A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held July 5, 2018, at 7:00 p.m., at the Ramona Community Library, 1275 Main Street, Ramona, California.

ITEM 1: Pledge of Allegiance

ITEM 2: ROLL CALL (Scherer, Chair)

In Attendance: Torry Brean   Jim Cooper   Scotty Ensign
Chris Holloway   Kristi Mansolf   Robin Joy Maxson
Donna Myers   Elio Noyas   David Ross
Dan Scherer   Paul Stykel   Dan Summers
Richard Tomlinson

Members Absent: Frank Lucio, Casey Lynch

Dan Scherer, RCPG Chair, acted as Chair of the meeting, Torry Brean, RCPG Vice-Chair, acted as Vice-Chair of the meeting, and Kristi Mansolf, RCPG Secretary, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

ITEM 3: APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF 6-7-18

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING 6-7-18 AS PRESENTED.

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Paul Stykel, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

ITEM 4: Announcements and Correspondence Received

Ms. Mansolf announced Caltrans received the RCPG letter regarding the street light request at 16th Street and they are investigating it.

The Traffic Engineering Office initiated a new service request based on recent testimony at the Traffic Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting for Elm and Haverford. Service Request staff are working on it and will respond in the near future.

Parks actions (PLDO) taken at the Board of Supervisors meeting on June 27 are included in RCPG members’ packets.

Proof of neighbor notification of the Sprint cell site, the South Subcommittee project last month, is also included in RCPG members’ packets.

Mr. Cooper asked if anyone went to the Parks Board of Supervisors meeting on June 27? He encouraged members to attend meetings on Ramona’s behalf.

Mr. Cooper announced the results of the Elm and Poplar site distance investigation from the County Traffic Engineering Office, and he read the letter sent by the County on this issue.
ITEM 5: PUBLIC COMMUNICATION: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Group on any subject matter within the Group’s jurisdiction that is not on posted agenda.

Speaker: Donna Myers, Ramona Resident

Ms. Myers spoke about the Ramona Street Extension. She attended meetings in the past when the Ramona Street Extension project was on the agenda. The Ramona Street Extension has been on the books since the 1800’s. Road plan 1 and 2 were recklessly bad. She feels the road is pro-growth. She wanted to serve on the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee but was denied. She feels there is bias regarding the Ramona Street Extension project on the RCPG.

Speaker: Vicki Simmons, Ramona Resident

Ms. Simmons said that her son, Chase Simmons, was a soldier home on leave from the Army, when he was traveling down Highway 67 and was hit by a semi truck pulling a trailer. It took his life and he passed away on March 2, 2017. Chase went to Ramona High and he was a Behavioral Specialist that worked with soldiers with PTSD. She wants to carry on his legacy. She sent a letter to Supervisor Jacob, and Supervisor Jacob had been following what had happened to Chase, too. She had suggested the bridge naming for Chase – she mentioned that it was a new program. Ms. Simmons also has been in contact with County Traffic Engineering about the bridge naming. She would like Chase to be the first recipient to have a bridge named after him, and requested to be on the next RCPG agenda.

ITEM 6: APPROVAL OF ORDER OF THE AGENDA (Action)

MOTION: TO APPROVE THE ORDER OF THE AGENDA.

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by David Ross, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

ITEM 7: ACTION ITEMS:

7-A: To reconsider the motion from November 2, 2017: “Motion: To approve this cleanup adjustment for all of the “Blue” parcels on the “Zero Density ‘Blue’ Map” to have a density of 7.3 dupa, as originally intended. These mixed use areas are proposed to have a minimum 25 percent commercial requirement up to 100 percent commercial – no minimum residential requirement.” The motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Scotty Ensign absent and Rick Terrazas resigned.

1). Density discussion and revote: Inconsistencies were discovered for select properties between the 7.3 dupa community vote and the County General Plan.

2). Minimum commercial threshold discussion. Discuss the community recommended commercial thresholds -minimum 50% on Main Street and 25% off Main Street. Change from the “Blue” map previously voted on is available at:

https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/dam/sdc/pds/advance/2017%20Ramona%20Form%20Based%20Code/Ramona-density.pdf

Mr. Lardy represented the County on the Form Based Code item. The County is refining the residential densities of the Form Based Code and the minimum commercial requirements, and
removing inconsistencies that have been discovered throughout the Form Based Code process to date. The residential densities and minimum commercial requirements that were voted on November 2, 2017, need to be revised to be consistent with what is allowed. He asked the RCPG to reconsider their motion on this issue from November 2, 2017. He brought a new map that showed the changes that needed to be made for the plan to be consistent with the General Plan.

**MOTION: TO RECONSIDER THE MOTION FROM NOVEMBER 2, 2017: “TO APPROVE THIS CLEANUP ADJUSTMENT FOR ALL OF THE “BLUE” PARCELS ON THE “ZERO DENSITY ‘BLUE’ MAP” TO HAVE A DENSITY OF 7.3 DUPA, AS ORIGINALLY INTENDED. THESE MIXED USE AREAS ARE PROPOSED TO HAVE A MINIMUM 25 PERCENT COMMERCIAL REQUIREMENT – UP TO 100 PERCENT COMMERCIAL – NO MINIMUM RESIDENTIAL REQUIREMENT.”**

Upon motion made by Richard Tomlinson and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

Mr. Lardy said if the 7.3 DUPA density was applied across the board, the Ramona plan would be consistent with the General Plan. The minimum commercial requirement needs to be 50 percent on Main Street and 25 percent mandatory commercial for side street commercial.

**MOTION: TO KEEP 50 PERCENT MINIMUM COMMERCIAL ON MAIN AND 25 PERCENT MANDATORY COMMERCIAL ON SIDE STREET COMMERCIAL.**

Upon motion made by Scotty Ensign and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.


The project applicant is proposing to develop a wedding event center based around an equestrian theme. This would require the processing of a Major Use Permit. The facility will be available to rent seven (7) days a week with operating hours from 10am to 10pm, with a maximum capacity of 225 people, including employees and subcontracted staff. The main entrance to the facility will be located on Montecito Way with secondary ingress/egress being accessed from Montecito Road. Woodcrest REV

Lauren Schulte presented the Lavender and Olive project. Five acres within Copper Meadows will be used as a wedding and event venue. The property has had a boundary adjustment for the wedding event portion. Parking has been relocated to accommodate this purpose. The event portion of the property will have an equestrian theme. They are getting rid of the pool. They will also be seeking a waiver of the undergrounding of utilities and plan to leave the poles overhead.

Ms. Maxson said that when the West Subcommittee looked at the project, fire truck access and ADA compliance were presented. There was discussion on the dark sky policy, a concern over the number of cars for that area, and a concern over noise carrying off of the property. The West Subcommittee was told a decibel test was done to evaluate the level of noise going off of the property.

Ms. Mansolf said the West Subcommittee also heard that there won’t be sewer for the project. The existing septic design is for a home. PDS will determine the requirements. The owners want to be able to have 225 people on the site. There will be 121 parking spaces. Grading will be balanced.
Hours of operation will be 10 am to 10 pm, 7 days a week. The main entrance will be on Montecito Way with a secondary access off of Montecito Road. As part of the project they will have a bed and breakfast (B&B) using 7 vintage trailers, 7 additional trailers, and some B&B rooms for a total of 20 spaces. The house will remain, and the structure will be converted into a bridal cottage. Parking will be dg. There will be a gravel paved turnaround for the Fire Department. A holding tank will be trucked in. They are required to have one holding tank. The owners will have 3 holding tanks. There will be an IOD along the project frontage if the road is ever approved. Then there will be a dg trail to the ultimate width of the right of way. They talked about the space the events would be held in and how events would be handled in inclement weather. The West Subcommittee made a motion to approve the project, but the motion did not pass as 1 member was absent and 1 member voted no.

Mr. Cooper said Transportation/Trails received an informal presentation on the project, and no action was taken.

Ms. Mansolf said the County sent the project out for action, which is why she had sent out all of the maps and documentation received by the County for RCPG members to review.

Mr. Cooper said he would like to see the project at the next Transportation/Trails Subcommittee meeting.

Mr. Summers said he had a concern about the neighbors being impacted.

Mr. Tomlinson said that Montecito Way is a very narrow road. He had concerns over dust from the project. He knows someone in the area who also has this concern relating to the project. Montecito Way is only 12 feet wide. 121 cars can create a lot of dust.

Ms. Schulte said there will be no offsite improvements. The driving area will be paved with gravel which should help cut down on the dust. No buildings will be added. The project is mostly landscaping improvements.

Mr. Summers said that the horses can cause dirt/dust.

No action taken.

7-C: STP 06-024, Minor Deviation request for re-designed signs for the CVS at 1810 Main Street (APN 282-150-40-00). The property is subject to D and D5 Special Area Designators and will require a minor deviation from the existing site plan STP 06-024

Lyndsee Veltre represented the project, which is to update the CVS signs on Main Street at Ramona Street.

Mr. Ensign gave the Design Review Report. At the Design Review Board meeting, there was 136 square feet of signage, and only 120 square feet of signage is allowed.

Ms. Veltre said that since the Design Review Board meeting, she has reduced the signs to 118.66 square feet, as per the Ramona Design Review Board request.
MOTION: TO APPROVE THE SIGNAGE WITH THE LETTER HEIGHT NOT TO EXCEED 28-1/4 INCHES AND THE TOTAL SIGNAGE SQUARE FOOTAGE NOT TO EXCEED 120 SQUARE FEET.

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Robin Maxson, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

7-D: MUP 88-075M8 Spring Wagon ATC Wireless Facility The permit is a minor deviation in connection with a minor use permit for an unmanned telecommunications facility. The existing monopole is 84-feet in height and adjacent to other monopoles that are not subject to amortization due to them being regional communications facility for essential services. AIC Sequoia LLC proposes to modify the existing telecommunication facility by painting the existing monopole. 18303 Spring Wagon Road / Vista Ramona Road

Jill Cleveland represented the project. The project was built in 1988 and does not conform to the current code. Older cell sites have to be updated to conform to the Wireless Telecommunications Ordinance, which calls for stealthed facilities. Verizon is on the tower now.

Angelica Truong, the County planner for the project, said that if left unstealthed, the tower would blend in better with the other existing towers, which are for emergency services and do not have to conform to the camouflaging rules of amortization. The backdrop will be up against the blue sky.

Mr. Noyas gave the South Subcommittee report. The South Subcommittee wanted to see the site remain as is, except they wanted it to be painted blue to blend in better with the sky.

MOTION: THAT THE TOWER AND ANY EQUIPMENT, OLD AND NEW BE PAINTED LIGHT BLUE TO BLEND IN WITH THE SKY AS BEST AS POSSIBLE AND TO AVOID ANY MORE RECOGNITION TO WHAT IS EXISTING.

Upon motion made by Elio Noyas and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion passed 13-0-0-0-2, with Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

(Mr. Holloway left at 8:05)

7-E: Consideration of Writing a Letter of Support for the National Register of Historic Places, Ramona Main Street Colonnade Historic Application; such letter to be sent to the Office of Historic Preservation by the deadline of 15 days prior to the hearing on August 3, 2018 in Sacramento.

Diane Conklin began the presentation by introducing herself as a 501(c)3 volunteer of the Ramona Tree Trust. The nonprofit has replanted 80 trees of the original Ramona Main Street Colonnade and hand watered them to get them started. They have applied for entry in the National Register of Historic Places. Should the RCPG choose to support the Colonnade application, a letter would be needed by July 12 to send to the Office of Historic Preservation. In the Colonnade, 226 trees are 108 years old. The trees are all in Caltrans right of way, and Caltrans has been overseeing preservation of the trees.

Chris Anderson, of the Ramona Tree Trust, reiterated that the Colonnade is not on private property, but in the Caltrans right of way. The Ramona Tree Trust has replenished trees that have died or
been removed. The Colonnade makes an impression on visitors when they enter the town. She is passionate about preservation of the Colonnade.

(Mr. Holloway left at 8:05 pm)

Sue Wade, of the Ramona Tree Trust, said the application process started in 2007. A GIS expert GPS’d every tree. The process was redone in 2012. The application process has taken 10 years to complete. She is an archeologist and an historian. The Colonnade is a resource given to us by the pioneers of Ramona. They wanted a welcoming aspect to the community.

Mr. Summers asked, if the Colonnade is entered into the National Register of Historic Places, what is the long term impact on the trees?

Ms. Wade said that nothing will change – right now an encroachment permit is required to remove a tree. There is a mechanism for community input.

Mr. Stykel said he thinks it creates a burden on Caltrans if a tree is removed.

Ms. Anderson said Jiffy Lube had to have their driveway positioned between the trees. No more curb cuts were allowed. There is no federal prosecution. Everything is done under Caltrans.

Ms. Maxson said that a lot of work has gone into the application and it is very well done. She asked if Caltrans administered the trust?

Ms. Conklin said she administers the trust through a 501(c)3 charity. Sufficient funds were paid in Ramona. For every tree that gets cut down, they get $10,000. One tree is worth 100 trees. They work with Caltrans. The Trust was originated by Caltrans. Larry Fagot, a Caltrans employee, got it started. The Ramona Tree Trust took over planting and maintaining the trees. They don’t do anything outside of Caltrans. The money for the trees is Federal money that goes to Caltrans. The Ramona Tree Trust wants the Federal designation because the Colonnade is a rare resource in the state. It will heighten protection for the trees and allow for community input. Public safety won’t be precluded.

Mr. Tomlinson said the trees seem protected now. The only change would be the historic review process. He does not believe a landscape type of resource needs recognition.

Ms. Wade said that there are 2 colonnades in California on the National Register. Many of the colonnades planted, years ago when settlers/pioneers moved into towns in California, have been destroyed.

Mr. Summers said he loves the trees and he would be proud of adding the historical designation.

**MOTION: TO SEND A LETTER TO THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE IN FAVOR OF LISTING THE RAMONA MAIN STREET COLONNADE ON THE NATIONAL REGISTER.**

Upon motion made by Dan Scherer and seconded by Dan Summers, the motion **passed 10-2-0-0-3**, with Paul Stykel and Richard Tomlinson voting no, and Chris Holloway, Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.
7-F: County request to remove some pine trees on the east side of 14th street between E St. and La Haina St. RCPG consent needed for tree removal in order for the sidewalk repairs to be put in the upcoming contract

Mr. Cooper said the subcommittee did not see why the trees needed to be removed.

Ms. Mansolf received an email from the County that day summarizing the need for the tree removal: ‘We are preparing for the 2018/2019 sidewalk contract. The sidewalks in question would be replaced in 2019, we just start the process now. If possible we try to just cut the roots of trees pushing the sidewalks, however these trees are too close to the sidewalk to cut the roots without the possibility of the trees dying. Please let me know if there is any more information you need.’ She had asked the County Vegetation Management office for more information after seeing the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee did not take any action on the item.

Mr. Cooper said he would like the County to attend the next meeting and make a presentation on this issue.

7-G: Discussion of substantial increase in road noise brought about by installation of rumble strips at Quail Rock along SR-67. Consideration of sending correspondence to Caltrans to correct this issue

Mr. Cooper said the person who brought this item to the attention of the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee, did not attend the meeting. Mr. Cooper sat by the road in the area for a period of time and did not notice an unreasonable amount of noise. Occasionally someone would cross the rumble strip. No action was taken.

7-H: Discussion of additional routes, Keyser Road, Equestrian Trail and/or Royal Vista, connecting Hanson Lane to Warnock to alleviate traffic congestion in the vicinity of Hanson Lane. Consideration of requesting the County to do a GPA so that a feasibility study can be done in the future

Mr. Cooper said Casey Lynch is the lead on this item. The plan is for it to go to the next Transportation/Trails Subcommittee meeting. More information is needed by the subcommittee.

There were six speakers on the project. The Chair said each speaker would have 3 minutes.

Speaker: Cathy Liles, Ramona Resident

Ms. Liles bought her property because Royal Vista was a private road. People park all over the street now that the school is there, picking up their kids from school. There is now mailbox vandalism. She doesn’t feel they should have to put up with all of these recent challenges and would like to see money spent to make a parking lot for the school so people don’t have to park by Royal Vista to pick up their kids.

Speaker: Yvonne Slater-Grigas, Ramona Resident

Ms. Slater-Grigas said there is no gate now on Royal Vista. People stop on the road. They expected it to be a private road. She doesn’t want more traffic and vandalism.

Speaker: David Adams, Ramona Resident
Mr. Adams said they maintain the little dirt road (Royal Vista). It is 1 car width wide. The distance using Royal Vista is 4 or 5 times what it is to go around. It was very quiet before the school. Now people whiz around the tight corners and miss the turns.

Speaker: John Ecclesine, Ramona Resident

Mr. Ecclesine is a 35 year Ramona resident. There used to be a gate on Royal Vista. It was never meant to be a through road. The gate was damaged by everyone. People didn’t want to have to drive around. If the Ramona Street Extension was in place, there would not be a problem. The Boundary School site went in and people are in a hurry. The gate is laying on the ground.

Speaker: Linda Ecclesine, Ramona Resident

Ms. Ecclesine said she has been there since 1979. They knew of the Ramona Street Extension when they moved onto their property. There are 90 degree turns on Royal Vista and fences, just like on Warnock and Ramona Street. She was assured the road would stay private when they bought the property. Everyone loves quiet on their property. She asked that the RCPG carefully consider this item before making a decision.

Speaker: Cindi Zeyle, Ramona Resident

Ms. Zeyle said that Royal Vista is entirely on private property, and it would have to be purchased to become a public road.

Kevin Blaha wished to register his opposition to Royal Vista being considered as a Circulation Element road, but did not wish to speak.

This item will be on the August 2, 2018, RCPG agenda and July 24, 2018, Transportation/Trails Subcommittee agenda.

7-I: Ramona Transportation Summit: Development of Summit Objectives

There was discussion and action taken at the Transportation & Trails Subcommittee that there be a recommendation to the RCPG to ‘create an AdHoc committee to pursue a meeting with Duncan Hunter with a goal of exploring all opportunities for the earliest resolution of all Hwy 67 traffic concerns using the newly created Presidents Federal Highway Update Program.’

**MOTION: TO CREATE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR THE TRANSPORTATION SUMMIT WITH DAN SUMMERS, COMMITTEE CHAIR, AND MEMBERS TORRY BREAN, ROBIN JOY MAXSON AND DAWN PERFECT.**

Upon motion made by Dan Scherer and seconded by Paul Stykel, the motion passed 12-0-0-0-3, with Chris Holloway, Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

7-J: Warnock Solar Project Landscaping Update

Mr. Noyas has been in contact with the County regarding the Code Enforcement violation that was filed for the lack of maintenance on the Warnock solar project landscaping.

Ms. Myers said there was a fire in the solar field and the weeds under the solar panels were burning.
MOTION: TO CREATE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR THE WARNOCK SOLAR FACILITY, WITH ELIO NOYAS AND SCOTTY ENSIGN.

The Chair made the appointments.

7-K: Park Storm Water Discussion: RPRA MUP Permit Review – *Parks meeting canceled*

7-L: Wellfield Park Projects: Construction Progress – *Parks meeting canceled*

7-M: Botanical garden north of Royal Vista. Presentation by Ken Brennecke

Mr. Brennecke presented a proposal for a botanical garden on a 10 acre parcel he owns, southwest of the corner of Boundary Avenue and Ramona Street, and north of Royal Vista and about 1 mile from Main Street. Mr. Brennecke currently operates Bamboo International Growers. He has been integrally involved in other similar types of endeavors over the years. He feels the proposed site is perfect for a botanical garden as it is at the summit of a hill with acceptable temperature extremes, with water and a substantial amount of topsoil. He would like to create plant research facilities, as part of the botanical garden, which would include a herbarium, tissue culture facilities, a nursery and greenhouses complemented by a library and computer/internet accessibility. He would like the garden to include public plant displays.

His next step would be to form a nonprofit to coordinate fundraising, which could be from $10 million to $25 million to fully endow the project with employees and free admission for youths. He plans to present his proposal to the Ramona Chamber of Commerce and the Ramona Garden Club within the next few months.

He asked that the Ramona Street Extension project be put on the agenda for consideration in the near future for removal from the CIP list and that the botanical garden be supported.

7-N: Report from Traffic Advisory Committee Meeting 6-8-18 by Jim Cooper, where Elm and Haverford were on the agenda

Mr. Cooper attended the TAC meeting, when Elm and Haverford were on the agenda, and he spoke about the accidents that have been occurring on these roads. There is concern with excess speed over the limits. He was able to discuss his concerns at the meeting and he feels his comments will be taken into consideration.

Mr. Cooper talked about the value of going to meetings at the County and encouraged RCPG members to attend County meetings.


The County would not provide a copy of the ATP to Mr. Cooper for review prior to the meeting on the ATP. Ms. Mansolf got permission from the County to make 1 color copy of the large document for Mr. Cooper, and it cost over $380.00. Mr. Cooper reviewed the plans prior to the meeting, but when he attended the meeting, discussion was focused on 3 maps showing bike lanes and trails. The public comment period ends July 13, but the subcommittee wanted to see the date extended to August 13, 2018. They would like the 3 charts/maps put in the Ramona Community Library with a
drop box for comments before the next meeting, and they would also like, if possible, for the Ramona Sentinel to write an article on the project with a request for public comments.

The Board of Supervisors asked County staff to come up with a plan for bicycles and pedestrians throughout the County. Mr. Cooper said that he is sometimes behind a bike on the Old Julian Highway, which is very narrow, and the bike will be in the travel lane. He will wait until he can pass safely, but he doesn’t feel this is a safe situation. Mr. Cooper said the Portland Study was done and it showed less than 1 percent interviewed would ride a bike, and less than 7 percent would occasionally ride a bike.

**MOTION:** TO SEND A LETTER TO THE COUNTY REQUESTING TO MOVE THE DATE OF THE DEADLINE FOR PUBLIC INPUT FROM JULY 13, 2018, TO AUGUST 13, 2018; AND TO DISPLAY THE MAPS WITH A DROP BOX FOR COMMENTS AT THE RAMONA LIBRARY.

Upon motion made by Jim Cooper and seconded by Robin Joy Maxson, the motion **passed 12-0-0-0-3,** with Chris Holloway, Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

**7-P:** Emergency Evacuation Route through the Grasslands

The Transportation/Trails Subcommittee needed to do more research before moving forward on this item, so there was no discussion.

**7-Q:** County Climate Action Plan was adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on 2-14-18, Climate Action is Available at: [https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/pds/advance/climateactionplan.html](https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/pds/advance/climateactionplan.html)

County is requesting comments on a draft Scope of Work for the Comparative Analysis. Comments due 6-16-18

The County requesting comments on a draft Scope of Work for the Comparative Analysis for the County Climate Action Plan was announced.

**ITEM 8:** GROUP BUSINESS (Possible Action)

8-A: DESIGN REVIEW REPORT (Ensign) – Update on Projects Reviewed

Mr. Ensign said that Mike’s Liquor and A-1 Car Wash are still pending. California Firewood is moving. The Iron Pony Training Post was approved. Two more utility boxes were painted. CVS signage was reviewed.

8-B: Discussion Items (Possible Action)

8-B-1: Concerns from Members

Mr. Cooper said there was a concern expressed by Transportation/Trails Subcommittee members due to having heard the Barona Mesa HOA is limiting trails.

8-B-2: Future Agenda Item Requests – *None brought forward*

8-B-3: Addition and Confirmation of New/Continuing Subcommittee Members

**MOTION:** TO ADD LAUREN SCHULTE TO THE CUDA SUBCOMMITTEE.
Upon motion made by Dan Scherer and seconded by Torry Brean, the motion passed 12-0-0-0-3, with Chris Holloway, Frank Lucio and Casey Lynch absent.

8-B-4: County Ruling on Agenda Item Question from 6-7-18

The ruling from the County had been sent out to all RCPG members, and it was determined that because the item in question was on the RCPG agenda, the Transportation/Trails portion of the project could have been discussed, and subcommittees did not need to be listed on the agenda for agenda items.

8-B-5: Old Business

Three items of old business were brought up: the request for a median barrier between Cloudy Moon Drive and Rockhouse Road, the boulders above the highway in this same area (west side of the road), and Mt. Woodson parking. The Chair will follow up with Caltrans on the first 2 issues. For the third issue, it was mentioned that acquisition of the property is still being worked on.

8-C: Meeting Updates

8-C-1: Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and TAC Meetings – No additional information brought forward

8-C-2: Future Group Meeting Dates – Next RCPG Meeting to be 8-2-18 at the Ramona Community Library, 7 p.m.

8-C-3: The filing period for the November election for RCPG members is from July 16 – August 10, 2018

ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf

The RCPG is advisory only to the County of San Diego. Community issues not related to planning and land use are not within the purview of this group. Item #5: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the RCPG on any subject within the group’s jurisdiction that does not appear as an item on this agenda. The RCPG cannot discuss these matters except to place them on a future agenda, refer them to a subcommittee, or to County staff. Speakers will be limited to 3 minutes. Please fill out a speaker request form located at the rear of the room and present to Vice Chairperson.

Public Disclosure: We strive to protect personally identifiable information by collecting only information necessary to deliver our services. All information that may be collected becomes public record that may be subject to inspection and copying by the public, unless an exemption in law exists. In the event of a conflict between this Privacy Notice and any County ordinance or other law governing the County’s disclosure of records, the County ordinance or other applicable law will control.

Access and Correction of Personal Information: You can review any personal information collected about you. You may recommend changes to your personal information you believe is in error by submitting a written request that credibly shows the error. If you believe that your personal information is being used for a purpose other than what was intended when submitted, you may contact us. In all cases, we will take reasonable steps to verify your identity before granting access or making corrections.