Date: September 2, 2014

Scheduled start time: 7:00 PM

Place: Pauma Valley Community Center
16650 Hwy. 76
Pauma Valley, Ca. 92061

1. CALL TO ORDER: 7:07 PM.
   a. Roll Call and quorum established: All 7 members were present. Andy Mathews, Chairman; Brad Smith, Vice Chairman; Fritz Stumpges, Secretary; Ron Barbanell; Ben Brooks; Robert Smith and Stephanie Spencer.

2. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES:
   a. The minutes from the August 3 meeting had been corrected and distributed before the meeting for final review. There were no further additions so Robert moved to approve them, Ben gave the second and they were approved 7-0.

3. PUBLIC COMMUNICATION, OPEN FORUM:
   a. Ron Barbanell explained a little about the current status of his ICAP group. He is proposing a meeting in October to discuss: 1) What is the oversight mandate for a Sponsor Group with regard to requesting information about land use issues in general and considering the will of the community. 2) Does the mandate change when a) sponsor group reviews a land use request from a tribal reservation? b) if yes, what is the legal precedent for this change of oversight responsibility to the county and communities that it represents? or b) if no, what do the tribal authorities think are the oversight responsibilities of the sponsor group? and is there a legal precedent for their position? c) regardless of laws and precedents, what modification of Sponsor Group review of tribal land requests are simply common sense? ICAP is tentatively supportive of this and Ron is requesting authority to hold a public meeting or meetings to discuss this in an attempt to avoid past confrontations we’ve had without such parameters. Andy mentioned that Ron is the chairman of this standing committee and he can call meetings but they must comply with the Brown Act rules. Ron would need to identify the members of the subcommittee, and have a publicly noticed meeting. Andy wasn’t sure if you can have the meeting at a private location and Ron agreed to check with the County.

4. ACTION ITEMS:
   a. We reviewed a proposed major use permit application for a cellular tower that is proposed along eastern Highway 76 near the La Jolla Reservation. It is proposed as a water tower type of tower and would be located on Lusardi Rey River Ranch near their boundary. Doug Munson with M&M Telecom, representing AT&T, was present to explain the project. He began by saying that Verizon was proposing it co-locate to the same tower and we all agreed that he should submit both sets of plans at this time since he would also represent them.

Doug brought the 70 page scoping letter and would leave it with Andy. He explained that they would have to do environmental and cultural studies along with the full EIR.
We reviewed photo survey shots of the site from Hwy 76 and a simulation shot of what the tower might look like. The tower will be visible from a distance both east and west bound but it doesn’t appear that the service buildings are high enough to be seen. Andy asked what the purpose of this site was and who was to be served. Doug said that the FCC mandates minimum coverage standards and has said that 100% of the area and band width purchased needs to be covered, not just high density urban areas. This site will connect the Pauma Valley/Rincon area to the future Lake Hinshaw coverage site. Ron asked what response they got from the La Jolla Indian Reservation and he replied that they weren’t interested in it. He said that two people contacted the county about the project. A Mr. Drewliner (?) was not opposed to the tower as long as his view of it was softened by one of the current camouflage techniques. The other being Jerry Fisher who was present and spoke as to his concerns. One was that the applicant checked a box that claimed that it was not within a mile of a highway when it is actually closer to 900 feet away and this brings into question the accuracy of other claims. His primary concern is safety and the need for 100% coverage. He had heard that the project was going to cover only 80% and that is not good enough. He wants us and M&M to consider an older proposed option which added a site on his side of the highway to provide the 100%. Doug was asked to comment. He stated that no site ever gets a total 100% coverage. He also stated that there is no one site that gives better coverage. Mr. Fisher’s site does not reach many of the important places on Hwy 76. He said that he could not predict the final % coverage but that they were meeting their mandate. He also restated that for 10 years they tried to get a positive response from La Jolla Reservation but they never responded. Fritz added that he had spoken to Chairwoman Lavonne Peck earlier and she concurred that the tribe had voted against a tower. Fritz added that now that they know they will get 100% of the radiation and not the $3,500 or so a month they might want to change their minds but it is too late now. Stephanie asked Doug to consider Mesa Grande over the Vista Irrigation site for the Lake Hinshaw coverage and he said that he would. Fritz brought up the two boxes that need to be checked for closeness to the highway and closeness to a major campground/park. He also questioned the overly large image of the tower on the simulation photo. Jerry claimed that Cleveland National Forrest is developing a general plan for all towers to which Brad said that the County knows that it will have to work within the Federal rules.

Andy then cut the discussion and asked for a motion to respond to county request for input. Ron made a motion to tentatively approve the project with a mandate to review the coverage and consider any better sites that might be available. Stephanie gave the second and the vote to approve was 7-0.

b. We next were to consider the proposed SDG&E solar facility and their proposed landscaping. They had called earlier and informed Andy that they were not ready to make their presentation and therefore there will be no discussion of it.

c. Next we discussed the county’s request for us to update our priority list for park land development under the Park Land Dedication Ordinance (PLDO) program. Andy reminded us that last year we determined our number 1 priority to be the building / improvement of the ball field here on the Pauma Valley Community Center. Andy asked if there were any projects that we would like to propose. Fritz spoke for the community association and requested that they be kept as our #1 priority and that they would finalize a proposal for improving the current ball field through use of a joint powers agreement with the county. Being no new proposals, Andy moved that we renew our old list and have the improvement of the ball field here at the center remain the number 1 priority. Robert gave the second and the vote to approve was 7-0.

5. ADMINISTRATION:

a. There were no communications or necessary approvals.

b. There were no operating expenses.
c. We then discussed our proposed process for replacing 3 of our members whose terms are expiring. Andy had sent a proposal on September 9 outlining a possible method to follow as the terms expire at the end of the year and which all needs to be completed soon to maintain continuity. The 3 members are Andy Mathews, Ron Barbanell, and Tribal Chairman Robert Smith. Briefly, announcements for the openings would need to be posted and printed in the newspaper next week. Then applicants would be finalized and noted at the October 7th meeting. Current members can reapply for their seats as none of them are term limited out. During October validation of those applicants received would be checked for required residence and voter registration. Qualified applicants would be interviewed at the November 7 meeting and 3 chosen to be presented for the openings to Supervisor Bill Horn who will recommend to the Board of Supervisors the actual appointments in time for the New Year. After a brief discussion Fritz stated that he thought that it was a very well thought out proposal and moved to approve, Ron gave the second and it was finally approved 7-0 after Stephanie considered the details of what had been proposed.

d. Fritz then discussed the problems that the community center is having with approvals and getting paid for the rent for these meetings. The process has been to bill quarterly, have the invoices approved as an expense at the next meeting, then send them in to the county and wait to be paid. The problem is that say for January, the rent is not billed until after the quarter ends in March, so the earliest possible approval is April and say there is no meeting then we wait until May and send it in and already a half of year is gone before payment is made. He then proposed that a new contract be drawn up where the Community Center would directly invoice the county quarterly for all 3 months, whether or not there was actually a meeting since the center does not know if there is a meeting and has to reserve the hall and have it ready for a meeting anyway. It is not their fault if the group does not meet. After some discussion, Andy moved that a new contract be drawn up whereby the Community Center directly bill the county for quarterly rent of $105. We will consider it at the next meeting. Ben made the second and it was approved 7-0.

6. ADJOURNMENT

After some discussion about the length of this meeting and allowing the public much time to speak about issues, we were divided as to what we all wanted in a meeting. Andy then reminded us of the importance of our next meeting where we will discuss the submitted Shadow Run Ranch EIR and vote on its approval.

Andy called for a motion to adjourn, Ben gave the motion, Brad the 2nd and it was approved 7-0. We adjourned at 8:17

Fritz Stumpges, Secretary PPCSG

These minutes were approved as previously circulated at the October 7th meeting. Stephanie moved, Brad seconded, and they were approved 7-0.