REGULAR MEETING, JUNE 2, 2015
APPROVED MINUTES

Scheduled start time: 7:00 PM
Place: Pauma Valley Community Center
       16650 Hwy. 76
       Pauma Valley, Ca. 92061

1. CALL TO ORDER: 7:01 PM.
   a. Roll Call and quorum established: Six members were present and we have one vacancy:
      Andy Mathews, Chairman; Brad Smith, Vice Chairman; Fritz Stumpges, Secretary; Ben
      Brooks; Robert Smith and Stephanie Spencer.

2. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES:
   a. The minutes of May 5, 2015 had been previously submitted to all, corrected and resubmitted.
      Ben made a motion to approve as presented, Brad gave the second and they were approved
      6-0.

3. PUBLIC COMMUNICATION, OPEN FORUM:
   a. This is an informal opportunity for community comments on any matters of concern within
      our realm of influence. There were no comments at this time.

4. ACTION ITEMS:
   a. There were no official action items on our agenda.

5. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS:
   a. We then continued our review of the new State Groundwater Management Legislation and
      another topic of Land Use and Development as relates to LEED-ND and “Leapfrog
      Development”. We began with the latter. Andy explained that “Leapfrog Development” is a
      title referring to new community or village development which is not contiguous to a current
      community or which meets several additional disqualifying factors such as lack of existing
      infrastructure like available water. This Land Use Policy, LU-1.2, is spelled out in the
      County’s General Plan and specifies the current requirement that new developments must
      meet LEED-ND requirements or equivalent. The county is trying to come up with a way to
      go around the requirements and approve new proposed developments which could be seen as
      definitions for illegal developments not allowed by LEEDS. One is Warner Ranch (Approx.
      800 homes) proposal across from the Pala Reservation and another is along Highway 15 in
      Valley Center’s area and called Lilac Hills Ranch (Approx. 2,400 homes). Andy then
      presented a request for motion that he be allowed to create a statement, of which he had a
      draft to circulate for discussion, reflecting our desire that the county adhere to this important
      policy and that any variations be required to pass complete CEQA review and requirements.
      Stephanie moved as such and Ben gave the second with the start of discussion. Ben
      questioned what impact this would have on Warner Ranch proposal and why we didn’t use
      this in our previous response. Andy and Brad replied that in theory it would disqualify the
      proposal but it is a BOS decision. We stated in our objections to Warner Ranch that it did not
      meet the requirements of the GP. Patsy Fritz from the audience stated that the Board of
Supervisors can over ride a General Plan Policy but not one of its own policies. The BOS was considering an exemption based on any development being within ½ mile of one of the 1,291 miles of county mobility roads, which practically covers all of the back country and specifically Lilac Hills and Warner Ranch. The BOS has since realized that it is more complicated to make these proposed changes. Andy stated that this is one of the reasons we want to join all of the other planning groups with our statement. We then voted 6-0 to approve. See Addendum A, Letter to the County.

b. We then moved back to the new state mandated Ground Water Management (Adjudication) requirements. We had begun this discussion at the May meeting. We were realizing that we needed to include our four tribal partners because they are major participants in all water issues in our region. We also needed to consider the 5 or 6 water districts. Andy asked Chairman Bo Mazzetti of the Rincon Tribe who was present in the audience, to respond. Chairman Mazzetti described a lot of the requirements and stated that they have reached out to Yuima Water District to see how they could work with them as a lead agency. He stated it might be along the lines of a memorandum of understanding, MOU. He stated that the Tribes legal battle to bring the San Luis Rey water back to the valley will benefit all people here and that we all need to work together to manage the water; but again that is up to each individual tribe to determine. He wanted to keep the plan management at our local level and not let the county be the authority. Andy stated that he thought that replenishment would require outside water and a large pipeline? Mazzetti said that after what has happened and with discussions with Yuima, that this option was ‘dead’ mainly because of costs. Mazzetti stated that the big problem statewide was lack of storage. Nikki asked about the 16,000 acre feet of settlement water to which the Chairman said it would be sold to Vista because that is where the infrastructure lies. He said it was also contingent on more allocated release to the valley here. Nikki stated that there was money supposedly set aside for many years for piping infrastructure but not enough to make a difference and no way to get it from the Feds. Chairman Smith of Pala stated that they hadn’t decided how to participate yet since many of them (Tribes) manage their own ground water.

c. We then began our selection process for our open seat. We received three applications. Only one of the 3 applicants, all with completed applications and certified eligible by the county was present and that was Jeremy Barbanell. Stephanie moved to appoint him right away. Fritz said that he would second that but with discussion. Andy stated that the process was to have all applicants make a statement first for our consideration. We all agreed. Jeremy described his current position, past experience with water law and many other current issues and his ability to make time for something this important. Andy questioned a possible need to recuse himself from issues where he might have clients. Patsy added that it would include clients that a firm he worked for would also require recusal. Ben restated his ability to make sufficient time with a busy law career in the making. He read his full application. We discussed how balanced and professional he was in his arguments in our last FTT discussions. Brad then mentioned that in the past he had stated that he didn’t know if he could make enough time for another commitment like this and that he could not be here tonight. Fritz said that they had talked and that Bobby was truly ready to give it all of his effort and he reminded our group that Bobby had stated last month that he had prior job commitments that required him to be gone during this meeting now but that he would be able to schedule around our meetings in the future. We then considered the third applicant Laura Kariya. We heard about Kariya, her being a long time resident and member of a distinguished family here. Andy read her touching and sincere application. We then renewed Stephanie’s motion and Fritz’s second to recommend Jeremy Barbanell to the county to fill our vacancy. After further discussion of the applicants we approved Jeremy by a vote of 4 yes and 2 no, with Ben and Andy dissenting. See Addendum B.
d. There were no communications received.

e. The date of the next meeting is July 7, 2015 at 7 PM.

6. **ADJOURNMENT:** Chairman Smith moved to adjourn at 8:10 PM and Stephanie gave the 2\textsuperscript{nd}. Unanimously carried.

These minutes were approved as presented at the July 7, 2015 meeting. Ben made the motion, Brad gave the second and they were approved 6-0.

Fritz Stumpges, PPCSG Secretary
June 3, 2015

Mark Wardlaw,
Director, Planning and Development Services, County of San Diego
5510 Overland Avenue,
San Diego, CA 92123

Dear Mr. Wardlaw:

At a public meeting held June 2, 2015, the Pala Pauma Community Sponsor Group ("PPCSG") after discussion and upon motion made, seconded and unanimously carried:

RESOLVED that:
The Pala Pauma Valley Community Sponsor Group ("PPCSG") supports retention of the present language of General Plan and the associated Land Use Policy LU-1.2 on “Leapfrog Development.” This fundamental policy was adopted to locate future development where there is infrastructure (Roads, Public Transit, Sewer, Jobs, Water, etc.) to properly support village population densities. The lack of available supplies of potable water and the state mandate for groundwater management within its area both strengthen PPCSG's firmness in this view.

PPCSG regards major area density changes to General Plan and changes to Policy LU.1.2 to be fundamental changes to the August, 2011 San Diego County document that was approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Therefore, PPCSG submits this advisory vote to communicate to the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission, both of the County of San Diego, PPCSG's strong preference that the principles embodied in the present language of the General Plan and its Policy LU-1.2 regarding Leapfrog Development be retained. Further, PPCSG believes that any decision to contemplate varying such Leapfrog Development policy by modification or circumvention by interpretation should not be taken until there has been prepared, reviewed and accepted a full California Environmental Quality Act assessment of the impact of any such contemplated revision of Policy LU-1.2 on Leapfrog Development, now especially including considerations of water availability.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
Charles Mathews, Chair,
Pala Pauma Valley Community Sponsor Group.

Copy: The Honorable Bill Horn, Chairman - by email
Oliver Smith, Chair, Valley Center CPG - by email
PPCSG members - by email
Patsy Fritz - by email
By: email

June 3, 2015

The Honorable Bill Horn
Chair, Board of Supervisors of the County of San Diego
Mail Stop A 500
16010 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92110

Dear Supervisor Horn:

Re: Request to accept recommendation to fill open seat.

Please accept the recommendation of:
Jeremy Barbanell for Seat No. 2 for the balance of a four year term ending January 5, 2019.

This recommendation was made by the group members at a regular meeting of the Pala Pauma Community Sponsor Group held June 2, 2016, by motion, second and vote (4 in favor, 2 against). Attached is the completed Application for Appointment as endorsed by the Register of Voters and the Vacancy notice that was posted and published.

Please let this letter serve as submission of the name of the above named individual to the Board of Supervisors for their appointment.

Please let me know if there are any questions in this regard or further action required on my part.

Respectfully,

Charles Mathews, Chair,
Pala Pauma Valley Community Sponsor Group.

Attachments: 3 Applications for Appointment
Announcement of Vacancies

Copy: PPCSG members
communitygroups@sdcounty.ca.gov