt dewatered, and what is not used around the Lake would be trucked away.
 - viii. Lakes would be deepened to at least 10 feet in the middle
 - ix. A liner put in to prevent water loss to the ground, then filled
 - x. The well should be able to fill and accommodate evaporation
 - xi. Next meeting of the Lindo Lakes Subcommittee is scheduled for October 11, at 9:30pm.
 - xii. Comments from LCPG board
 - 1. Asked if the Parks Department is currently accepting comments to the 60% plan (deadline for 60% comments was last week but can email comments about the restoration)
- B. Julie Murphy
- i. Concerned with traffic problem on Lakeside Ave. between 2:20 and 4pm due to Lakeside Farms school traffic
 - ii. Huge gridlock and safety hazard
 - iii. Concerned with emergency safety issue for homeowners in the area as emergency personnel cannot get in or out at certain times
 - iv. Asked that LCPG brings the issue to the County's attention
 - v. Asked if the public will be able to give comments on the use of adjacent property purchased by the Lakeside Union School District
 - vi. Traffic and parking would be a good use for the property
 - vii. Public roadways are not being addressed and the School does not have a say on the public roads, the County does
 - viii. Sidewalks, flood control, traffic control are all needed
 - ix. Comments from LCPG board
 - 1. One of the homeowners in the area sent an email to Todd Owens at the School District and met with the School District and Principal in January. The home owner sent a follow-up email and copied the Planning Group
- C. Robert Germann, Spokes Person for Citizens for Gillespie's expansion
- i. Concerned with low flying air craft
 - ii. Handed out a letter from FBI on investigation of Gillespie and a list of employees from other airlines that are training at Gillespie
 - iii. Airlines are sending the employees to Gillespie to get their Commercial Multiple Engine Certification
 - iv. We are the number one flight pattern for Gillespie, they have shifted the planes out of El Cajon, through Santee and around Rattlesnake
 - v. Planes are running full leaded fuel which is dropping on our homes and children

- vi. Feels we were not informed due to not having a Mayor or City Council

D. Jitka Perez

- i. Wanted to know if there was a mechanism for reporting status on the Capital Improvement projects put on the list
- ii. Is there a website to see budgets, allocations for funding, what's been through planning and design?
- iii. Status report of all the projects, what is being done, how the projects are progressing, what is being done
- iv. Reports on percent complete for roads, infrastructure, trails, sidewalks etc.
- v. Would like LCPG to suggest to the County they put the info on their website, the CIP projects and graph with % of completion
- vi. Seems it difficult to get information and things can stall
- vii. Comments from LCPG board
 - 1. Can look on the County Website for project information, Planning and Development, current projects
 - 2. Lakeside Revitalization meetings are held twice a year to give status
 - 3. Projects proceed as funding comes in, not necessarily in order on the list
 - 4. All LCPG can do is ask via email to the County
 - a. Info with Lakeside Projects listed
 - b. Percentage of completeness through what stage of the process
 - c. Estimated date of completion

2) COUNTY PRESENTATIONS

- 1. No presentations.

3) PUBLIC HEARING

- A. El Capitan Stadium Association in the process of replacing or current office/ticket booth with a new metal building. Seeks Group approval of project.
 - i. Decided to submit for their permit before coming before the Planning Group so will be here next month

- B. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program. Minor sidewalk project on Hwy 8 Business Route, between Indio and Pana Drive. It will fill in a missing gap of approximately 250 linear feet of curb, gutter, and sidewalk.
 - i. Presentation Info:
 - 1. No presenter present
 - ii. Concerns/Questions
 - 1. Board
 - a. There are sidewalks on both sides of this area; the project is filling in the gap and is appropriate
 - 2. Public
 - a. No public input

MOTION: was made by Julie Bugbee to approve the Minor Sidewalk project; seconded by Nathan Thompson. **VOTE:** Motion Passed (12-0-0).

C. Zoning Ordinance amendment for the section pertaining to Medical Marijuana Collective Facilities (MMCF).

i. Presentation Info:

1. March 16, 2016 the Board of Supervisors directed staff to return to the Board with several options for the amendment
2. Staff is proposing seven different options for the Board's consideration
3. These include:
 - a. Option 1: Require separation buffer from Residential Use rather than Residential Zone
 - b. Option 2: Increase sensitive land use buffer from 1000 feet to ¼ mile
 - c. Option 3: Increase sensitive land use buffer from 1000 feet to ½ mile
 - d. Option 4: Increase sensitive land use buffer from 1000 feet to 1 mile
 - e. Option 5: Require a 1000 foot separation buffer from incorporated cities
 - f. Option 6: Requirement for a Major Use Permit to be obtained prior to siting a MMCF
 - g. Option 7: Limit the number of Medical Marijuana Collective Facilities per supervisorial district
4. Comments back to the County are due on September 9, 2016 (30-day public review period)

ii. Concerns/Questions/Comments

1. Board

- a. There are currently eight existing facilities within the Lakeside Planning Group area
- b. Milton Cyphert shared a matrix that Janis Shackelford put together that showed that Option 4 would limit the MMCF to one in Lakeside however, most likely won't get passed because it is the one mile buffer.
- c. Option 1 would bring it down to 4 facilities
- d. Option 2 would take it down to 6 facilities
- e. Option 3 would take it down to 3 facilities
- f. Would like to see more than one option pass
 - i. Would like to see major use permit and a buffer be required
- g. Concern is that the MMCF's are not regulated enough
- h. The five year timeframe to get an MUP, if passed, seems too long to allow operations with no permit

- i. Would like to see several of these options pass therefore making it a little more restrictive
- j. Concerned that Lakeside has the highest concentration of MMCF
- k. Would like to limit the quantity of facilities per planning group
- l. Does not want to restrict access for legal users
- m. More legal access and more facilities could provide competition and the ability to be a more secure and safe facility
- n. Important to make our decision with a futuristic point of view
- o. These type of facilities attracts the wrong crowd
 - i. Would like to see about recommending conditions
 - ii. The ability to revoke permissions if illegal activities surround a specific dispensary and activities are hurting the community
- p. Wondered if Lakeside would benefit from tax revenue
- q. Need a balance of regulation so as to not push these facilities underground
- r. The State may add more to the ABC to enable enforcement of MMCF
- s. The Planning Board discussed the options and how they would affect the number of facilities in Lakeside

2. Public

- a. Tax base is not coming to the community, the State gets it
- b. Local communities pay for the ER visits, police support etc.
- c. Would like to see more regulation, the stricter the better
- d. Biggest concern is that there no way to shut down trouble facilities as the ordinance does not give the Code Enforcement or the Sheriffs any tools to work with
- e. The current fines are not enough to deter illegal activities
- f. Ask the County to give the tools to shut down illegal facilities so legal facilities don't have to compete with illegal facilities
- g. Feels restricting too much and not being able to serve more counties would not pass
- h. Facilities must be under a special enforcement criteria that goes directly to the Sheriffs to allow immediate shut down if warranted
- i. Lakeside and Ramona would have most of them because of high industrial zoned areas.
- j. There are 23 total facilities in District 2 and 5 with only 4 not in District 2.
- k. Options put forth are inadequate for Lakeside and for solving the issue in District 2
- l. Feels that Lakeside is being dumped on with these options

- m. Feels that not to many MUP have been denied so is not adequate for limiting quantity of facilities
- n. Would like LCPG to recommend a “Board” to oversee the facilities (County does not have this type of entity)
- o. Would like more than 1 facility with 4 being too much in Lakeside
- p. Would like the facilities to have security to discourage undesirables
- q. Would like hours of operation limitations like regular businesses
- r. Would like Lakeside to set an example for the rest of the County with a regulated framework for the MMCF businesses
- s. Would like to see a regulation that says if a facility is established illegally then it is banned for five years from applying for a permit
- t. Concern about having a MMCF next to a liquor store

MOTION: was made by Kristen Mitten to select Option 3, ½ mile buffer and Option 6, requiring a MUP, business operating hours (8pm), security, and enforceable by the Sheriffs; seconded by Deborah Montgomery.

Discussion on Motion:

- 1. Discussed conditions and recommendations desired
- 2. Add “revocable” to the conditions
- 3. Fine structure increase
- 4. Suspension of operations for violations, making progressive for multiple violations
- 5. Run like a business
- 6. Close at 8pm for marijuana but open later for other business sales
- 7. Notifying neighbors within 300 feet

AMMENDED MOTION: was made by Kristen Mitten to select Option 3, ½ mile buffer and Option 6, requiring a MUP; also requesting limited standard business operating hours (8pm for marijuana), security with lights and cameras, increased fines by Code Enforcement for MUP and Ordinance violations for more effectiveness, suspension of operations for violations, making progressive for multiple violations, and enforceable by the Sheriffs; seconded by Deborah Montgomery.

VOTE: Motion Passed (12-0-0).

4) GROUP BUSINESS

- A. Annual CPG training:
 - i. None
- B. Members Attendance review:

- i. Still have one member, Bob Turner, who is absent and past the limit for missing meetings. Milton Cyphert will give him a call

5) SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

A. Design Review Board (DRB):

- i. Wal-Mart is updating their signs and it was approved
- ii. A used car lot that wants to go in at 9514 Winter Gardens (formally the bird garden)
- iii. Simply Sharing Spa updated their sign by Crunch
- iv. There is a proposed Starbucks store next to Denny's
- v.

B. County Service Area 69 (CSA 69):

- i. None

C. Trails Committee Report:

- i. There was a meeting but Karen Ensall needs to get notes together for next month

D. CIP:

- i. None

6) ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING. 7:49pm

Next Meeting Date: Wednesday, October 5, 2016, starting at 6:30

Deborah Montgomery, Secretary
Lakeside Community Planning Group
lakesidecpg@gmail.com

Visit our website for Agendas, Project Materials, Announcements & more at: LCPG.weebly.com or send an email to the LCPG chair & secretary at: lakesidecpg@gmail.com

Public Disclosure

We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County's disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information

You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error, if you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.